



# ***Beyond the Narrative: Experimental Approaches to Lesbian "Sex Talk" (1977-1997)***

**A Guide for Postsecondary Education**

**CFMDC – Archive/Counter-Archive  
Educational Guide**





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Overview.....	3
Recommended Levels of Study.....	3
Subject Areas.....	3
Themes and Keywords.....	3
About the Collection.....	3
About CFMDC.....	4
About Archive/Counter-Archive.....	4
About the Guide.....	4
<b>About Cait McKinney and Hazel Meyer.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Curated Films and Videos for Classroom Viewing.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Jill Johnston: October 1975 (Kay Armatage and Lydia Wazana, 1977)</i> .....	6
<i>Ten Cents A Dance (Parallax) (Midi Onodera, 1985)</i> .....	6
<i>Exposure (Michelle Mohabeer, 1990)</i> .....	6
<i>We're Talking Vulva (Shawna Dempsey, 1990)</i> .....	7
<i>Breakfast with Gus (Siobhan Devine, 1997)</i> .....	7
<b>Experimental Approaches to Lesbian "Sex Talk" (1977-1997): Curatorial Essay by Cait McKinney and Hazel Meyer.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Discussion Questions.....</b>	<b>12</b>
References and Further Reading.....	13
Guide Credits.....	14

Preserving and Mobilizing Canadian LGBTQ+ Films  
from 1970 - 2000 in the CFMDC Collection Educational Series

AV archive  
counterarchive

CFMDC  
Canadian Filmmakers Distribution Centre

Educational Guide



**Recommended Study Levels** Undergraduate Students, Graduate Students

**Subject Areas** Film Studies; Media Studies; Women's Studies; Sexuality Studies

**Themes** Lesbian Identity; Lesbian Sexuality; Queer Sexuality; Queer Media History; Censorship

## About the Collection

This selection of short films, curated by Cait McKinney and Hazel Meyer, is taken from the Canadian Filmmakers Distribution Centre's (CFMDC) Case Study conducted in partnership with Archive/Counter-Archive (A/CA) entitled **Beyond the Narrative: Preserving and Mobilizing Canadian LGBTQ+ Films from 1970 - 2000 in the CFMDC Collection**.

Many queer works in the collection from this period exist solely on celluloid or in outdated video formats. These formats reflect the influx of affordable technology that became available to queer artists—beginning with more economical film equipment, and then to a greater extent, in the 1980s and 1990s, with video technology. The rapid obsolescence of these formats in the early 2000s, however, has made this era of CFMDC's LGBTQ+ collection elusive to scholars, programmers, and the public.

Through the Case Study, over 100 titles were digitized from their original format, with the assistance of A/CA partnership organizations and CFMDC's in-house technical services. The Case Study materials raise important questions such as: How do these films open up the ways in which the LGBTQ+ community historicizes themselves in the era of digital technology and retroviral drugs? What do these films reveal about LGBTQ+ histories that extends beyond the narrative of HIV/AIDS memorialization or queer confessional films? How were women filmmakers in Canada representing LGBTQ+ identities on-screen during this period? What do these films reveal about LGBTQ+ resistance?



## About CFMDC

Established in 1967, CFMDC is a not-for-profit, non-commercial media arts distributor that specializes in independent, artist-made work on film and video, including works from historically underrepresented communities. CFMDC advocates for a holistic understanding of production, distribution, and exhibition that prioritizes artist rights, accessibility, and the creation of new audiences through education and critical thinking. CFMDC has one of the most important collections of artist-made moving image on film in Canada.

## About Archive/Counter-Archive

*Archive/Counter-Archive (A/CA): Activating Canada's Moving Image Heritage* is a seven-year research creation project led by Janine Marchessault and funded by a Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council Partnership Grant. Comprising four universities, numerous community partners, memory institutions, and policy advocates, the project is dedicated to activating and remediating audiovisual heritage created by Indigenous Peoples (First Nations, Métis, Inuit), Black communities and People of Colour, women, LGBTQ2+ and immigrant communities, and to fostering a community and network dedicated to creating best practices and cultural policies ([counterarchive.ca](http://counterarchive.ca)).

## About the Guide

This guide introduces a selection of films curated by Hazel Meyer and Cait McKinney. It includes a curatorial essay by Meyer and McKinney, a list of 5 films suggested for classroom viewing, synopses, and discussion questions oriented toward a range of thematic areas. We recommend previewing the works before you screen them for your students and reading the contextualizing information provided in this guide.

Please note that *Ten Cents A Dance (Parallax)* (1985) contains explicit sexual content. We recommend informing your students that this content is part of the film before you watch it with them.

As part of the project, Archive/Counter-Archive has produced a number of educational guides. All A/CA guides are available digitally and for free at [counterarchive.ca](http://counterarchive.ca)

## About Hazel Meyer and Cait McKinney

**Hazel Meyer** is an artist who works with installation, performance, and text to investigate the relationships between sexuality, infrastructure, and material culture.

**Cait McKinney** is a media historian interested in how queer social movements use digital technologies to share information.

Hazel and Cait have been collaborating since 2014 to explore their shared attachments to queer histories and their accessibility through research, writing, video, and archival interventions.





## Curated Films and Videos for Classroom Viewing

Five short films were curated by Meyer and McKinney for classroom screening.

Total run time: 1 hour and 19 minutes



## Jill Johnston: October 1975 (Kay Armatage and Lydia Wazana, 1977)

**Genre:** Documentary

**Length:** 30 minutes

**Synopsis:** Jill Johnston is the author of "Marmalade Me," "Gullible's Travels," "Lesbian Nation," and "Motherbound." This cinema verité documentary is a portrait of Johnston at work and a feminist author at a transitional point in the women's movement and in her own career.



## Ten Cents A Dance (Parallax) (Midi Onodera, 1985)

**Length:** 28 minutes

**Genre:** Experimental

**Synopsis:** "Parallax is the apparent change in position of an object resulting from the change in direction or position from which it is viewed." Midi Onodera's film explores the confusion, underlying meaning, and unspoken truths that are often associated with the dialectic of sexual communication.



## Exposure (Michelle Mohabeer, 1990)

**Genre:** Documentary, Experimental

**Length:** 8 minutes

**Synopsis:** *Exposure* is an experimental documentary that explores issues of race, sexuality, and cultural identity. A dialogue between two lesbians of colour (Japanese-Canadian and Afro-Caribbean women) is intercut with photographs, texts, paintings and voice-over.



## ***We're Talking Vulva*** **(Shawna Dempsey, 1990)**

**Length:** 5 minutes

**Genre:** Comedy, Music Video

**Synopsis:** A five-foot, six-inch rappin' vulva, in an unexpected parody of the music video genre, leads the viewer on a complete description of genitalia.



## ***Breakfast with Gus*** **(Siobhan Devine, 1997)**

**Length:** 8 minutes

**Genre:** Narrative, Comedy

**Synopsis:** A cat's eye view on mundane and domestic queer life. Gus the cat attempts to get attention, and, most importantly, breakfast, from his busy humans who find themselves caught up in a hectic and intimate morning routine.



## Experimental Approaches to Lesbian “Sex Talk” (1977–1997): Curatorial Essay by Cait McKinney and Hazel Meyer

In *Jill Johnston: October 1975* (1977), directors Lydia Wazana and Kay Armatage offer a portrait of a U.S.-based lesbian-feminist icon’s trip to Toronto for a speaking tour. Johnston is promoting her new book project, *Mother Bound*, reading from what she describes to one audience as a single paragraph that goes on for 300 pages about “the archival sense of mother.” Johnston is most famous for writing another book, *Lesbian Nation* (1973)—which is why so many people came out to see her in Toronto, and why Wazana and Armatage set out to make this film. *Lesbian Nation* argues for a lesbian separatist politics where all women embrace their inner lesbian and break from relationships with men and patriarchy. Johnston was a controversial figure, often polemic, and her combativeness is on full display at moments in the film. For example, she berates a man attending one of her readings for bringing a “hostile male energy” into the room, shouting at him into her microphone to leave as he tries to defend his legitimate interest in hearing her speak.

In many ways, Johnston is all vibes, and this is one way to engage with her version of feminism from the present, despite its unapologetic whiteness and essential ideas about womanhood taken up as transphobia then and now. Gender studies scholar Kyla Wazana Tompkins, Lydia’s daughter, has written of the film that while she doesn’t “agree with much that Johnston has to say politically...,” “I admire the sound and image of Johnston’s anger as an artifact of a time when lesbian presence—ugly, monstrous, furious, righteous—had a new currency or traction in the world by the simple fact that it had never been made visible in that way before” (Wazana Tompkins 2019, 150).



Wazana and Armatage’s documentary is shot in verité style, following Johnston and her much younger girlfriend Elli through the streets of Toronto, on the streetcar, to lecture halls and art galleries, living rooms, and to lesbian potlucks and dance parties. They drink wine, eat cheese, smoke cigarettes, smile saccharinely at each other, and are constantly cuddling and kissing, in that endearing but also annoying way lesbians do early in relationships (we write from experience). They are, in other words, relationing, with Wazana and Armatage as witness, and this is as much the subject of the film as Johnston’s writing and persona, which matters if you consider the hostility against lesbian sexuality in the 1970s. There are few declarations here along the lines of “I am a lesbian,” but what the film primarily offers is an intimate vision of lesbian sociality intertwined with sexuality: potlucks, politics, and a newish love affair.



The film represents the earliest example in this selection we have curated from Archive/Counter Archive and the CFMDC's recently digitized collection of rare queer Canadian films from the 1970s, 80s, and 90s. The five selected films each take up experimental approaches to lesbian sex talk, by which we mean, how lesbian politics emerge through our attempts to communicate with others about sex. The context for the works selected here was a broader emerging queer experimental film practice in Canada that responded to homophobia not just in the context of equal rights or everyday life, but in the arts too. Provincial Censor Boards in Canada attacked queer filmmakers in the 1980s, banning certain films deemed "indecent" or "obscene" from being screened in public (Sirove 2019). Artist-run centres such as CFMDC responded with organized campaigns against censorship. This included protest screenings, but also ongoing, active support for queer filmmakers and their work, out of which CFMDC's rich collection grew (Takahashi 2019).

While it's easy to locate this kind of censorship in the past, it finds contemporary manifestations in practices such as shadow-banning queer and trans creators and sex workers on social media platforms. Returning to the ways queer filmmakers responded to these conditions of erasure and censorship with critical investigations of sex talk might offer formal and conceptual models for responding to these censorious conditions today. Following film scholar Tess Takahashi, we might take cues from how "the experimental filmmakers in CFMDC's collection articulated issues about sexuality that came from their own lived experience in a cultural context in which people were actively thinking about gender, sexuality, and the politics of representation" (Takahashi 2019).

Michelle Mohabeer's *Exposure* (1990) documents a conversation between two Toronto-based lesbians in their 30s: Mona Oikawa, who is Japanese, and Leleti Tamu, who is Afro-Caribbean. They sit in

matching upholstered armchairs, facing each other deep in thoughtful, focused conversation. It feels like they don't know each other well, or even at all, but the dialogue is intimate and open. Their talk is interspersed with voice over and images: difficult photographs of Japanese internment are balanced alongside joyful depictions of Black feminist literature and the Asian Lesbians of Toronto marching at Pride. Oikawa and Tamu reflect on what they have in common as lesbians of colour—"we share colonization, imperialism, appropriation, oppression"—and talk through their shared desire to connect racism and homophobia as "part of the same struggle." They also express their difference from each other, marking the legacies of internment and slavery as intertwined, yet distinct.



Here, *sex talk* takes on the politics of what Black lesbian feminist theorist Audre Lorde (who is also quoted in the film's epigraph) calls communicating across difference. Lorde writes, "Now we must recognize differences among women who are equals, neither inferior nor superior, and devise ways to use each other's difference to enrich our visions and our joint struggles" (Lorde 2007 [1984]), 122). Taking up this call, *Exposure* explores how sex talk can imagine lesbian identity and experience as a portal to broader coalitional work, from within the intimate space of a living room conversation.

Where Mohabeer portrays a careful conversation seeking deep understanding between lesbians, Midi Onodera follows the disjunctures, unspoken rules, and awkward moments that are also fundamental to sex talk. *Ten Cents a Dance (Parallax)* (1985) moves through three vignettes, each presented as two channels. The first depicts two women who are friends (one played by Onodera) negotiating the possibility of casual sex with each other for the first time. Queer women are known for "processing" a lot about relationships, which is partly a practice of care. Their slow, shy conversation replaces filmic attention to actual sex with a drawn-out conversation about what that sex might mean. These women sit across from each other at a table in a restaurant, drinking sake. The split between the two channels happens in the middle of the table and is deliberately a bit imperfect. Parallax is a term from physics that describes how the position of an object appears to change based on the observer's line of sight.

Drawing on this metaphor, Onodera formally marks the persistent gap between two people communicating about and through sex. This disjuncture in angle continues in the second vignette, a single shot from above of two men at a university hooking up across two bathroom stalls joined by a glory hole. The non-verbal choreography of cruising stands in contrast to the wrought lesbian sex talk it follows. In the final vignette, a straight guy calls a phone sex hotline and

tries to get off while chatting with the operator, who paints her nails distractedly and tells him whatever he wants to hear. This is the least authentic of the three interactions in the film and also the most mediated: the two channels separated not just by a channel split, but by the distance a telephone call creates. Heterosexuality is deeply alienating when set against the two vignettes it follows.



Siobhan Devine's *Breakfast with Gus* (1997) takes lesbian sex talk into a more every day, domestic register. This entire film is shot from the perspective of a lesbian couple's cat Gus, whose point of view is reproduced using a steady-cam held low to the ground. Gus wants his breakfast, but Julie and April are pre-occupied because Julie's brother Tom, visiting town and purportedly straight, brought a man home the previous night. While going through their morning routine, Julie and April whisper about what's going on with Tom—is he gay or are they friends? Is he being "safe?" Gus, who is also the camera, mostly looks back and forth from his food bowl to Julie and April's legs as they flit about the apartment, the audio become less audible as the humans move further from the cat. The film touches on the banality of queer intimacy triangulated through a cat's point of view, which takes on specific resonances within the context of the lesbian cat lady stereotype. Here sex talk is something you do in between making coffee and getting out the door on time for work.



Moving from the home to the context of education, Shawna Dempsey's *We're Talking Vulva* (1990) plays with one of the most transformative ways lesbian sex talk was deployed in the 1980s and 90s: for sex education that empowered women about their pleasure. Dempsey stars in this music video as Vulva, dressed head-to-toe in an anatomically correct latex suit. The film is funny and over-the-top, but also shares accurate information about how the different parts of the vulva might be used for pleasure, including through masturbation or with other women. Watching from the present, this turn to particular anatomy as essential to women's autonomy and pleasure unintentionally evokes contemporary transphobic discourses. For the record, lots of people who are not women have vulvas, and lots of people who are women do not. But sitting with the historical specificity of this work shows how queer filmmakers took up the wider practices of lesbian-led, sex-positive, and community-based education about pleasure: here sex talk builds comfort naming, exploring, and communicating about one's body (Comella 2017).



Across these five films from CFMDC's larger queer collection, sex talk takes on many forms, from a technique for communicating across experiences of racialization toward social justice, to an everyday, low-key practice in the home before breakfast is made. Wherever it happens, sex talk responds to conditions of marginalization lesbians experienced not just in society, but also in the wider world of experimental queer film and video from the 1970s through the 1990s, which was most often made by gay men to represent their experience. For these filmmakers, listening to sex talk is a portal into the politics of lesbian life across these decades, in which sexuality and desire informed not just intimate relationships, but political imaginaries as well.





## Discussion Questions

1. **McKinney and Meyer observe that censorship of queer lives and art has taken on contemporary forms and write "returning to the ways queer filmmakers responded to these conditions of erasure and censorship with critical investigations of sex talk might offer formal and conceptual models for responding to these censorious conditions today."**

- In addition to shadow-banning, which McKinney and Meyer mention, what are the other ways in which queer folks are censored today?
- How do the films in this collection provide models to respond to these conditions?



2. **In each of these films, sex talk is depicted as somewhat mundane and domestic, occurring in living rooms, streetcars, the public bathroom, the kitchen and so on. As McKinney and Meyer remark, it is "something you do in between making coffee and getting out the door on time for work."**

- What do the settings of these films offer our thinking about lesbian sex talk and its relationship to lesbian and feminist politics?
- How do these politics navigate the tension between public and private realms?

3. **Jill Johnston: *October 1975* and *We're Talking Vulva*, as mentioned by McKinney and Meyer, both at times evoke contemporary transphobic discourses in their essentialism.**

- How is 'sex talk' used as a mode for articulating contemporary queer politics in ways that might be critical of early lesbian politics?
- How do these films offer a legacy of sex talk as political that can still be mobilized toward progressive ends in today's context?

4. **As the opening quote in *Exposure* suggests, lesbian politics in this collection is oriented toward self-determination and even rebirth, perhaps best depicted in Jill Johnston's attempt to re-narrate a fantasy childhood in *Mother Bound*. And yet, this self-determination is made in the intimate sex talk exemplified by the lesbian processing - what some might derogatorily refer to as "small talk" - depicted across this collection: on the first date in *Ten Cents a Dance*, at the parties attended by Jill and Elli, and between other interlocutors in living-rooms and kitchens.**

- How do these films depict the nature of lesbian intimacy?
- How does this intimacy become the grounds for self-determination and identity formation?
- What do these films suggest about the relationship between intimacy and politics?



## References and Further Reading

Comella, Lynn. 2017. *Vibrator Nation: How Feminist Sex Toy Stores Changed the Business of Pleasure*. Durham NC: Duke University Press.

Lorde, Audre. 2007[1984]. "Age, Race, Class, and Sex: Women Redefining Difference." In *Sister Outsider*, 114-123. Berkeley: Crossing Press.

Sirove, Taryn. 2019. *Ruling Out Art: Media Art Meets Law in Ontario's Censor Wars*. Vancouver: UBC Press.

Takahashi, Tess. 2019. "Queer Film at the Canadian Filmmaker's Distribution Centre (1965-1985)," *In Other Places: Reflections on Media Arts in Canada*, edited by Deanna Bowen. Toronto: Media Arts Network of Ontario. <https://www.otherplaces.mano-ramo.ca/tess-takahashi-queer-film-at-the-canadian-filmmakers-distribution-centre-1965-1985/>.

Wazana Tompkins, Kyla. 2019. "Reflections of a Real-Life Feminist Killjoy: Ball-Busters and the Recurring Trauma of Intergenerational Queer-Feminist Life." In *Inside Killjoy's Kastle: Dykey Ghosts, Feminist Monsters, and other Lesbian Hauntings*, edited by Allyson Mitchell and Cait McKinney, 143-158. UBC and AGYU Press.

**Preserving and Mobilizing Canadian LGBTQ2+ Films  
from 1970 - 2000 in the CFMDC Collection Educational Series**

**AV** archive  
counterarchive

**CFMDC**  
Canadian Filmmakers Distribution Centre

Educational Guide



## Guide Credits

**Guide Authors:** Axelle Demus and Chloë Brushwood Rose

Axelle Demus has a PhD in Communication and Culture from York University. Their research looks at LGBTQ2+ cable access television histories in Canada.

Chloë Brushwood Rose is a Professor of Education at York University in Toronto. Her research explores the intersection of media, art, and pedagogy.

**Program Curators:** Cait McKinney and Hazel Meyer

**Design:** Gregorybrossat.com

**Production:** Archive/Counter-Archive, CFMDC

**CFMDC**  
Canadian Filmmakers Distribution Centre



Social Sciences and Humanities  
Research Council of Canada

Conseil de recherches en  
sciences humaines du Canada

Canada



**YORK U**  
UNIVERSITY