Heart rate variability (HRV) is a vagal nerve-mediated biomarker of cardiac function used to investigate chronic illness, psychopathology, stress and, more recently, attention-regulation processes such as meditation. This study investigated HRV in relation to maladaptive perfectionism, a stress-related personality factor, and mindfulness meditation, a stress coping practice expected to elevate HRV, and thereby promote relaxation. Maladaptive perfectionists (n = 21) and Controls (n = 39) were exposed to a lab-based assessment in which HRV was measured during (1) a 5-minute baseline resting phase, (2) a 5-minute cognitive stress-induction phase, and (3) a post-stress phase. In the post-stress phase, participants were randomly assigned to a 10-minute audio-instructed mindfulness meditation condition or a 10-minute rest condition with audio-description of mindfulness meditation. Analyses revealed a significant elevation in HRV during meditation for Controls but not for Perfectionists. These results suggest that mindfulness meditation promotes relaxation following cognitive stress and that the perfectionist personality hinders relaxation possibly because of decreased cardiac vagal tone. The results are discussed in the context of developing psychophysiological models to advance therapeutic interventions for distressed populations.

1. Introduction

Heart rate variability (HRV) is the beat-to-beat variation in heart rate (HR) or the inter-beat interval (i.e. heart period) and is a widely used biomarker for the coordinated activity of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and parasympathetic nervous system (PNS; Cacioppo et al., 2007; Karim et al., 2011) Psychological stress (i.e. problem solving, decision-making, worrying) leads to cardiovascular activation via the autonomic nervous system (ANS; Fabes and Eisenberg, 1997; Taelman et al., 2009). A typical cardiac stress response involves SNS activation wherein sympathetic nerve fibers release the excitatory neurotransmitters epinephrine and norepinephrine onto the heart’s sinoatrial (SA) node to accelerate HR (Cacioppo et al., 2007; Karim et al., 2011; Thayer et al., 2012). In the aftermath, return to cardiac resting state requires parasympathetic influence to be instated through vagal nerve activation and its associated release of acetylcholine—a neurotransmitter that inhibits the SA node and decelerates HR. In contrast to stressful states, more restful and self-regulated states are typically marked by increased HRV, largely attributed to respiration-based (parasympathetic) HR control, particularly as slower respiration stimulates vagus nerve activity (Grossman and Taylor, 2007; Porges and Byrne, 1992). Thus, as an indicator of autonomic cardiac activity, the variation in HRV is used as an index of continuous and real-time changes in parasympathetic function at rest and under specific conditions (Allen et al., 2007; Force, 1996).

Insufficient parasympathetic function (corresponding with reduced HRV) can result in difficulties in reaching restful and relaxed states post-stress, while extended autonomic activity can accumulate as cardiovascular disease risks (Haensel et al., 2008; Kemp et al., 2012) and as stable or chronic anxiety-depressive conditions (Brosschot et al., 2006). Conversely, a growing literature associates increased HRV with enhanced attention-regulation (Chida and Steptoe, 2010; Segerstrom and Nes, 2007; Thayer et al., 2009) and with the practice of various forms of meditation (Burg and Wolf, 2012; Krygier et al., 2013; Phongsuphap et al., 2008). Collectively, the evidence suggests that variations in relaxation capabilities after stressful conditions can be explained by the effective practice of attention regulation techniques.

A relevant yet unexplored stress-related factor that can potentially hinder the relaxation process is maladaptive perfectionism. Maladaptive perfectionism is a personality construct characterized by a persistent striving for unrealistic personal standards, an excessive concern over mistakes, and an attentional bias for failure (Hewitt and Flett, 1991; Lo and Abbott, 2013). Excessive perfectionistic thinking is consistently associated with chronic stress (Ashby et al., 2012; Flett et al., 1998; Pirbaglou et al., 2013), greater worry and rumination levels...
Perseverative cognitions—characterized as worry and rumination—have been suggested to produce health detriments including frequently prolonged elevations in HR and decreases in HRV (Brosschot et al., 2006; Brosschot, 2010). These suggestions are supported by both short term and long term studies on the cardiac response to stress and worry (Brosschot et al., 2007; Brosschot and Thayer, 2003; Glynn, 2002; Pieper et al., 2007, 2010; Verkuil et al., 2009). For example, in a study of normal populations assessed by ambulatory monitoring, HRV decreased during periods when participants reported that they had been worrying (Brosschot et al., 2007). Further, Papousek et al. (2011) studied HR responses to a task that provided performance feedback manipulated to be inconsistent with participants’ self-concept. They found evidence of delayed psychophysiological recovery which supported the ‘perseverative cognition’ model of detriments from negative cognitive representations of stressful conditions (Papousek et al., 2011). Taken together, these findings support the hypothesis that perfectionists, who are known to engage in a higher frequency of perseverative cognitions, will demonstrate decreased HRV during and after a stress-induction that activates cognitive schemas pertaining to perceived failure and unrealistic performance standards.

Given this hypothesis, it is important to explore stress-regulation practices conducive to cardiac-based relaxation evident through increases in HRV. Recent theoretical and empirical developments suggest that perfectionism and perseverative cognitions can be reduced by cognitive-behavioral therapy and mindfulness meditation (Delgado et al., 2010; Masuda and Tully, 2012; Nyklíček et al., 2013). A recent randomized controlled-trial adopting both approaches to treat maladaptive perfectionists revealed significant reductions in anxiety sensitivity and negative automatic thoughts (Radhu et al., 2012a; Guglietti et al., 2013). An additional trial has shown that post-intervention improvements may be seen in perfectionists cortical inhibition—a neurophysiological mechanism associated with therapy-related improvements and mindfulness meditation (Radhu et al., 2012b). Given the importance of attention regulation and mindfulness to current psychotherapeutic approaches, more evidence is needed to support the hypothesis that mindfulness training can assist individuals in regulating cardiac stress responses.

Mindfulness meditation has received significant interest in health psychology, neurophysiology, and, more recently, psychophysiology. Evidence indicates that mindfulness meditation helps regulate psychological distress through physiological relaxation processes (Argus and Thompson, 2007; Short and Mazmanian, 2013). Mindfulness is conceptualized as a family of techniques that emphasize purposeful and non-judgmental awareness of present moment experiences i.e. cognitions, emotions, physical sensations, and external stimuli (Didonna, 2009). Putatively, seated mindfulness meditation generates a relaxation effect through a combination of focal attention on one's breathing sensations and open-monitoring of immediate experiences (Didonna, 2009), processes that serve to orient one to the present time-frame in contrast to past experiences or future outcomes (Grossman et al., 2004).

Studies have found mindfulness practices associated with positive changes in cognitive function (Chiesa et al., 2011), brain regions associated with attention (Lazar et al., 2006) and emotion regulation (Lutz et al., 2008), and reductions in chronic stress (Mankus et al., 2013; Ritvo et al., 2013). Furthermore, HRV has been shown to increase during brief mindfulness meditation practice (Burg and Wolf, 2012; Ditto et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2009) as well as after engagement in long-term meditation retreats (Delgado-pastor et al., 2013; Krygier et al., 2013). Given that parasympathetic HR control is primarily established by respiratory-linked variations modulated by the vagus nerve (Allen et al., 2007; Force, 1996), and that respiration (via awareness of breathing) is central to meditation, HRV is posited as a physiological correlate of mindful states, while respiration is an important confounding variable to control (Allen et al. 2007).

The present study assessed a sample of maladaptive perfectionists and a control group on stress reactivity and post-stress relaxation. A three-phase protocol involved HRV measurement (primary outcome) during a baseline rest phase, a stress-induction phase, and a post-stress phase in which participants were randomized to a brief audio-guided mindfulness meditation condition or a resting condition consisting of an audio description of mindfulness meditation. The following hypotheses were tested:

1. Stress phase HRV would be lower than baseline HRV for all participants. This effect was expected to be greater in perfectionists compared to controls.

2. Control participants assigned to the mindfulness meditation condition, but not perfectionists, would exhibit increases in HRV from Phase 2 (stress phase) to Phase 3 (meditation).

2. Materials & methods

2.1. Participants

This study was conducted at a large public university and recruited undergraduate students of all years of study and majors through an online system of research participation which grants course credit.

Exclusion criteria included a history or current diagnosis of any anxiety disorder and/or major depressive disorder and cardiovascular disease (hypertension, coronary artery disease, and arrhythmias) as these conditions are known to involve ANS dysfunction (Chalmers et al., 2014; Haensel et al., 2008; Kemp et al., 2012; Marano et al., 2009; Miu et al., 2009). Participants were excluded if they had a history of practicing mindfulness meditation or even minimal exposure to the practice (>60 min).

2.2. Sample size estimation

Based on a review of the literature, changes in HRV during mindfulness meditation have been reported to range between medium (Burg and Wolf, 2012; Takahashi et al., 2005) and large effect sizes (Krygier et al., 2013). Given α = .05 and the employment of multivariate statistical tests to study within-subject HRV changes during meditation, it was estimated that a total sample size of 40 participants would provide acceptable power (0.80) to assess a medium effect size (partial eta squared > 0.06) and excellent power (0.95) for detecting a large effect size (partial eta squared > 0.14).

2.3. Screening

The Perfectionism Cognitions Inventory (PCI) assesses the frequency of automatic thoughts with perfectionist themes that highlight the discrepancy between one’s current and ideal self (Flett et al., 2007; Flett et al., 1998; Flett et al., 2011). Participants are asked to indicate how often they experienced perfectionistic thoughts (e.g. “I must be efficient” “I must be efficient all the time”) on the previous week on a 5-point Likert scale. The PCI has demonstrated adequate validity and reliability and correlational analyses have revealed strong associations with trait perfectionism, psychological distress, and depressive-anxious symptoms (Flett et al., 2007; Flett et al., 2011; Pirbuglou et al., 2013). Furthermore, there has been no gender difference in mean PCI scores found (Flett et al., 1998).

The current study utilized the procedure used in two previous randomized-controlled trials (Arpin-Cribbie et al., 2008; Radhu et al., 2012b) to screen for maladaptive perfectionism. The Perfectionism group consisted of participants with PCI scores falling at or above 66, which represents the value lying one standard deviation above the established mean of the PCI (Arpin-Cribbie et al., 2008). The Control group consisted of all eligible participants whose scores fell below the cut-off of 66. The alpha coefficient value for the PCI in this study...
indicated excellent internal consistency (α = .96). Additional perfectionism measures were obtained using the Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (MPS), containing factors of self-oriented and socially prescribed perfectionism (Hewitt et al., 1991).

2.4. Procedure

All participants provided written informed consent upon arriving at the laboratory session. All study procedures were approved by the Human Participants Review Subcommittee at the university where the study was conducted. Upon arrival at the lab, and after obtaining informed written consent to participate, all participants completed computer-based self-report questionnaires (this manuscript reports only results from the perfectionism inventories). Following this, all participants were first measured during a baseline rest phase, followed by a stress-induction phase, and post-stress conditions. Post-stress condition allocation was determined by the experimenter immediately following the stress-induction using a previously prepared randomization schedule (www.randomization.com) to a mindfulness meditation or resting (control) condition. No indication was provided to the participants as to whether they qualified as Perfectionists or Controls, or to the purpose of the stress-induction and post-stress conditions.

In the baseline rest phase, participants were asked to remain seated in a relaxed and upright manner for 5 min while thinking freely with their eyes open. They were instructed not to make any sudden movements, keep their gaze in the same direction, and remain silent. For stress-induction, in a computer-based pattern recognition task (PRT), participants were asked to study 4 alphanumeric characters presented at the top of the screen for 9 s and determine the pattern that they follow (Fig. 1). After 9 s, a 5th character was shown and the text “True or False?” appeared in the middle of the screen. Participants were instructed to respond with the following key as quickly as possible “True” (→ key) if they believed the 5th character followed the pattern or “False” (← key) if it did not. Immediately after the response, the text “Right Answer” or “Wrong Answer” was presented at the bottom left or bottom right of the screen, respectively for 3 s. Numbers indicating total “Correct [X]” (bottom right) and “Incorrect [Y]” (bottom left) answers totaled to the current trial remained on the screen.

The alphanumeric characters were designed to appear as if they followed simple or complex patterns, however, none of the problem components are determined in a spectrum calculated from ECG record- ings of 2–5 min; very low frequency (VLF; 0.003–0.04 Hz), low frequency (LF; 0.04–0.15 Hz), and high frequency (HF; 0.15–0.4 Hz). The power of the HF band is extensively used as an index of RSA (Force, 1996). This function (with power computed as units of milliseconds²). The 10-minute post-stress recordings were divided into two 5-minute segments in order to a) keep the time-length of the ECG recordings used to calculate HRV consistent (i.e. 5 min for baseline, stress-induction, and two post-stress phases) and b) to allow for an additional time-point to assess response to meditation instruction.

2.5. Heart rate variability data collection & analyses

Electrocardiogram (ECG) recordings were collected using ADI PowerLab 4-channel data acquisition system (Colorado Springs, United States), which utilizes 2 adhesive electrodes applied to the chest and a ground electrode on the ankle. Midway through the study’s data collection period, an ADI respiratory bel-transducer was acquired to obtain respiratory measures. The belt was securely placed across a participant’s midsection and acquired respira-tion rate data (Allen et al., 2007).

LabChart Pro software by ADI is integrated with the PowerLab unit and was used to calculate frequency-based HRV metrics (Allen et al., 2007). Frequency-based metrics are of greater interest due to their associations with the modulation of the ANS; changes in the power of frequency bands better represents the sympatho-vagal response compared to time-domain metrics (Force, 1996). Three primary spectral components are determined in a spectrum calculated from ECG recordings of 2–5 min; very low frequency (VLF; 0.003–0.04 Hz), low frequency (LF; 0.04–0.15 Hz), and high frequency (HF; 0.15–0.4 Hz). The power of the HF band is extensively used as an index of RSA (Force, 1996). This band captures HR fluctuations attributed to parasympathetic (vagal) function (with power computed as units of milliseconds²).

The 10-minute post-stress recordings were divided into two 5-minute segments in order to; a) keep the time-length of the ECG recordings used to calculate HRV consistent (i.e. 5 min for baseline, stress-induction, and two post-stress phases) and b) to allow for an additional time-point to assess response to meditation instruction.

2.6. Statistical analyses

All data was examined for normality prior to statistical testing. Comparisons of demographic and psychometric data between Perfectionists and Control groups were conducted using independent t-tests and chi-square tests of independence (Table 1). Respiration rate, as suggested by Houtveen et al. (2002), was analyzed by 3-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Group (Perfectionists vs. Control), Condition (meditation vs. rest) and Phase (baseline, stress, post-stress 1–5 min, post-stress 6–10 min) as factors.

The HRV data was log transformed to neutralize positive skews. Analysis was conducted using $2 \times 2 \times 4$ between-subjects ANOVA with Group (Perfectionists vs. Control) and Condition (meditation vs. rest) as the between-subjects factors, and Phase (baseline, stress, post-stress 1–5 minute period, and post-stress 6–10 minute period) as the
within-subjects factor. The violation of the sphericity assumption was handled by conducting a multivariate ANOVA (MANOVA). Significant interaction effects were followed up with simple effects analysis and pairwise comparisons using a Bonferroni Type I error rate correction.

3. Results

3.1. Recruitment and participant flow

A total of 68 participants was recruited (males = 36; females = 32). One participant (female, control) completed consent and questionnaires but withdrew from the study prior to phase 1. Seven participants included in the final analyses; 5 due to unanalyzable ECG data (signal noise), and 2 due to their disclosure (in the debriefing interview) that they had become aware of the deception in the PRT during the stress phase (Fig. 2). Table 1 displays characteristics of the 60 participants included in the initial analyses based on stratification into Perfectionists or Control groups (See Fig. 2).

3.2. Group characteristics

Twenty-one participants were classified as Perfectionist, and 39 as Controls. Perfectionists were 52% female, with a mean age of 22 (SD = 1.57), and Controls were 53% male, with a mean age of 21 (SD = .82). No significant differences were found with respect to respiration rate or verbal performance ratings between groups (Table 1). Approximately 59% of Control participants self-rated as performing "Average" or above on the PRT after stress-induction, while 52% of Perfectionists self-rated as performing "Below Average" or lower.

3.3. Overall results

For the initial ANOVA, Mauchly's test of sphericity was significant ($\chi^2(5) = .73, p = .005$) and a MANOVA approach to results was taken. The MANOVA revealed a significant main effect of Phase ($\eta^2 = .25, F_{(3, 54)} = 6.09, p = .001, \eta^2 = .25$), a significant Group × Phase interaction ($\eta^2 = .14, F_{(3, 54)} = 2.82, p = .047, \eta^2 = .14$), and a significant Group × Condition × Phase interaction ($\eta^2 = .14, F_{(3, 54)} = 2.98, p = .039, \eta^2 = .14$). All other main effects and interaction effects were not significant (all $p > .005$) (Fig. 3).

3.4. Hypothesis 1: decreased HRV during stress

The significant main effect of Phase was followed up with pairwise comparisons showing, as hypothesized, that mean HRV was lower during Phase 2 (stress) ($M = 2.73, SEM = .05$) compared to Phase 1 (baseline) ($M = 2.87, SEM = .06$) ($p = .005$).

Next, stress HRV was evaluated by analyzing the Group × Phase interaction. The simple effect of Group at the stress phase was not significant ($F_{(3, 54)} = .12, p = .73, \eta^2 = .022$), a significant main effect of Phase ($\eta^2 = .37, F_{(3, 54)} = 10.63, p < .001, \eta^2 = .37$) but not Perfectionists ($\eta^2 = .04, F_{(3, 54)} = 1.20, p = .32, \eta^2 = .06$). Bonferroni-adjusted pairwise comparisons ($p > .008$) revealed a trend in Controls for HRV during the baseline rest phase ($M_{rest} = 2.87, SEM = .07$) to be greater than that during the stress phase ($M_{stress} = 2.72, SEM = .06$) ($p = .009$) (Table 2).

3.5. Hypothesis 2: increased HRV in controls during mindfulness

Hypothesis 2 was evaluated by analyzing the Group × Condition × Phase interaction. The simple effect of Phase for Controls...
in the meditation condition was significant (Λ = .50, F(3, 54) = 17.96, p < .000, η² = .50). Follow-up pairwise comparisons showed that HRV in Controls was significantly greater during the first 5 min of meditation than during stress and it remained elevated at 6–10 min. In contrast, the simple effect of Phase for Perfectionists in the meditation condition was not significant (Lambda = .98, F(3, 54) = .42, p < .74, η² = .02).

Lastly, HRV during post-stress was also evaluated by analyzing the Group × Phase × Condition interaction. The simple effects of Condition for Controls at the first (F(1, 56) = 22.57, p < .000, η² = .29) and second post-stress (F(1, 56) = 12.45, p = .001, η² = .18) phases were significant, indicating Controls showed greater HRV during meditation when compared to rest (Table 3). The simple effect of Condition for Perfectionists was not significant at either the first post-stress (F(1, 56) = 1.05, p = .31, η² = .02) or second post-stress (F(1, 56) = .75, p = .39, η² = .01) phase.

**Table 2**

Means and SEM of HF-HRV during post-stress phases according to conditions within groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Maladaptive perfectionists (n = 21)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 39)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MM condition (n = 12)</td>
<td>Rest condition (n = 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-stress (1–5 min)</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-stress (6–10 min)</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Bonferroni-adjusted pairwise comparisons (p < .008) were conducted with stress phase. Estimated marginal means based on log-transformed HF-HRV.

**Table 3**

Means (SEM) of HF-HRV in conditions according to post-stress phases within groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Maladaptive perfectionists (n = 21)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 39)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meditation (n = 12)</td>
<td>Rest (n = 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-stress</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–5 min</td>
<td>2.92 (.11)</td>
<td>2.75 (.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-stress</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10 min</td>
<td>2.87 (.10)</td>
<td>2.76 (.11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Estimated marginal means based on log-transformed HF-HRV and adjusted with covariate resting HF-HRV. Standard errors of the mean in brackets.

* Based on univariate F tests for simple effects of condition.

**4. Discussion**

This study examined HRV during conditions of baseline, stress, and post-stress mindfulness meditation versus rest in Perfectionists and Controls. As predicted, the Control participants responded to the audio-instructed mindfulness meditation condition with higher levels of HRV compared to the audio-description rest condition. This difference had a large effect size and appears to demonstrate the effectiveness of mindfulness in promoting relaxation after a cognitive stress-induction. Importantly, the lack of a significant response by Perfectionists to the meditation condition raises questions about the psychophysiological dynamics of perfectionism in relation to mindfulness effects.

In a recent controlled experiment on mindfulness meditation that used cardiac measures, Zeidan et al. (2010) studied 82 undergraduates with no meditation experience in a design comparing traditional meditation training with a sham condition. The sham condition lacked the essential instructions of mindfulness (i.e. awareness of breathing sensations) yet included verbal cues suggestive of meditative experiences. The study reported greater decreases in distressed mood and HR following the meditation condition compared to the sham condition (Zeidan et al., 2010). The present study extends this research by observing a stress phase prior to randomizing novice meditators to a mindfulness instruction or a mindfulness description condition. The inclusion of a stress condition eliciting a sympathetic reaction permitted the study...
of relaxation using HRV to measure changes in parasympathetic function. The present finding of enhanced HRV during mindfulness meditation in Controls is consistent with recent research (Burg and Wolf, 2012; Krygier et al., 2013), and suggests that some predisposition to stressful cognitions (i.e. perfectionism) may hinder the effectiveness of brief mindfulness meditation treatments.

The ‘perseverative cognition’ model, which describes the role of worry and rumination in activating, and elongating, the stress response (Brosschot et al., 2006; Brosschot, 2010), may explain why meditation effects were not present in Perfectionists. The stress-induction task included a self-evaluative component by providing immediate feedback of each trial response (“Right Answer” or “Wrong Answer”) and total correct and incorrect responses up to the current trial. Given the Perfectionists’ higher tendency for perfectionism (i.e. self-evaluative) cognitions, they might have been more susceptible to the ‘perseverative’ stress response during meditation practice, due to ruminations about the prior task performance or worry about implementing the meditation instructions. Furthermore, in specifically studying cardiovascular stress responses to tasks with self-evaluative components, Gendolla et al. (2008) found greater systolic blood pressure reactivity during high self-focus conditions with participants exposed to their own facial expressions in a monitor amidst performance. This finding was consistent across tasks with varying difficulty (unfixed, difficult, extreme) (Gendolla et al., 2008) and suggests that in an achievement context, an element of self-focused feedback can be a hindrance to cardiovascular regulation. The current study’s mindfulness instructions did not explicitly reference the task performance, however, the instructions to relax and become aware of one’s thoughts necessitate a self-focus that may have resulted in the hindrance to cardiac function observed in the Perfectionists. With regards to the attentional content during mindfulness meditation, this raises question about how self-focused attention may relate to the expected relaxation effects.

Regulating attention towards distressing cognitions and emotions is a coping strategy taught to distressed individuals in current therapeutic paradigms such as Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (Nykliček et al., 2013) and acceptance and commitment therapy (Hayes et al., 2006). However, there is little psychophysiological evidence for the effectiveness of attention regulation in modulating the stress response. Only one published study (Healy, 2010) has investigated the relationship between attention regulation and HRV in the context of stress. This study found that students reporting low levels of attentional control displayed lower resting HRV and self-reported higher levels of anxiety (Healy, 2010). Attentional difficulties after a period of cognitive stress may explain why, in the present study, Perfectionists did not demonstrate a substantial HRV increase when exposed to brief mindfulness training. When contrasted with the Control’s response, the lack of HRV increase in Perfectionists during mindfulness meditation is suggestive of a maladaptive response to what is typically a relaxation-induced practice (Grossman et al., 2004).

One explanation for this response may be that in attending to perfectionist cognitions, Perfectionists are avoiding somatic, stress-related sensations. According to Santanello and Gardner (2006), the relationship between maladaptive perfectionism and worry is partially mediated by experiential avoidance—the tendency to avoid attention towards undesirable sensations, emotions, and thoughts. The requirement of implementing the mindfulness instructions may have been interpreted as a cognitive challenge and provoked perfectionistic cognitions, which in turn, led to avoiding rather than attending to the experiential qualities of distress (Bardeen et al., 2013; Santanello and Gardner, 2006). The question of whether the lack of HRV increase in Perfectionists is due to inherent physiological incapability or to the attention regulation difficulties still remains. Nonetheless, it appears Perfectionists did not demonstrate the enhanced vagal tone achieved by Controls attributed to attention on the mindfulness meditation instructions of breathing awareness and nonjudgmental observation of thoughts, emotions, and sensations. Given this result, future research should focus explicitly on the instructional features of mindfulness meditation (including the nature, amount, and duration of instructions) and the factors that affect their successful implementation by both distressed personality types and novice meditators.

The results of the present study do not support the hypothesis of a group effect on HRV, as Perfectionists did not exhibit a significantly lower HRV than controls during stress. Of further note is that the Control group showed a trend towards decreased HRV from baseline to stress, whereas Perfectionists did not (Fig. 2). Recent research on the Big Five personality variables has found that individuals high on neuroticism and low on agreeableness exhibit blunted stress reactivity based on measures of salivary cortisol, HR, and blood pressure (Bibbey et al., 2013). The current HRV-based stress assessment raises questions about the possibility of Perfectionists exhibiting a similarly blunted stress reactivity as seen with other distressed personality factors. The lack of a substantial stress response in Perfectionists compared to Controls might indicate a perpetual withdrawal of vagal tone resulting from continuous encounters with perfectionistic stress. Afterwards, in post-stress rest conditions, Perfectionists and Controls exhibited similar HRV (Fig. 2), which does not reflect the tendency for an elongated stress response in Perfectionists as previously speculated. For the purposes of studying the ability to return to resting cardiac levels (without active coping practices) it would be advisable to use longer durations of post-stress assessment.

Previous attempts to examine HRV during mindfulness meditation have observed increases in the high-frequency band (0.15–0.4 Hz), the most approximate estimate of parasympathetic function and, thereby, respiration-based vagal activity (Allen et al., 2007; Force, 1996). In a recent investigation measuring HRV during meditation after participants had attended a 10-day Vipassana meditation retreat, Krygier et al. (2013) found a significant increase in high frequency HRV during mindfulness meditation compared to resting baseline with a large effect size. In the present study, a significant HRV increase in Controls during post-stress mindfulness meditation with a large effect size compliments the previous finding. Importantly, the simple effect of Condition for Controls was highly significant (Table 3) with a similarly large effect size. This pattern may suggest that a different physiological response was evident at the levels of group and post-stress conditions, and supports the potential for HRV to be an important physiological correlate of cognitively stressed and mindful states.

The present study has several limitations. The practical significance of a short term stress response is questionable, particularly as the stress-induction (pattern recognition task) in this study was newly designed and has not been validated. While the task achieved the goal of evoking a stress response as supported by significant HRV decreases, the verbal performance ratings did not indicate significant differences between Perfectionists and Controls (Table 1). In other words, Perfectionists did not report perceiving greater failure after the stress-induction compared to Controls. This combined with comparable HRV measures during the stress phase for Perfectionists and Controls (Fig. 2) make it difficult to determine whether there are substantial differences in (subjectively perceived) stress levels. This indicates a need to operationalize perfectionism for use in future studies to determine whether normative stress and perfectionistic stress could have differing effects on HRV.

These findings must be conservatively interpreted as they are only generalizable to undergraduate populations. Recruitment through a credit system predicated on research participation indicates reliance on a convenience sample. On this note, future studies focusing on perfectionism should consider using multiple dimensions to classify perfectionists, particularly as the personality construct is further conceptualized in the clinical context (Shafran et al., 2003). The current study utilized a screening procedure which resulted in uneven sample sizes of Perfectionists (N = 21) and Controls (N = 39), and also necessitated the analysis to be conducted on a non-clinical, categorical group variable. In defense of this approach, it is important to note that the PCI
has reliably differentiated populations requiring clinical intervention such as individuals with lowered self-esteem, anxiety, and depressive disorder (Arpin-Cribbie et al., 2008; Arpin-Cribbie et al., 2012; Flett et al., 2007; Flett et al., 1995). Furthermore, the PCI has been employed in multiple randomized-controlled trials which have demonstrated that perfectionists can achieve benefits in depressed and anxious moods (Radhu et al., 2012a) as well as cortical inhibition (Radhu et al., 2012b), by way of cognitive-behavioral therapy and mindfulness practice. Furthermore, the uneven proportion in this study is representative of the typical prevalence found at this university, in which a previous recruit of N = 992 participants yielded N = 248 (~25%) maladaptive perfectionists with the same screening procedure (Pirbgoulou et al., 2013).

In spite of the above limitations, the present study improved on various aspects of previous attempts of assessing HRV during mindfulness meditation. Firstly, as suggested by Zeidan et al. (2010), the inclusion of stress-induction prior to randomization to mindfulness meditation or a rest condition helped show the benefits of brief mindfulness training after the experience of stress. Secondly, the lack of adequate control conditions in meditation research has been a source of criticism (Toneatto and Nguyen, 2007). The use of an identically structured rest condition featuring an audio-stimulus description of mindfulness meditation demonstrates a novel and effective approach to controlling for brief mindfulness practice in novice meditators. This corresponds to the approach taken in studies of Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR), wherein an active control condition, Health Enhancement Program, involves health education (e.g. nutrition, chronic disease) but does not feature any specific mindfulness training (Maccoom et al., 2012; Rosenkranz et al., 2013). Finally, the focus on maladaptive perfectionists demonstrated the viability of including personality factors to assess psychopathological risks with consideration for attention regulation capabilities. Future psychophysiological research may consider stratifying samples with greater clinical implications such as depressive or anxious personality styles.

5. Conclusion

The effects of maladaptive perfectionism and mindfulness meditation on HRV were found to be consistent with expectations in this study. After a stress procedure, only Controls randomized to the mindfulness meditation condition exhibited a significant HRV increase, while neither Perfectionist meditators nor non-meditators exhibited substantial HRV elevations. Further testing revealed HRV was significantly elevated during meditation compared to post-stress rest in the Controls but not Perfectionists. These findings suggest that brief mindfulness practice is conducive to post-stress relaxation, whereas relaxation is hindered in the context of perfectionistic stress. This finding can prove useful in designing mindfulness-based therapeutic interventions for individuals prone to maladaptive perfectionism and other, non-clinically defined but, nonetheless, high stress conditions. If additional research demonstrates a strong relationship between HRV and mindfulness, then HRV may be used to index improvement in attention regulation and, thereby, progress made through a therapeutic intervention. It is hoped that the potential of studying psychological processes such as perfectionism and mindfulness through autonomic physiological variables will stimulate increased interdisciplinary research in this area.
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