Effect of statistics of small numbers on the chemistry of trace species in atmospheric particles

Michael Mozurkewich
Chemistry Department and Centre for Atmospheric Chemistry, York University, Toronto, Canada

Abstract. In models of reactions occurring in atmospheric aerosol particles, calculated concentrations of some trace species often imply fractions of a molecule per particle. When this occurs, averaging rate or equilibrium expressions over a large number of particles gives results that differ from bulk solution. Two different effects are demonstrated here. In the first, the confinement of ions in submicrometer drops suppresses the dissociation of weak acids and bases relative to bulk solution. For free radicals, there is an isolation effect that suppresses radical chain termination reactions when radical concentrations are low. Under extreme non-equilibrium conditions, half the drops contain one radical, even when bulk solution calculations indicate a concentration orders of magnitude smaller. This can greatly enhance the rate of radical chain reactions in drops relative to bulk solution. This latter effect has the potential to dramatically alter modelled rates of reactions in atmospheric particles.

Introduction

Atmospheric chemists now recognize the importance of reactions occurring in aqueous aerosol particles and are incorporating these reactions into computer models [Leelieveld and Crutzen, 1991; Chameides and Stelson, 1992; Fan and Jacob, 1992; Hanson et al., 1994, Lary et al., 1996; Sander and Crutzen, 1996]. In doing so, the aqueous phase chemistry is assumed to be the same as in bulk solution. However, calculated concentrations of some trace species imply fractions of a molecule per particle. For example, atmospheric fine particles have radii of the order of 0.1 μm. A single molecule in a droplet of this size is equivalent to a concentration of 4 x 10^4 M. In any aqueous solution the concentration of either H+ or OH will be less than this. Calculated concentrations of free radicals in atmospheric particles can be orders of magnitude smaller. Lelieveld and Crutzen [1991] calculate OH radical concentrations of 10^13 to 10^12 M in 10 μm radius cloud drops; this corresponds to 0.2 to 2 molecules per drop. Chameides and Stelson [1992] calculate Cl2 and Br2 concentrations of 10^11 to 10^9 M in sea salt particles; for the 1.0 μm particles of their low relative humidity case, this corresponds to 0.02 to 2 molecules per particle. Thus, for radicals in the submicrometer aerosol, there may be on average a small fraction of one molecule per particle.

The meaning of such a low average number of molecules per particle is that, at any given time, some particles will contain one molecule of the trace species and others will contain no molecules. Thus, the aqueous phase concentration of the trace species will vary considerably from one particle to another. If the trace species is produced or consumed in chemical reactions or if it can exchange with the gas phase, then there will be large variations in concentration within any one particle as a function of time. Such variations will not be directly observed in measurements since they will only apply when concentrations are much below the detection limits of available measurement techniques. However, reactive intermediates that play an important role in chemical transformations within particles may have very small average concentrations and so may be subject to these variations. In modelling the chemistry of atmospheric particles, these variations must be accounted for in averaging over the distribution of particles.

When aqueous phase concentrations vary widely from one particle to another, we must be careful about how we average over a large number of particles. Effects due to such variations have been previously discussed for systems subject to large fluctuations [Gillespie, 1976; 1977] and for reactions occurring in micelles [Hatlee and Kozak, 1980; 1981a; 1981b]. The consequences have been experimentally observed in micelle reactions [Moreno et al., 1996]. Here we consider the consequences for atmospheric particles.

Consider a bimolecular reaction occurring in small drops containing n1 and n2 molecules of the two reactants. The probability per unit time that a reaction occurs may be written as k n1 n2/V where k is the rate constant and V is the volume of the drop. The probability averaged over a large number of drops is then k <n1><n2>/V. However, in applying bulk solution kinetics to this system, we write the probability as k <n1><n2>/V. This replacement of an average of a product with a product of averages is only valid if either there is no correlation between n1 and n2 or there is little variance in n1 and n2 over the distribution of particles. If n1 and n2 are large, the second condition applies as a consequence of the statistics of large numbers. This allows us to ignore correlations and fluctuations in treating kinetics and equilibria in bulk solutions. However, when n1 and n2 are small (of order unity or less), we can only use the product of averages if there is no correlation between the reactants. If two reactants have a common source (for example the ions produced by dissociation of a weak acid), they will tend to be positively correlated; then the probability of reaction will be increased.

In the following, we first consider the dissociation of weak acids since this case can be treated analytically and provides a basis for understanding more complex problems. We then give an example of how the statistics of small numbers may affect the chemistry of free radicals in particles. This latter case is potentially much more important. The full evaluation of its significance will require detailed examination of model results for instances where significant reactions involve two or more species for which the number of reactant molecules per particle is small.

Acid-Base Equilibria

To treat acid-base equilibria, we assume that acid dissociation does not alter the number of acid molecules per drop. This condition is met if either the number of acid molecules are large compared to the number of ions formed or if the acid is maintained in equilibrium with a gas phase reservoir. The validity of latter condition, which will normally apply in the atmosphere, will be demonstrated later in this section.
In general, we expect that atmospheric particles will contain a mixture of acids and bases; these will undergo a number of simultaneous acid-base equilibria producing a variety of anions. However, it is sufficient to simply consider the dissociation of a single acid. This is because the ratios of the average concentrations of the anions will be the same as in bulk solution. The reason is that each anion concentration, [A\textsuperscript{−}], is proportional to [HA][H\textsuperscript{+}]. Since the average concentration of acid, [HA], is assumed to be constant, it is independent of the acid-base equilibria. Thus, there is nothing to favour any one anion over the other anions and any change in chemistry in small drops relative to bulk solution must affect all anions in equal proportions. This statement does not apply to ions produced by strong electrolytes (e.g., NaCl) since these are not directly involved in the acid-base equilibria. The fact that all anions are affected equally enables us to simplify the analysis by considering the dissociation of a single weak acid.

We now consider an ensemble of water drops all of which have the same volume, \( V \). In any one drop there are an equal number of \( H\textsuperscript{+} \) and \( A\textsuperscript{−} \) ions but the number of pairs of ions varies from drop to drop. Let \( p \) be the average number of pairs of ions expected per drop on the basis of bulk solution chemistry. Then

\[
\rho = [H\textsuperscript{+}]_{\text{bulk}} V = [A\textsuperscript{−}]_{\text{bulk}} V. \tag{1}
\]

At any given time, there will be a distribution of pairs of ions among the drops; let \( N_j \) be the number of drops having \( j \) pairs of ions. The number of pairs in a given drop will be constantly changing as a result of dissociation and recombination. Let \( L_i \) be the probability per unit time that a recombination reaction will occur in a single drop containing \( j \) pairs to form a drop with \( j-1 \) pairs. For the whole population, the rate of transition of drops with \( j \) pairs to drops with \( j-1 \) pairs is \( L N_j \). Let \( F \) be the probability per unit time that dissociation will occur in a single drop and increase the number of pairs by one. The rate of transition of drops from \( j-1 \) to \( j \) is \( F N_j \). At steady state these must balance, thus

\[
L_i N_j = F N_{j-1}. \tag{2}
\]

If \( k_R \) is the rate constant of the reaction of \( H\textsuperscript{+} \) with \( A\textsuperscript{−} \), we have

\[
L_i = k_R \left( \frac{\rho}{V} \right)^2 V. \tag{3}
\]

\( F \) must depend only on the volume of the drop and the probability of ionization per unit volume. The latter probability must be the same as in bulk solution since the ionization of an individual molecule should not depend on drop size. This point has been considered in detail by Gosele et al. [1979]. In bulk solution, the rate of ionization must balance the rate of recombination. Thus, the rate of a first order reaction of one ion depends on \( \rho \) rather than \( \rho^2 \). The average of \( F \) is given by

\[
\langle j \rangle = \frac{N_0}{N_r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j^2}{j!} \rho^j N_0. \tag{8}
\]

It is informative to evaluate \( \langle j^2 \rangle \) since this determines the average value of the product \([H^+] [A\textsuperscript{−}] \). Because the first non-zero term is that for \( j=1 \), we can rewrite equation (8) as

\[
\langle j^2 \rangle = \frac{N_0}{N_r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j^2}{j!} \rho^j (j-1)^2. \tag{9}
\]

Making a change of index, \( j \to j+1 \), we have

\[
\langle j^2 \rangle = \frac{N_0}{N_r} \rho^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{j+1}^2}{j!} \tag{10}
\]

and, after using equation (7) to eliminate the summation,

\[
\langle j \rangle = \frac{N_0}{N_r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j^2}{j!} \rho^j. \tag{11}
\]

When \( \rho \) is small enough that we need only consider terms up to \( \rho^2 \) in the summations, this reduces to \( \langle j \rangle \approx \rho \). This is because if all drops have either \( j=0 \) or \( j=1 \), all powers of \( \rho \) beyond one are equal to \( j \). In this case, there is a large difference between the bulk result, \( \rho \), and \( \langle j \rangle \), the average over a collection of small drops. In the opposite limit of very large values of \( \rho \), the summations are dominated by terms near the maximum of \( N_j \). For these terms, \( j \) is approximately constant; thus \( \langle j \rangle \approx \langle j \rangle_{\text{max}} \) the value of \( j \) at the maximum. From equation (5), we see that \( N_j \) is a maximum when \( j=\rho \); therefore, in the limit of large \( j \), we obtain the bulk solution result, \( \langle j \rangle \approx \rho \).

The transition between these limits is rather sharp. Numerical evaluation of the ratio \( \langle j \rangle /\rho \) shows that it is within 2.5% of the bulk value of unity when \( \rho > 10 \) and is within \( 2\% \) of the drop size limit, \( \rho \), when \( \rho \lesssim 0.2 \). These numerical results also show that equation (12) is reasonably well approximated by the expression

\[
\langle j \rangle \approx \frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{1+\rho^2}}. \tag{13}
\]

Finite number of undissociated molecules. Now consider the case in which each drop contains a fixed small number, \( n \), of acid molecules, HA. An example might be small clusters of water molecules condensed on a single acid molecule. In such a drop, the probability per unit time of forming an HA molecule by recombination of a pair of ions is still given by equation (3). The probability of forming a new pair of ions must be proportional to the number of undissociated HA molecules. Thus, this now depends on the number of pairs of ions, \( j \). Equation (4) is therefore replaced by

\[
F_j = k_R K_a \frac{n-j}{V}. \tag{14}
\]

where \( K_a \) is the acid dissociation constant and \( k_R K_a \) is the first order rate constant for dissociation.

Using this in the above procedure we now obtain instead of equation (11),

\[
\langle j \rangle \approx \frac{K_a V}{n-\langle j \rangle}. \tag{15}
\]

When \( n \) and \( j \) are large, we find \( \langle j \rangle \approx \rho^2 \) and \( \rho^2 \). Then equation (15) is identical to the usual equilibrium expression in bulk solution. However, this will not be true in general; for example, if \( \rho \) is much less than 1, then \( \langle j \rangle \approx \rho^2 \) as in the case where \( n \) was not fixed.
IF HA can enter and leave the drop, the number of undissociated acid molecules, n, will be distributed among the drops according to a Poisson distribution. We may apply equation (15) to each n in the distribution. Averaging over the distribution is straightforward; the result validates the assumption, made above, that equilibrium with a gas phase reservoir is equivalent to a very large number of undissociated molecules in the drop.

Application of results. We now have a procedure for correcting model calculations for the effect of drop size on the distribution of the various ions involved in acid-base equilibria. First, we carry out the acid-base calculations for bulk solution to obtain [H+] and, using equation (1), p. We then use either equation (12) or (13) to determine <p>/p. This ratio is then applied to find the revised concentrations of [H+] and the various anions. If p is large enough that the bulk result may be used, then the bulk result may also be used for all the individual anions; this includes anions with an average number per drop that is much less than unity. The reason for this is that the formation and loss of such anions has a negligible effect on [H+] since their concentration is much smaller than [H+]. Thus, their formation and loss is effectively first order and simple averages may be used. When the solution is basic, it is OH- rather than H+ that should be regarded as the common ion and [OH-/] should be used to compute p.

The effect of drop size on acid-base equilibria therefore depends only on the bulk solution pH and the drop size. Figure 1 shows the drop size as a function of pH over which the transition from bulk behaviour occurs. The effect of finite drop size increases as one moves below the lines shown; thus, the effect is most important for submicrometer particles with near neutral pH. Atmospheric particles with near neutral pH are typically in the coarse mode with diameters greater than one micrometer [Prospero et al., 1983], acid-base equilibria in these particles can be safely treated using a bulk solution approximation. Submicrometer particles usually have low pH [Prospero et al., 1983], so the bulk approximation will usually be valid for these as well. Although there may be special cases for which the bulk treatment fails for atmospheric particles, this effect is more likely to be observed in laboratory experiments.

An example of this is the HO2 uptake experiments of Mozurkewich et al. [1987]. The observed uptake (their Figure 5) on particles with pH=7 was only slightly greater than on particles with pH=5. This was surprising since HO2 has a pK of 4.7 and should be a factor of 70 more soluble at the higher pH. This would dramatically increase its uptake. Since the particle radii were 0.055 μm, we have p=4 at pH 5 and p=0.04 at pH 7. The bulk calculation overestimates the dissociation of HO2 by a factor of 25 at pH=7. After taking this into account, the increase in solubility with the change in pH is only a factor of three. Thus the observed increase in uptake is much less than expected from a bulk calculation.

Free Radical Reactions

A significant effect in atmospheric particles is more likely to be encountered in the chemistry of free radicals. Radical-radical reactions provide a common sink of radicals and should produce a negative correlation in their concentrations. This will reduce the reaction rate. In particular, when radical concentrations are low, particles containing more than one radical are rare. If there are n radicals in a particle, the rate of a radical-radical reaction is proportional to n(n-1) since a molecule cannot react with itself. If n is large, we may reasonably approximate n(n-1) as n2; this gives the rate law usually used for bulk solutions. But when n is small, this approximation is seriously in error. Thus, radical concentrations and chain reactions in which radicals react with non-radical molecules may be much more efficient in small particles than in bulk solution.

Here we consider the case where a single radical is produced in a drop, for example by the transport of OH radicals into the drop from the gas phase. If the aqueous radicals are in equilibrium with the gas, the probability of finding two radicals in a drop is simply the square of the probability of finding one. However, the phases may not be in equilibrium. In solution the radical may react to form an ion that can not readily return to the gas phase. For example, in some recent experiments on NaBr(aq) particles (Anastasio and Mozurkewich, manuscript in preparation), any OH radicals entering the solution react according to

\[ \text{OH} + 2 \text{Br}^- \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{Br}_2. \]

This is the result of a rapid sequence of reactions [Manow et al., 1977]; the rate determining step is collision of OH(g) with the particle surface. The thermodynamics of this reaction strongly favours \( \text{Br}_2 \) (Kaq = 1.3 \times 10^{12} \text{ atto}^{-1} [Schwarz and Dodson, 1984]) and \( \text{Br}_2 \) rapidly disproportionate (k = 2 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} [Neta et al., 1988]),

\[ \text{Br}_2^- + \text{Br}_2 \rightarrow \text{Br}_3(g) + 2 \text{Br}. \]

As a result, \( [\text{Br}_2^-] \) will tend to be much less than at equilibrium.

In the general case, radical loss occurs by both first order evaporation and second order reaction. The steady state equations (analogous to equation 2) for this system are simple to write out but difficult to solve analytically. However, numerical solutions to the kinetic equations can be readily obtained by the stochastic method of Gillespie [1976, 1977]. Averaging the kinetic results over time at long times provides the steady state solution.

Figure 2 shows the steady state number of \( \text{Br}_2^- \) radicals per drop for both a bulk solution calculation, nB, and the stochastic calculation, ns. The bulk value, nB, is proportional to the square root of OH partial pressure, \( P_{\text{OH}} \). When \( P_{\text{OH}} \) is large enough that nB is much greater than unity, the stochastic and bulk calculations give the same result; that is, \( n_s = n_B \). But at small values of \( P_{\text{OH}} \), ns levels off at a value of 0.5, independent of \( P_{\text{OH}} \). This condition is maintained for several hours for a 50 nm drop. Eventually, Br is depleted, OH accumulates, and the equilibrium \( [\text{Br}_2^-] \) corresponding to a given \( P_{\text{OH}} \) (reaction R1) decreases. Only when the equilibrium value of \( [\text{Br}_2^-] \) begins to approach the steady state value does ns begin to drop below 0.5.

This somewhat unexpected result (ns = 0.5) can be understood when we consider that under these conditions there is no evapora-

![Figure 1. Drop diameters corresponding to different values of p, the average number of hydrogen or hydroxide ions per drop based on a bulk solution calculation. Curves are shown for p=10 (solid line) and p=0.2 (dashed line). Above the p=10 curve, the bulk solution result is valid; below the p=0.2 curve, it has broken down completely.](image-url)
The number of reactant molecules per particle is small (of order unity or less). These reactions should then be treated using appropriate methods that account for the discrete nature of the radicals.

Now consider the possibility that Br$_2^-$ participates in a chain reaction in which it is regenerated. An example might be the sequence [Song, 1996]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Br}_2^+ + \text{O}_3(\text{aq}) & \rightarrow \text{O}_3^- + \text{Br}_2(g) \quad (R3) \\
\text{O}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}(l) & \rightarrow \text{OH}(\text{aq}) + \text{O}_2(g) + \text{OH}^- \quad (R4) \\
\text{OH}(\text{aq}) + 2 \text{Br}^- & \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{Br}_2^- \quad (R5)
\end{align*}
\]

This amounts to a radical catalyzed oxidation of Br by O$_3$. At very low P$_{OH}$, the average value of [Br$_2$(aq)] can be orders of magnitude larger than expected from bulk solution. Then the rate of Br$_2$ production by this chain reaction will be enhanced by the same factor.

There are a number of possible atmospheric implications of this potential for enhancement of radical chain reactions in particles. These include oxidant chemistry in cloud water [Leleuivel, 1991] sulphur oxidation in aerosol particles [Chameides and Stelson, 1992; Mozurkewich, 1995], and halide oxidation in aerosol particles [Sander and Crutzen, 1996; Mozurkewich, 1995]. Since most radical concentrations are expected to be very small, these effects might matter even in coarse aerosol particles or in cloud drops. Model results should be closely examined for instances where significant reactions involve two or more species for which the number of reactive monomers per particle is small (of order unity or less). These reactions should then be treated using appropriate stochastic methods.
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Notes
1. This may be demonstrated rigorously by a straightforward expansion of the analysis given here.
2. For a distribution of sizes, this analysis can be applied separately to each range of sizes.
3. Here we ignore the relatively small changes due to the Kelvin effect.
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Figure 2. Average number of Br$_2^-$ radicals per drop at steady state. The drop radius is 50 nm, [Br$_2^-$] = 5.4 M, total pressure is 1 atmosphere. The dotted line is computed using the bulk solution approximation and the solid line is from a stochastic computation that accounts for the discrete nature of the radicals.
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