TOWARDS AN UNDERSTANDING OF TALENT IDENTIFICATION IN ELITE SPORT

KATHRYN ROBINSON

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

SCHOOL OF KINESIOLOGY AND HEALTH SCIENCE YORK UNIVERSITY TORONTO, ONTARIO

JULY 2016

© [KATHRYN ROBINSON, 2016]

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this thesis was to examine the efficacy of talent identification (TID) programs in predicting future success in elite-level sport. A systematic literature review was performed in phase 1 to synthesize the existing studies. Findings from this review highlighted a high degree of homogeneity in the samples and inconclusive outcomes for the variables examined. The objective of phase 2 was to discern whether testing variables employed by Golf Canada (GC) were effective in discriminating skilled from less-skill athletes. Findings revealed that their TID model does not hold discriminative or predictive utility. This thesis contributes to a limited literature base and provides direction for future research to enhance the selection process for elite-level athletes.

DEDICATION

For Dad

Brent Robinson 1942 - 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Joe Baker and my cosupervisor Dr. Jessica Fraser-Thomas for their unparalleled tutelage over the past two years. I feel privileged to learn and grow as one of their students. I would also like to extend my appreciation to all the sport expertise researchers for their insight and contributions to this thesis. Similarly, I would like to thank the coaches and administrative staff part of Golf Canada. We look forward to future collaborations. Lastly, I would like to acknowledge my mom, Susan Robinson and my partner, Geoff Johnston for their continued love and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	viii
Appendices	ix
Chapter One: General Introduction	1
Chapter Two: What predicts talent in sport? A systematic review of 25 years of a	research 5
Summary	5
Introduction	6
Method	9
Results	11
Discussion	14
Moving forward: Future research directions in TID	16
Moving forward: The need for more diverse research	16
Moving forward: The need for a more ecological design	19
Limitations	
Practical implications	
Conclusion	
Chapter Three: Talent selection in golf: Towards a more representative design .	23
Summary	23
Introduction	24
Method	26
Statistical Analyses	27
Results	28
Discussion	29
Limitations	
Practical implications	
Future Directions: Increase consistency in data collection	

Future Directions: Diversifying testing measures to incorporate a more multidimensional design	34
Future Directions: Incorporate a between-group design	35
Conclusion	35
Chapter Four: General Discussion	36
Summary of the research project	
Implications for theory and practice	37
Future directions: Greater use of longitudinal designs	40
Future directions: Inclusion of more representative designs	41
Future directions: Incorporation of more diverse samples	41
Concluding remarks	43
Bibliography	44

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Final Selection of articles in the review.	74
Table 2. Frequency distribution of studies in the review.	82
Table 3. Variables examined in the final analysis	84
Table 4. Overview of variables tracked by Golf Canada	86
Table 5. Descriptions of variable categories	87
Table 6. Suggested testing measurements for future TID programs in golf	88

LIST OF FIGURES

	CDDIGL()	0	~
Sabla I Flow diagram (at PRISMA coreening	U NTOCASS U	14
abic 1. Flow ulagian v		$\leq \mu_1 \cup \dots \cup \dots \cup \mu_n$	2

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Additional list of variables from Table 1	94
Appendix B. List of variables in Golf Canada's talent identification system	96

CHAPTER ONE

General Introduction

Historical context of the research

In the context of sport, talent refers to a person's potential to achieve success. The process of identifying and developing one's potential through talent identification (TID) programs has occurred for many centuries. One of the first regimented systems was employed in ancient Greece (776 BCE approximately) where youth would train for admiration in events like wrestling (Baker, Cobley, Schorer, & Baker, 2013; Ghristopoulos, 2003). In the 1950s, the former German Democratic Republic and the Soviet bloc countries established elite sport development systems in order to stay competitive with neighbouring countries (Bloyce & Smith, 2009). In more recent decades, talent identification (TID) programs have received considerable attention and resources as they have been recognized for being critical pieces for achieving sport success (Brouwers, De Bosscher, & Sotiriadou, 2012; De Bosscher, De Knop, Van Bottenburg, & Shibli, 2006). Countries such as Australia, China, the United Kingdom, and the United States have been the driving nations of TID systems, acting as models for other countries to develop similar programs. Australia in particular has been recognized for its advancements in the development of the Australian Institute of Sport (AIS). After a poor performance at the Olympics in 1976, the nation implemented cutting-edge sport sciences to enhance athlete recognition and development (Baker et al., 2013). Despite considerable advancements in TID, a universally accepted TID model does not exist (Abbott & Collins, 2004; Louzada Maiorano, & Ara, 2016). In reality, decisions for athlete selection largely occur without a strong theoretical understanding, and are

criticised as being fundamentally flawed (Abbott, Button, Pepping, & Collins, 2005; Régnier, Salmela, & Russell, 1993). For example, it is not uncommon for sporting organizations to make subjective decisions for player selection. Williams (2000) highlighted that high-level soccer clubs in the United Kingdom select players through the scout's recommendations on who displayed 'standout' performance. Similarly, Elferink-Gemser and colleagues (2007) drew attention to the need for athletes to 'convince' the coach, trainer or scout of their talent due to the subjectivity of the selection process in the Netherlands. In addition, Baker and colleagues (2013) noted that in Canada, it is common practice for athletes to attend 'try outs' where an athlete's selection is based on the performances of a series of tasks or drills that happen over a very short period of time. These examples draw attention to questionable decisions based predominantly on intuition rather than objective criteria.

In an effort to limit the subjectivity of the decisions, much of the recent research on sport expertise has been concerned with untangling the relative contributions of innate (nature) and learned (nurture) capacities on elite sporting performance (Davids & Baker, 2007; Hayman, Borkoles, Taylor, Hemmings, & Polman, 2014; Ericsson, Krampe, & Tesch-Römer, 1993; Lidor, Côté, & Hackfort 2009). This has been demonstrated in the increased interest regarding the role of genetics on talent acquisition (Breitbach, Tug, & Simon, 2014; Brutsaert & Parra, 2006; Davids & Baker, 2007; Tucker & Collins, 2016) and conversely, on the role of developmental experiences on the pathway to expertise (Ericsson, Krampe & Tesch-Römer, 1993; Helsen, Starkes, & Hodges, 1998; Ward, Hodges, Starkes, & Williams, 2007). While these research advancements have been integral in advancing our understanding of talent, little is understood about the optimal pathway to expertise and the many factors required for expert performance. In fact, it has been well documented that the existing literature is inconclusive with low predictive value (Barreiros, Côté & Fonseca, 2014; Bottoni, Gianfelici, & Tamburri, 2011).

Researchers have examined this dichotomy between theory and practice drawing attention to the large number of potentially talented athletes that are excluded from TID programs because of poor talent transfer and a high degree of talent wastage (Abbott & Collins, 2004; MacNamara & Collins, 2011; Tranckle & Cushion, 2006). This in turn, has the potential to negatively impact sport organizations, coaches and athletes. In particular, if athlete selection is compromised or missed, it can lead to decreased participation rates or even withdrawal from sport. This draws attention to the need for a working model of TID that places an emphasis on more objective or evidence-based identification for high-achieving athletes.

Present Study

In Sept 2015, Golf Canada (GC) approached our research team with the task of critically analyzing their TID system. GC, intent on improving Canada's international performance in golf, aimed to improve their selection process for elite golfers. This thesis provides a summary of work conducted so far on this project. The present study utilized a multi-step approach, divided into two separate phases. In order to gain a thorough understanding of what is known about TID systems, the first phase involved a systematic review of the literature. Phase 2 involved an analysis on five years of data from GC's TID system. The information gathered from phase 1 was then used to inform phase 2 in the future directions section.

There are three primary objectives of this thesis. 1) To gain a better understanding of what is known about this phenomenon by examining the research over the past 25 years in TID in elite-level sport. 2) To highlight the strengths and weaknesses of GC current TID system. 3) To present evidence for a more informed, practical and efficacious TID system for GC.

CHAPTER TWO

What predicts talent selection in sport? A systematic review of 25 years of research

Summary

Talent identification (TID) programs are an integral part of the selection process for elitelevel athletes. While many sport organizations utilize TID programs, there does not seem to be a clear set of variables that are consistently capable of predicting future success. This review aims to synthesize the research in TID in elite sport to gain a better understanding of what is known about this phenomenon. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were used to identify 20 articles that met the inclusion criteria. Reviewing these articles, there was a clear overrepresentation of studies a) examined physical profiles of athletes (60%), b) focused on male samples (65%), c) examined athletes under the age of 20 (75%) and d) published between the years of 2010 and 2015 (65%). Upon closer examination, there was a high degree of variability in the factors that were found to discriminate between skilled and less skilled individuals. Findings from this review highlight that little is known about TID in elite sport, which calls for a greater diversity in TID research for elite-level athletes.

Introduction

Talent identification (TID) programs are designed to identify young athletes with the potential for success in senior elite sport (Vaeyens, Güllich, Warr, & Philippaerts, 2009). In recent years, TID programs have grown in popularity and are seen as critical avenues to maximize athletes' potential to achieve success (Anshel & Lidor, 2012; Lidor, Côté, & Hackfort, 2005). This is especially true as pressures for nations to excel in sport at the international level are greater than ever. It is not uncommon to see nations investing millions of dollars towards developing evidence-based approaches to finding a competitive edge. This has been reflected by a surge in research conducted on understanding issues of talent identification and the development of sport expertise over the past two decades (Collings & Mellahi, 2009; Lewis & Heckman, 2006; Muller, Abernethy, Eid, McBean, & Rose, 2010; Nijs, Gallardo-Gallardo, Dries, & Sels, 2014; Swann, Moran, & Pigott, 2014; Williams & Ford, 2008).

It has been suggested that an effective TID program has the potential to detect talent early, which may act as a vital component to increasing a nation's chances at sporting success (Vaeyens et al., 2009). Anshel and Lidor (2012) suggested that TID programs facilitate the athlete selection process, thus maximizing the number of gifted individuals at both domestic and international levels. Similarly, Durand-Bush and Salmela (2001) noted that TID programs have the capacity to recognize talented athletes early, which helps to focus funding and training opportunities on athletes with the greatest potential for success. However, despite the potential advantages of TID programs, there remains a discrepancy between what is proposed in the research and what is observed in practice (Pankhurst, Collins, & MacNamara, 2013).

How one perceives talent and ability is important (Wattie & Baker, in press), generally reflecting one's perspective on whether exceptional performance is the result of biological or genetically constrained factors (i.e., nature) or the end product of experience and learning (i.e., nurture) (Baker, Bagats, Büsch, & Schorer, 2012; Coutinho, Mesquita, Fonseca, & De Martin-Silva, 2016; Davids & Baker, 2007; Howe, Davidson, & Sloboda, 1998). While most scientists agree that both factors are important, the nature versus nurture dichotomy continues to dominate popular discourse (e.g., Gladwell, 2008; Epstein, 2013). Regardless of whether the notion of talent is legitimate or not, misconceptions regarding what talent 'looks like' are widespread in high performance sport settings.

This lack of understanding regarding the contributions of nature and nurture have led to inconsistencies around the definitions of talent and thus how it might be identified. For instance, Brown (2002) described talent as a "special, natural ability" and a "capacity for achievement or success" while Howe and colleagues (1998) noted talent was "the likelihood of becoming exceptionally competent in certain fields depends on the presence or absence of inborn attributes variously labeled as talents or gifts" (p. 399). Conversely, Gagné (2000) described it as "possessions and use of untrained and spontaneously expressed natural abilities (called aptitudes or gifts) in at least one ability domain, to a degree that places a child among the top 10% of his or her age peers" (p. 67). As demonstrated in these examples, there is considerable variation in the definitions, ranging from a focus on innate abilities to outcomes resulting from training and experience.

The very nature of TID is centered on the measurement and subsequent comparison of characteristics that contribute to sport specific performance. In order to

filter out less talented individuals, researchers often compare different age groups and skill levels in a cross-sectional design (Breitback, Tug, & Simon, 2014). This type of methodology is heavily rooted in assumptions that important characteristics of future success can be extrapolated from individuals' performance at one given point in time (Davids & Baker, 2007). This way of thinking, in its simplest form, implies talent is static as it ignores many important variables such as maturity and relative age effects (see Wattie, Schorer, & Baker, 2015). However, many of the qualities that distinguish top athletic performance in adults may not be apparent until late adolescence (Pearson, Naughton, & Torode, 2006; Vaeyens, Lenoir, Williams, & Philippaerts, 2008) and early performance is not strongly related with later success (Helsen, Baker, Michiels, Schorer, Van Winckle, & Williams, 2012). Importantly, because chronological age and biological maturity rarely progress at the same rate, children may be helped or hindered on performance tests due to their biological maturity, especially when compared to chronological age norms (Malina, Coelho-E-Silva, Figueiredo, Carling, & Beunen, 2012; Matthys, et al., 2013).

Despite the increase in research attention to TID and athlete development, evidence regarding the origins of high-level ability has been largely based on crosssectional designs from unidimensional perspectives. This review aims to a) gain a better understanding of what is known about this phenomenon by examining research conducted over the past 25 years, and b) provide evidence-based suggestions to help guide decision making for future TID programs. A review such as this has the potential to improve a range of athlete outcomes in competitive sport by increasing the efficiency and accuracy of TID.

Methods

This review used the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement guidelines (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, & Altman, 2009) to examine the literature on TID in elite sport. A customized search was completed for studies assessing talent identification in elite-level athletes according to the PRISMA guidelines (Moher et al., 2009). The search strategy for identifying articles was broken down into three phases: Phase 1: a search of the electronic databases; Phase 2: a search using additional resources; and Phase 3: a collaboration with a panel of experts.

Phase 1 consisted of a search of two electronic databases, Web of Science and Sport Discus in the time period of January 1990 through July of 2015. Studies were identified using the following search terms: "expertise AND sport", "talent identification AND sport", and "giftedness AND sport". Phase 2 consisted of a secondary search of external sources such as the references list of articles found in Phase 1, references in books, and additional website searches (e.g., Robertson, Burnett, & Cochrane, 2014). The final phase incorporated a panel of three experts who suggested articles that fit the inclusion criteria. After scanning the list of articles from phase 1 and 2, it became evident that the selected researchers were strong contributors to the body of literature in sport expertise. Upon completion of the three phases, the study's author(s), title, and year of publication were recorded and articles were sorted to elimin3ate duplicates. From the list of unique entries, the publication's title was read to discern whether the article was written in English and in the form of a complete, peer-reviewed journal article (i.e., 'commentaries' or 'abstracts' were not included). From this refined list, a more intensive assessment took place, which required obtaining the abstracts and the full-text articles. Studies were included in the final review if they contained the following:

- Skilled Participants: Only studies examining athletes who fell into the category of 'skilled', 'talented', 'elite', or 'expert' were included in this review. For example, studies involving physical education in school or 'open' level sport teams were not included. The purpose of this stipulation was so the focus remained on 'talented' individuals to help understand and monitor the pathway to excellence.
- ii) Time-Based Comparison: The study must have tracked changes in a performance-related variable over a period of at least 12 months. For example, a study including anthropometric and/or skill-based assessments over the course of a week would not meet this criterion, as significant changes in performance are unlikely.
- iii) Between-Group Comparison: Studies must compare a minimum of two different skilled/ talented groups. For example, a study was not sufficient if it examined a developmental program for a group of all professional athletes.
- iv) Removal of Grey Area Topics: Studies exploring birthplace effects, deliberate practice, genetic predispositions, handedness, long-term athlete development, or relative age effects were not included in this review. Although relevant for discussions of the notion of talent, each of these topics has a sufficient evidence-base for its own individual PRISMA-based analysis (and in some cases these reviews have already been done see Cobley, Wattie, Baker, & McKenna, 2009).

Results

Phase 1 identified 1696 articles from the database searches using the key words listed above with an English language restriction imposed. An additional 422 articles were identified through external sources, and a final 22 were added from the panel of experts, totaling 444 articles through additional sources. After duplicates had been removed, there were a total of 1695 articles selected. If the title or the abstract included a 'grey topic area' or if the article was a 'comment on' or 'review' it was eliminated from the study. After reviewing the titles and abstracts, 1316 of these records were eliminated, leaving 399 studies identified for full-text assessment. After a thorough assessment, 379 articles were removed, as they did not include a longitudinal design, an elite sample of athletes, or a between-group comparison. This left a total of 20 articles that remained in the final study selection (refer to Figure 1 for a flow diagram of the PRISMA process).

Descriptive Results

Of the 20 articles included in the review, 19 (i.e., 95%) were published in the tenyear period between 2005 and 2015 (the remaining study was published in 2004). Furthermore, 75% (n=15) of the articles examined samples under the age of 20, studies with a sample under 10 years of age accounted for 15% (n=3), one study had a sample over the age of 20 (5%) and one remaining study (5%) did not specify the ages of the participants. The majority of the studies (n=13, i.e., 65%) examined a male only sample. Only 25% (n=5) of the studies examined a female only sample, and the remaining five studies used a combination of both male and female participants. The studies included in this review were nearly all from European countries (n=16), with two studies from Australia and the remaining two studies did not specify.

The terminology used by the researchers of the studies to describe the levels of selection is represented in Table 2. The terminology greatly varied with studies using the term elite (n=3), professional (n=3), selected (n=3), drafted (n=2), final selection (n=1), elite cadets (n=1), high division (n=1), national (n=1), phase 3 selected (n=1), senior (n=1), successful (n=1), survivor (n=1), and top world (n=1).

The sport that had the greatest representation was soccer (n=7) followed by gymnastics (n=3) and rugby league (n=3). The remaining studies included Australian Football (n=1), handball (n=1), field hockey (n=1), tennis (n=1), triathlon (n=1), and water polo (n=1). There was one additional article that incorporated multiple sports including volleyball, swimming, judo, and soccer (Barreiros, Côté, & Fonseca, 2012). For a full list of descriptive statistics, refer to Table 2.

The 20 studies included in this review were sub-divided into categories according to the variables they examined. The first category, *cognitive/psychological capabilities and player profiles*, included two studies by Van Yperen and colleagues (2009) and Vestberg and colleagues (2012). Van Yperen and colleagues (2009) found that number of siblings, ethnic origin, parental divorce, goal commitment, problem focused coping, and 'seeking social support' to be capable of discriminating between skill levels. Vesteberg and colleagues (2012) found that creativity, response inhibition, cognitive flexibility, visual scanning, number sequencing, and letter sequencing, were variables with discriminative capabilities.

The second category, *physical profile*, explored the anthropometric, physiological and/or sport-specific skills/ motor capabilities of the athletes. The majority of studies (n=12) were represented in this category and included Bottoni and colleagues (2011); di Cagno and colleagues (2014); Gil and colleagues (2007); Gil and colleagues (2014); Lidor and colleagues (2005); Pion and colleagues (2005); Pyne and colleagues (2005); Till and colleagues (2013), Till and colleagues (2015), Till and colleagues (in press); and Vandrope and colleagues (2012). Some of the variables found to discriminate between the most skilled athletes and the next-highest skill level were aerobic capacity (Gil et al., 2014; Pyne et al., 2005; Till et al., in press), age/maturation (Gil et al., 2014), agility (Gil et al., 2014; Lidor et al., 2005; Pyne et al., 2005), height (Gil et al., 2014), jump height (Pyne et al., 2005), long jump (Lidor et al., 2005), med ball throw (Gonaus & Müller, 2012; Lidor et al., 2005), push up (Pion et al., 2015), rope jump (Pion et al., 2015), sit and reach (Pion et al., 2015), sit up (Pion et al., 2015), sport-specific drills (di Cagno, et al., 2014; Lidor et al., 2005; Pion et al., 2015; Vandrope et al., 2012), and sprint speed (Gil et al., 2007; Gonaus & Müller, 2012; Lidor et al., 2005; Pion et al., 2015; Pyne et al., 2005).

The third category, *previous performance/experience*, examined variables relating to how prior performance and tournament rankings predicted future achievement. There were two studies in this category, Barreiros and colleagues (2014) and Brouwers and colleagues (2012). These variables were not found to discriminate between skill levels.

The remaining four (i.e., 20%) studies included a combination of the aforementioned categories and were therefore considered *mixed measurement* studies. Elferink-Gemser and colleagues (2007) examined a combination of anthropometric,

physiological, sport-specific skill/ motor capabilities, tactical skill, and psychological variables. The variables that were found to discriminate skilled females from the less skilled females were sprint speed, slalom dribble (sport-specific skill), general tactics confidence, and motivation. The skilled male athletes were discriminated by the variables sprint speed, slalom dribble, general tactics, tactics when in possession, and tactics when not in possession. Falk and colleagues (2004) found swimming times and game intelligence to be positively correlated with higher performing athletes. Figueiredo and colleagues (2009) did not find test results capable of discriminating between the highest skill group and the next highest skill group. Lastly, Huijgen and colleagues (2013) found the Loughborough Soccer Passing Test (LSPT), a sport-specific soccer drill, capable of discriminating between skill levels.

Discussion

Overall, there were inconclusive findings from the studies included in this review. This was represented in the high degree of variability found in the efficacy of different variables to predict future attainment. While some studies found predictive variables capable of predicting future success (di Cagno et al., 2014; Falk et al., 2004; Pion et al., 2015; Van Yperen et al., 2009; Vestberg et al., 2012), others did not, and even questioned the efficacy of early identification in TID programs (Barreiros et al., 2014; Bottoni et al., 2011; Brouwers et al., 2012; Till et al., 2015).

In general, no variables within the studies examined uniformly predicted success. While some variables appeared multiple times in different studies (i.e., height, weight, maturity level, sprint tests, strength tests, and agility tests) there was no consistent relationship found between those variables and greater skill. For example, Pyne and colleagues (2005) found that anthropometric measures were not capable of discriminating between skill levels in Australian football, whereas Gil and colleagues (2007) found that anthropometric measures did discriminate between selected and non-selected soccer players.

Despite these discrepancies, there were some agreements between studies. For example, sprint abilities were found to successfully discriminate between skill levels in eight of the studies (Figueiredo et al., 2009; Gil et al., 2007; Gonaus & Müller, 2012; Lidor et al., 2005; Pion et al., 2015; Pyne et al., 2005; Till et al., 2015; Till et al., in press). In addition, agility drills were successfully used to discriminate between skill levels (Figueiredo et al., 2009; Gil et al., 2014; Lidor et al., 2005; Pyne et al., 2005; Till et al., 2015; Till et al., in press). Furthermore, three studies examining gymnasts demonstrated successful discrimination between skill levels with the KörperkoordinationsTest für Kinder (KTK) test which is a representation of coordination and precision of young gymnasts (di Cagno et al., 2014; Pion et al., 2015; Vandrope et al., 2012). Furthermore, there was some consistency in variables that did not predict future attainment. Barreiros et al., (2014) and Brouwers et al., (2012) found no evidence that previous performance/ attainment was predictive of future success. Additionally, measures of body composition, as reflected in body mass index (BMI), sum of skin folds or body fat percentage, were used in a number of studies, (Figueiredo et al., 2009; Gil et al., 2007; Gil et al., 2014; Pion et al., 2015; Pyne et al., 2005; Till et al., 2013; Vandrope et al., 2012), however none found a significant relationship.

Moving Forward: Future Research Directions in TID

In addition to providing a review of what is a surprisingly limited literature base on TID in sport, this review is important for highlighting key areas of future research. For example, the lack of consistency in the terminology used to express skill levels made it challenging to draw inferences between samples. For example, in this present review, the terms 'elite', 'professional', 'selected', 'national', and 'drafted' were all used to classify skilled participants (refer to Table 2 for full list of terminology). It is important to note that even small variations in the way talent is defined may greatly affect how it might be identified, measured and developed. This finding is not an isolated one as previous research in sporting expertise has highlighted the inconsistencies in the terminology and taxonomy of skill levels (Baker, Wattie, & Schorer, 2015). Swann and colleagues (2014) found that 'expert' athletes could refer to an athlete who is performing at an international level or to an athlete who is performing at a collegiate level. To help categorize skill levels, Baker and colleagues (2015) proposed a taxonomy of sport-skill levels to provide a system for more accurately classifying skill across sport domains. It will be important for researchers moving forward to utilize a definition that is consistent and appropriate for the taxonomy of skill level to gain a better understanding about the pathways to excellence.

The need for more diverse research

Perhaps most significantly, the results from the present review highlight the need for a greater diversity in TID research for elite-level athletes. One example can be seen in the significant imbalance between the representation of male (65%), female (10%), and mixed participant (25%) samples. Despite our general conclusion that we know very little about predictors of talent in elite sport, we know even less about predicting talent in female athletes. Given the often unique development systems for high performance female athletes, this discrepancy might limit our ability to gain a deeper understanding of talent, and as a result, may lead to potentially harmful consequences for the female athlete population. Furthermore, the fact that only three sports (soccer, gymnastics and rugby league) were represented more than once in this review speaks to how little we know about the vast majority of sports. This lack of diversity makes it very difficult to draw inferences about the predictive utility of testing variables. It also makes it very challenging to isolate a variable that could act as a robust indicator across sport domains.

Not surprisingly, there was an overrepresentation of studies published in the past decade (i.e., 19 out of 20) where 65% of those studies were published in the past five years. This implies we know very little about the longitudinal nature of TID programs and for this reason, precautions should be taken when basing future decisions on previous research. While there are notable drawbacks of conducting longitudinal studies (time and financial constraints), in the long-term, the advantages of obtaining longitudinal data outweigh these drawbacks. This may be especially true as this review highlights the deficit in longitudinal studies examining elite athletes in TID programs (e.g., the number of articles identified in the initial search versus the final selection).

It was surprising to see that the majority of the studies in this review were from European nations with the remaining three studies from Australia. This, once again, emphasizes the need for more diverse research internationally, particularly in countries like the United States and the United Kingdom, where talent identification is an established element of their athlete development programs.

Another example of how little is known about TID in elite sport can be seen in the lack of diversity in number of studies that examined athletes that were under the age of 10. As demonstrated in Table 2, there is an overrepresentation (i.e., 75%) of studies that examine athletes under the age of 20 (n=15), with only 15% (n=3) of studies looking at athletes under the age of 10 and only one study looking at athletes over the age of 20. This speaks to the fact that we know very little about how to effectively identify younger athletes, especially when it has been documented that most of the research on TID involves athletes during their adolescent years (Breitbach, et al., 2014). While this can be viewed as an advantage for sport organizations that seek early identification, it also presents some negative consequences. Early identification typically occurs during the maturation process when testing measures are the least stable (Pearson, et al., 2006). This is especially detrimental as there is evidence to support that TID is happening even before an athlete reaches puberty (Bloom & Sosniak, 1985). This instability is thought to be a result of the large variation in growth potential in the physical and physiological predictors during the time of testing (Breitback et al., 2014; Pearson, et al., 2006). In their review, Pearson and colleagues (2006) draw attention to the impact that maturity has on testing parameters such as height (Baxter-Jones & Helms, 1996), weight (Roemmich & Rogol, 1995), body composition (Roemmich & Rogol, 1995; Beunen, Malina, Lefevre, Classens, & Renson, 1997; Herman-Giddens, Wang, & Koch, 2001), anaerobic capacity (Inbar & Bar-Or, 1986), and strength (Hansen, Bangsbo, Twisk, & Klausen, 1999). All of these parameters are affected by stage and rate of maturation, which makes finding a true

value of predictive success very difficult in TID programs with adolescent athletes. While there is some evidence demonstrating the changes in physical and physiological variables during maturation, we know very little about the stability of cognitive and psychological factors and how they adapt during the early years of an athlete's life. It will be important for future research to focus on these factors in order to enhance the effectiveness of early TID.

The need for a more ecological design

Some (Pinder, Renshaw, & Davids, 2013; Unnuthan, White, Georgiou, Iga & Dust, 2012) have suggested that a contributing reason why TID programs are not effective in identifying, selecting and developing talented athletes is due to the reductionist tendency to deconstruct performance tasks into smaller sub-phases, which are then used as testing measures in TID programs. This was demonstrated in a number of studies that attempted to isolate the parameters of the sport specific demands with a simplified agility drill within a sport that is dynamic and interactive like soccer (Figueiredo et al., 2009; Gil et al., 2014; Gonaus & Müller, 2012) handball (Lidor et al., 2005), Australian football (Pyne et al., 2005) and rugby league (Till et al., 2013; 2015; in press). As this method does not appear to be effective in representing the demands of competition, it has been suggested that there should be a shift away from this line of thinking in future research (Vaeyens, et al., 2009). Researchers have also proposed the need for a model that is more representative of performance demands, such as the Ecological Dynamics model (Davids, Araujo, Vilar, Renshaw, & Pinder, 2013; Pinder, Davids, Renshaw, Araujo, 2011). This model places an emphasis on the interactions of the individual in his/her environment where intentions, perceptions, and actions are

19

interconnected rather than treated as separate entities. It will be important to consider this model when trying to account for inter-individual differences in future research.

It has been well documented that sport is multidimensional in nature, where the optimization of both physical and mental factors are required for elite performance (MacNamara, Button, & Collins 2010a, 2010b; Vaeyens et al., 2008). Despite this, TID research has typically adopted either a unidimensional approach or a restrictive approach by focusing on a select few dimensions of variables (irrespective of known theoretical frameworks) (Abbott & Collins, 2004; Grove, 2001; Hoare & Warr, 2000; Staerck, 2003; Vaeyens et al., 2008). This approach largely ignores other factors that could influence performance. In particular, there is significant literature highlighting the central role that psychological factors (e.g., coping skills, resilience, confidence, cognitive strategies, determination) play in elite performance (e.g., Abbott & Collins, 2004; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 2002; Gould & Maynard, 2009; MacNamara, Button, & Collins, 2010a; MacNamara & Collins, 2015; Phillips, Davids, Renshaw, & Portus, 2010) and how these psychological skills can be incorporated into TID programs (e.g., Abbott, Collins, Sowerby, & Martindale, 2007; MacNamara et al., 2010a, 2010b; Van Yperen, 2009).

This underrepresentation of multidimensional designs was reflected in the current review, where there was an overrepresentation of studies examining the physical profiles of athletes in TID systems. From the final selection of studies, 60% of the studies focused on physical variables. While many of these studies had test batteries that were quite extensive, they may have been limited by the absence of important psychological and environmental factors (Baker & Horton, 2004; Côté, 1999; Pion et al., 2015). There were, however, four studies that embraced the multidimensional approach (in the *mixed*

measurement category) and examined a combination of physical, physiological and psychological measures. It was likely no coincidence that all four of these studies (Elferink-Gemser et al., 2007; Falk et al., 2004; Figueiredo et al., 2009; Huijgen et al., 2013), found some predictive utility in the testing variables. This finding echoes the recommendations from Abbott and Collins (2004), and speaks to the importance of utilizing a multidimensional approach to allow for a more representative testing design. It is with this hope that a more representative and ecological model will be used to increase the chance of finding variables that hold predictive utility for elite-level athletes.

Limitations of the review

Although this systematic review provides the first comprehensive synthesis of existing work on predictors of talent in elite sport, it is not without its limitations. One of the main limitations lies in the exclusion of articles that were listed in the 'grey area' (birthplace effect, deliberate practice, genetic predispositions, handedness, long term athlete development relative age effect). While the inclusion of these studies would likely enhance the understanding of TID in elite sport, the sheer number of articles would have been too difficult to synthesize in a single review. For example, there were an estimated 34 articles examining relative age effects along with an additional 30 articles examining deliberate practice that would have met our criteria.

An additional limitation lies in the restriction imposed on articles written in English and coming from peer-reviewed journals. It is likely that these restrictions only offered a fraction of the published articles on TID in elite sport.

Practical Application

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review on the efficacy of TID programs in predicting successful performance in skilled athletes. The findings of this study have many positive implications to the field of research. Primarily, this study provides a comprehensive synthesis of the past 25 years of research and draws attention to the many gaps in the current body of research.

Furthermore, this research has the potential to inform coaches, athletes and other sport stakeholders about the importance of choosing testing variables that are based on evidence and current theory. This review also has the potential to encourage sport organizations that are currently employing unidimensional TID systems to critically analyze their system and consider incorporating a more multidimensional design. This may in turn, decrease the amount of talent wastage and decrease the risk of wrongfully de-selecting a potentially talented individual.

Conclusion

This review aimed to synthesize and analyze the past 25 years of research on TID in elite-level sport. Overwhelmingly, findings from this review revealed inconsistent and unreliable predictors and demonstrated a fairly homogenous body of research on TID in elite-level sport. Collectively, it seems reasonable to conclude that there remains a substantial amount of information that we have yet to learn in this field and that future work should reflect a greater diversity in study designs (e.g., variables, samples, etc.) to reflect the considerable diversity in high performance sport.

CHAPTER THREE

Talent selection in golf:

Towards a more representative design

Summary

With increased pressure from nations to excel in sport at the international level, there is growing pressure for sport organizations to implement effective talent identification (TID) programs. Despite a growth in TID research for elite-level athletes in recent years, there does not appear to be a universally accepted TID program that is efficacious in predicting future success. In fact, traditional TID systems are criticized for excluding potentially elite-level athletes due to inappropriate selection of testing measures. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to analyze an elite-level TID program to discern whether the testing variables were effective in discriminating skilled from less-skill athletes. To this end, an exploratory analysis was conducted on a longitudinal database collected by Golf Canada (GC). Findings revealed that the TID model currently employed by GC does not hold discriminative or predictive utility. This is likely a result of inconsistent data entry as well as the unidimensional nature of the testing design. These findings illuminate the need for a more evidenced-based approach to enhance the validity of the current TID model used to select elite-level golfers.

Introduction

Recent research has aimed to identify the factors and developmental pathways leading to elite-level performance (Côté, Baker, Abernethy, 2007; Côté, Lidor, & Hackfort, 2009; Ericsson, 2007; Ford, Ward, Hodges, & Williams, 2009; Fransen, Pion, Vandendriessche, Vandrope, Lenoir, & Philippaerts, 2012; Hayman, Polman, Taylor, Hemmings, & Barkoles, 2011; Hayman, Borkoles, Taylor, Hemmings, & Polman, 2014). It is hoped that through these explorations that factors underpinning talent acquisition will surface, thus allowing for a means to accurately identify and predict talented individuals. This talent identification (TID) process is becoming increasingly more attractive to sport organizations as a greater emphasis is placed on early identification and selection of young athletes (Abbott & Collins, 2004; Falk, Lidor, Lander, & Lang, 2004). Countries like Australia, Canada, China, the United Kingdom, and the United States have allocated significant resources to the development of evidence-based TID programs. While this has led to advancements in the quantity and quality of research and practice, coaches are still selecting athletes on what they believe talent 'looks' like without a strong theoretical basis for decisions (Baker, Cobley & Schorer, 2013; Elferink-Gemser, Visscher, Lemmink, & Mulder; Williams; 2000)

It is widely accepted that talent is a complex phenomenon (Abbott & Collins, 2004; Breitbach, Tug & Simon, 2014; Loland, 2015;Vaeyens, Lenior, Williams, & Philippaerts, 2008). This is seen in the multitude of factors that have been reported to affect talent development, including variables such as motor skills (di Cagno et al., 2014; Falk et al., 2004; Figueiredo, Goncalves, Coelho-E-Silva, & Malina, 2009; Huijgen, Elferink-Gemser, & Visscher, 2013; psychological capacities (Van Yperen, 2009; Vestberg, Gustafson, Maurex, Ingvar, & Petrovic, 2012), physiological skills (Elfereink-Gemser et al., 2007; Gil et al., 2014; Gonaus & Muller, 2012; Pion, Lenoir, Vandrope, & Segers 2015; Till, Cobley, O'Hara, Chapman, & Cooke, 2013; Pyne, Garder, Sheehan, & Hopkins, 2005), anthropometrical profiles (Gil et al., 2007; Lidor et al., 2005; Pion et al., 2015), environmental support (Côté, 1999; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 2002; Gould, Dieffenbach, & Moffett, 2002; Hayman et al., 2011; Keegan, Harwood, Spray, & Lavellee, 2010; Vernacchia, McGuire, Reardon, & Templin, 2000), perceptual-cognitive skills (Fischer, Reinhoff, Tirp, Baker, Strauss, & Schorer, 2015; Lidor, Falk, Arnon et al., 2005; Martell & Vickers, 2004; Nideffer, Sagal, Lowry, & Bond, 2001; Singer, 2000, Vickers, & Williams, 2007), and genetic factors (Davids & Baker, 2007; Maciejewska-Karlowska, Hanson, Sawczuk, Cieszczyk, & Eynon, 2014). Furthermore, while these factors play a role, the degree to which they influence talent acquisition and how they can be effectively measured, remains unclear.

Moreover, what we do know about talent seems restricted to a few sports from a small number of countries. For instance, there has been a heavy emphasis on soccer (Meylan, Cronin, Oliver, & Hughes 2010; Morris, 2000; Reilly, Williams, Nevill & Franks, 2000; Rahnama, 2010; Robinson, Wattie, Schorer, & Baker, in review; Vaeyens, Lenior, Williams & Pilippaerts, 2008; Williams, 2000) and very little exploration of other sports. In this investigation we explore talent development in golf, a sport that remains underrepresented in the talent development and skill acquisition literature (for exceptions see Hayman et al., 2011; Hayman et al., 2014; Robertson, Burnette, Gupta, 2014; Robertson, Gupta, Kremer, et al., 2015; Swann, Keegan, Crust, & Piggott, 2016).

Golf is a unique sport that can be played by individuals of many ages and skill levels (Hayman et al., 2014; Hayslip, Petrie, MacIntire, & Jones 2010). It has also been described as a demanding and diverse game that requires precision, physical strength and power (Wells, Elmi, & Thomas, 2009). For example, a typical male golfer can perform a swing that translates 900kg of force to the ball during one shot, while needing to lightly tap the ball to execute subsequent shots (Wells, et al., 2009). In addition to the physical and physiological demands, golf is also known as a game of cognitive processing and mental strength (Hayslip et al., 2010; Wells et al., 2009). For instance, psychological skills such as engaging in self-talk, employing pre-shot routines, disregarding feelings of stress, and using imagery have been shown to be positive behaviours in developing expertise (Hayslip et al., 2010; Murphy 1994; Ryska, 1998). The present study aimed to contribute to this growing literature base by examining the TID used in elite level golf. Through a collaborative partnership with Golf Canada (GC), we were able to evaluate data collected longitudinally through their TID and development system to determine whether their testing measures were able to discriminate between more and lesssuccessful athletes. This analysis will be helpful for highlighting strengths and weaknesses of the current system and suggesting ways GC could enhance their TID program.

Methods

Procedure

This study involved the evaluation of a dataset from GC that included testing results from 2010 to 2015 for 50 athletes. This group consisted of 26 male (mean age

21.2 SD 2.6) and 24 female golfers (mean age 19.9 SD 2.8) who were selected to the Team Canada development program. A total of 206 variables were noted in the database, however 35 of these variables listed were not actively measured during the testing periods and thus, were eliminated from the analysis (refer to Table 4). A remaining 146 variables were also excluded due to low statistical power (data collected on less than 4% of the sample). The remaining 25 variables were used for analyses (see Table3). For a full description of the categories see Table 5 and their composite variables see Table 4 and Appendix A.

Statistical Analyses

Basic descriptive statistics were calculated for all 50 athletes. Round Score was identified as the most appropriate dependent variable as it was the outcome with the greatest player representation (n=39) over the course of the testing period. Due to inconsistencies in the number of rounds reported across tournament play (e.g., only the strongest players make the 'cut' for advanced rounds), only scores from the first two rounds were used. Athletes with a lower average round score were considered more skilled in comparison to athletes with a higher average round score.

An exploratory approach was taken for analyzing the data. Due to the lack of data in the categories *Trackman Combine, Flight Scope,* and *SAM Puttlab*, these variables were not considered for analysis. The *Physical Testing-Jason Glass* category of variables was also not considered due to the subjectivity of the testing measures. Separate multiple linear regressions were performed for variables in the *Trackman, Physical Testing, Handicap and World Ranking, Beep Test* and *Tournament Ranking* categories. Further, to
determine which of the range of variables might best predict round score, a backwardsstepwise regression was performed with those variables that had sufficient data to be analyzed. Alpha was set at p < .05 and all analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.

Results

Descriptive statistics revealed that all the measures included in the test battery examined the golfers' physical profiles. Figure 1 demonstrates that 45% of variables examined the golfers' motor skills, another 40% examined physiological factors, an additional 9% provided a ranking measure of performance and the remaining 6% explored anthropometric variables.

Analysis of the data revealed that there was no variable found in the GC TID program that statistically discriminated between more skilled and less-skilled athletes. More specifically, results of the multiple linear regression performed on the variables in the *Trackman, Handicap and World Ranking, Beep Test* and *Tournament Ranking* categories, revealed no significant correlations with average round score.

In the *Physical Testing* category, bilateral squat jump and push up were significantly associated with average round score (with beta values of -0.54 and -0.92 respectively). However, after controlling for sex in the backwards-linear regression these significant effects disappeared.

Discussion

The primary objective of this analysis was to better understand the efficacy of the testing battery utilized by GC in their TID program. An exploratory analysis revealed that none of the variables in the dataset was strongly associated with golf performance. However, it seems clear that the dataset did not contain sufficient consistent, reliable, and longitudinal data to make definitive decisions on what variables discriminate skilled golfers from less-skilled golfers. While GC has implemented a fairly extensive list of testing variables (206), there were only 25 variables (15%) that were appropriate for analyses. Even within those 25 variables, there were only three variables (squat jump, world ranking, and ball speed) that were represented by over half the sample of athletes. This degree of missing data acted as a considerable obstacle for conducting parametric tests and drawing inferences on the greater sample of athletes.

It is likely that the magnitude of missing data was partially a result of logistical limitations. With such an expansive dataset, it is difficult to obtain consistent data for each player. It is also likely that due to the nature of some of the tests involving technical equipment (SAM Puttlab, Trackman, Trackman Combine, and Flight Scope), the ability to conduct the test was limited. Moreover, variability in when athletes joined the GC program created unequal amounts of testing data for each player. For example, athlete number 42 only had testing data from 2014 and onwards making it difficult to compare results between athletes with four years of testing data.

Despite the lack of significance found within the current dataset, there has been previous research identifying positive correlations between anthropometrical, physiological and motor skill abilities, and skill levels. For example, Keogh and colleagues (2009) discovered that longer arm length was able to differentiate elite and sub-elite golfers. Additionally, Kawashima and colleagues (2003) found that professional golfers resembled a profile that was heavier, with greater amounts of fat free mass and larger limb girths. As well, measures such as greater physical strength (Sprigings & Neal, 2000), increased range of motion (Chettle & Neal, 2001), and greater club head speeds (Keogh, Marnewick, Maulder, Nortje, Hume, & Bradshaw, 2009) have also been found to be significantly correlated with skilled performance in golf.

Results from this present study highlight the unidimensional nature of the testing variables. As demonstrated in Figure 4, all the testing measures employed by GC examined the physical profile of elite golfers. This finding is consistent within the literature, as research on TID in elite sport tends to adopt a narrow focus of testing measurements, primarily targeting the physical profiles of athletes (Robinson, Wattie, Schorer & Baker, in review). This unidimensional approach has been criticized within the literature for ignoring many of the fundamental elements of elite performance, which will further be explored (Abbott & Collins, 2004; Hoare & Warr, 2000; Staerck, 2003; Vaeyens et al., 2008). It has also been suggested that this narrow focus is likely a contributing factor to low predictive values in TID programs (Abbott & Collins, 2002; Martindale, Collins, & Daubney, 2005).

It will be important for GC to consider the incorporation of a more multidimensional design as studies support the use of psychological capacities as well as developmental histories to discriminate between skill levels in golfers. McCaffrey and Orlick (1989) recognized that professional golfers had stronger practices of mental preparation, goal setting, imagery use, and focusing abilities, than non-professional golfers. More recently, Clark and colleagues (2005) noted that competitive golfers require strategies to manage thoughts, emotions and doubts between shots.

Research exploring the developmental histories of elite-level golfers through retrospective designs has suggested that expert golfers did not partake in early specialization but rather participated in a variety of different sports until the age of 16 (Hayman et al., 2011). Additionally, the influence of family has potential to play a role in the development of skilled golf performance. For instance, Hayman and colleagues (2014) recognized that the fathers of elite amateur golfers acted as primary initiators and long-term motivators (Hayman et al., 2014). It was also discovered that the role of the mother became increasingly more important as the golfers began to specialize in the sport (Hayman et al., 2014). These examples stress the importance of incorporating a more diverse set of testing measures. It is possible with the inclusion of a more diverse test battery that a more accurate representation of what comprises an elite-level golfer will be illuminated.

Limitations

Given the difficulty in accessing very elite samples of high performance athletes, the use of longitudinal data on high performance golfers in Canada is a strength of this study. However, the analysis was not without limitations. One of the most obvious lies with the quality of the dataset. A lack of consistent and longitudinal data made it very challenging to establish a measure of success. For example, 'World Ranking' would have been a preferred dependent variable in comparison to 'Average Tournament Score', however, due to a lack of consistent data for players, this was not be a reliable and valid variable to use. It also presented a challenge when the researchers were attempting to run an analysis with statistical power. This was reflected in the small number of testing variables (n=25) that were deemed useable from a list of 206 variables recorded.

Another prominent limitation lies within the nature of the secondary analysis conducted on the data. The study would have likely been enhanced if the researchers were able to cross-reference or extend our understanding of skill by having the coaches identify who they perceived the 'skilled' or most 'talented' athletes to be. This may have increased the validity of using the average round score as the measure of success and/or provided an additional measure of coaches' perceptions of talent and skill.

Practical Implications

The findings of this investigation provide a unique examination of the variables used in the selection process of elite-level golf athletes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to describe the testing data that is used by GC in their TID program. While there have been studies examining the profiles of elite Canadian golfers (Wells et al., 2009), the novelty of this study lies within the methodology used to critically analyze GC's TID program. One of the most unique elements of this study is the potential to directly inform practice with the findings and future directions of this study. The researchers had a chance to speak with GC delegates and present the preliminary findings, which appeared to spark interest in future collaborative work between the researchers and GC. The researchers intend to stay involved with GC to help shape the TID system to better identify and develop future talented golfers to represent Canada on an international scale.

This research study is timely, as pressures to create and implement effective TID programs for elite-level golfers are greater than ever (Hayman et al., 2014). It has been suggested that a potential catalyst for this growth in research and practice is the addition of Golf to the Olympic games in 2016 (Hayman et al., 2014). It can be suspected that the need for a more reliable and valid TID model for elite-level golfers will be of great importance to sporting organizations in the years to come.

Future Direction

It is Golf Canada's mission to produce the best amateur golfers in the world (Golf Canada, 2016). It is evident from their willingness to collaborate with the researchers in the present study that they strive to stay current and competitive on the international scale. The future directions in this section present evidence-based suggestions for GC to enhance their TID program. These suggestions will aim to increase the efficiency, resourcefulness and validity in their current selection process.

Increase consistency in data collection

In an effort to enhance the strength and quality of the data, it should become a priority for GC to collect consistent data on all Team Canada golfers. The researchers suggest that GC should select fewer variables and collect data more frequently in comparison to their present approach. By collecting more consistent data on a larger percentage of the sample, a more accurate analysis can be completed. This in turn should allow for stronger evidence regarding the unique pathways that athletes take to achieve excellence in golf.

Diversify testing measures to incorporate a more multidimensional design

It has been suggested that golf performance may be less reliant on the biological systems and more reliant on acquired skills (Baker, Horton, Pearce, & Deakin, 2006; Keogh et al., 2009). In response to this finding, GC should explore the most critical skills related to golf in order to create a more representative task design. For example, putting can account for nearly 40% of the shots taken in a single round of golf (Fearing, Acimovic, & Graves, 2011; Karlsen, Smith, & Nilsson, 2008). It therefore may be of interest to further isolate the parameters of putting (in addition to the SAM Puttlab variables) in order to capture the interactive and dynamic nature of the skill.

While it appears that many sport organizations utilize similar testing batteries, it may become advantageous for GC to explore variables such as intrapersonal factors like perseverance, resiliency/grit, personality, motivation, and self-regulation, emotional regulation and imagery (For more information on support in the literature, see Table 6). There is also growing support for the role of training- related variables such as the amount of deliberate play, number of training hours, prior coaching influences, and performance related milestones (see Table 6). In addition, environmental factors have been shown to have an influence on expert performance such as birthdate, birthplace, and support of the family. As well, the role of perceptual-cognitive skills such as quiet eye/ gaze characteristics, reading the game, decision making, and game intelligence. It can therefore be suggested that GC include a more multidimensional approach to selecting

34

the testing battery. A guide to future directions on how to implement these measures with valid testing tools can also be found in Table 6.

Incorporate a between-group comparison

It will be helpful for GC to incorporate a between group-comparison in their study design. This will offer a better understanding into the causal relationship between factors affecting performance and achieving excellence in golf (Abernethy, Farrow, & Berry, 2003; Baker & Young, 2014). The inclusion of the testing data for those who are deselected may be a useful place to start and might also provide important data regarding predictors of dropout or withdrawal from golf.

Conclusions

Overall, the findings highlighted the limitations associated with the considerable missing and inconsistent data. As a result, it appears as if the testing battery employed by GC was not effective in discriminating between more successful (lower average round score) and less-successful golfers (higher average round score). In order to help inform a more effective process in the future, this study highlighted the limitations of the current model and presented potential avenues in the form of evidenced-based suggestions to enhance the current TID model. It was encouraged that GC collect more consistent and longitudinal data, along with the implementation of a more multidimensional testing battery that is more representative of competition demands. It is hoped that this research will not only inform and equip GC, but also guide future decisions on athlete selection in other sports.

CHAPTER FOUR:

General Discussion

Summary of the research project

The two studies included in this research project provide important insight into the quality and quantity of research on TID in elite-level sport. While both aimed to identify 'best practice' strategies in TID systems, neither provided strong evidence in support of TID programs as an effective tool to enhance athlete selection.

The findings from the systematic review highlighted the limited number of articles examining the factors that predict future excellence. While 1696 articles were initially identified, only 20 met the inclusion criteria for the review. These studies illustrated an overrepresentation of research on male samples under the age of 20, as well as an overrepresentation of studies examining the physical profiles of elite-level athletes. While some studies (di Cagno et al., 2014; Elferink-Gemser et al., 2007; Falk et al., 2004 Gil et al., 2014; Gonaus & Müller, 2012; Lidor et al., 2005; Pion et al., 2015; Pyne et al., 2005; Vandrope et al., 2012; Van Yperen et al., 2009; Vestberg et al., 2012) identified variables that discriminated between eventual skill levels of athletes, a consistent predictor was not identified within the studies.

In the second study, an analysis of GC's testing data revealed similar findings to those in the first study. Despite the inclusion of a very expansive testing battery (206 variables), variables holding discriminative or predictive utility were not identified. Findings also revealed that, similar to study 1, there was overrepresentation of testing variables that focused on physical qualities.

Implications for theory and practice

It is possible that one of the main reasons a predictive indicator in TID programs remains elusive is due to a lack of understanding of what talent is, and how it can be reliably measured. Disagreement remains in the literature over whether talent is the result of biological and genetic, cultural, or environmental factors (or any combination of the three), which creates a challenge for making sound theoretical decisions. Until a solution outlining the components that contribute to expert performance is determined (if one in fact exists), effectively measuring talent in an athlete selection program will be an ongoing challenge. This challenge is not only unique to the sport domain, but in music (Haroutounian, 2000; Howe et al., 1998) and education (Esters, Ittenbach & Han, 1997) as well. As highlighted by Elferink and colleagues (2000), "Researchers have tried to define the vague concept of talent in studies... However, the suggestion that talent provides a basis for predicting excellence is not supported by the available evidence" (Elferink, Helsen, Hodges, VanWinkle, & Starkes, 2000, p. 487).

The findings from the present thesis support the conclusion that we are far from a common theoretical understanding of what comprises or predicts talent. This was evident in both studies as there was a tendency for the TID program to be operationalized by a discrete set of testing variables. This line of thinking rejects or ignores the concept that sport (and thus talent in sport) is complex, multidimensional and dynamic. Instead of scrutinizing skills and creating testing variables that have been measured in isolation of the sport context, a shift towards a more representative testing design is strongly encouraged. The use of these simple and discrete tasks have not been proven to be effective thus far, and therefore, should not continue to dominate popular discourse.

In addition to selection and de-selection, issues of continuation and discontinuation are central to TID in elite sport. While early identification appears an attractive avenue for sport organizations due to limited resources, it is potentially problematic for youth athletes. Recent research has drawn attention to the consequences of both selecting and de-selecting young athletes, most prominently discontinuation, attrition, burnout, or even withdrawal from sport (Bloom, 1985; Pion et al., 2015).

It has been proposed that young athletes who present 'potential' are streamlined to elite sport programs, which may negate proper athlete development. Pakhurst and Collins (2013) draw attention to young athletes who 'over commit' to a pathway that does not facilitate proper athletic development. Similarly, Pion and colleagues (2015) identified high rates of drop out among elite-level gymnasts, and speculated that the accumulation of physical and mental exhaustion that began at an early age led to premature dropout.

Further, there is evidence that sport organizations regularly test athletes before the age of puberty. While there are number of fundamental issues with this course of action, one of the most concerning is the impact this has on the development of young athletes. Perhaps most significantly, early identification and streaming of athletes leads to increasing focus on early participation and specialization in sport, a trend that carries a range of developmental and achievement-related consequences (Baker, Cobley & Fraser-Thomas, 2009). For instance, there is evidence that early entry and specialization in sport, can lead to potential of burnout and subsequently dropout from sport (Fraser-Thomas, Côté, & Deakin, 2008; Gould, Tuffey, Udrey, & Loehr, 1996; Malina, 2010; Wall & Côté, 2007, Wiersma, 2000). In order to mitigate these adverse effects, Brouwers et al., (2012) and Pion et al., (2015) suggest investment into early development programs

instead of identification programs which may aid in the development of basic physical, motor and psychological characteristics that might prevent attrition from sport (Pion et al., 2015).

Another criticism of pre-pubertal testing is the lack of theoretical support for these decisions. Many of the qualities that distinguish top athletic performance in adults may not be apparent until late adolescence. Bloom and Sosniak (1995) indicated that a vast majority of adult competitive skills and abilities are not evident in young children. Since chronological age and biological maturity rarely progress at the same rate, children may be advantaged or disadvantaged, especially when comparing to chronological age norms (Vaeyens et al., 2008; Pearson, Naughton, & Torode, 2006). It is important that sport organizations consider these findings when trying to implement the most appropriate approaches for TID.

Previous research, alongside the findings of the two studies conducted in this project, certainly begs the question: is TID worth it? Davids and colleagues (2010) and Haymen and colleagues (2011) proposed that each higher performing athlete takes a unique developmental pathway to excellence (Phillips, Davids, Renshaw, & Portis, 2010; Haymen et al., 2011). While researchers have aimed to capture the stages of athlete development (see Bloom & Sosniak, 1985; Côté & Hay, 2002) these models have been criticized for assuming that the pathway to expert performance follows a linear trajectory. In reality, it is much more nuanced, influenced by many idiosyncrasies and cultural mediators. Therefore, finding a robust set of testing variables that can span sport and age domains may be an impossible task. Despite these limitations, it is important to consider that TID programs are in their infancy. It is the responsibility of the researchers to help shape the future of TID in elite sport and push the boundaries of sport sciences. The following sections present some suggestions regarding how to improve TID in high performance sport.

Future directions: Greater use of longitudinal designs

In an attempt to filter out less-talented individuals, there has been a tendency for researchers and practitioners to compare different age groups and skill levels in crosssectional designs (Breitback, Tug, & Simon, 2014). This approach appears to assume that characteristics of success can be extrapolated from performance at one point in time (Davids & Baker, 2007). It has been suggested that a shift from early (de)selection in cross-sectional designs and towards a focus on developmental opportunities should become a priority (Vaeyens et al., 2008). With this transition, a greater emphasis would be placed on the development of fundamental experiences. Moreover, 'developmental tracking' has been proposed as an alternative method to TID that may help mitigate some of the shortcomings of TID. This method is comprised of longitudinal monitoring and ongoing support and training, which may help to avoid Type I and Type II errors during (de)selection processes. Pinder and colleagues (2013) suggest that to understand the nature of talent, and conversely, talent wastage, future empirical work should seek to follow the career paths of successful and unsuccessful athletes in a comparative analysis. This type of longitudinal design would more likely provide opportunities to identify characteristics that are helpful in the development of successful senior athletes (Abbott & Collins, 2002). Another advantage of using longitudinal designs is that this approach

avoids biases seen in retrospective designs and allows for determination of causality instead of correlation.

Inclusion of more representative designs

The notion of including a multidimensional testing design is not novel and the potential advantages of such an approach have been well documented in the literature (e.g., Abbott & Collins, 2004; MacNamara & Collins, 2011); however, TID continues to adopt a unidimensional approach in most cases (for exception see Elferink-Gemser et al., 2007; Falk et al., 2004; Figueiredo et al., 2009; Huijgen et al., 2013). It will be critical for future TID models to incorporate measures that better simulate the demands of competition in order to build more effective and accurate programs. Incorporating measures that reflect competition-specific demands may increase the predictive utility of TID programs. Importantly, studies should include a minimum of two different skilled/ talented groups in order to provide reasonable comparisons.

Incorporation of more diverse samples

It was evident from the findings in study 1 that there was a high degree of homogeneity within the studies' samples. It will be important for future research in TID to focus on areas that remain under-researched. For example, there appears to be a disparity in the amount of research examining elite-level females in TID programs. This could be particularly detrimental to the female population given the often unique developmental constraints of female systems versus male systems (e.g., under-funding, lower levels of public interest). There is insufficient evidence to show that the principles of TID in the elite male population (limited as they may be) can be applied to the elite female population. Using performance criteria based on male samples could result in the selection or de-selection of potentially talented female athletes. There appear to be distinct physical, physiological, and social differences between males and females (Drinkwater, 1984; Garhammer, 1991; Harbili, 2012; Hegge, Myhre, Welde, Holmberg, & Sanbakk, 2015; Knisel, Opitz, Wossmann, & Keteihuf, 2009; Matta, Oliver, Jagim, & Jones, 2016; Muad & Shultz, 1986; Sparling, 1980; Thomas, Kraemer, Spiering, Volek, Anderson, & Maresh, 2007), which should be taken into consideration in the context of TID.

In addition, there was an overrepresentation of studies utilizing samples of athletes from European countries and Australia. It would be naive to ignore the role of cultural and related differences in social systems that constrain opportunities for athlete development. Therefore, future research should look to a more international sample to understand how TID varies on a global scale (Green & Oakley, 2001).

Lastly, the findings from study 1 highlight an imbalance in research focussing on athletes under the age of 20. In many sports, the age of peak performance occurs much later than this age and, importantly, development does not end when athletes reaches adulthood. Future research should aim to incorporate older athletes to help understand how expert performance is maintained across the lifespan (Horton, Baker, & Schorer 2008). This may provide valuable insight into the pathways leading to longer career lengths as well as post-high performance career achievement (e.g., Masters level sport). Importantly, understanding predictors of long-term development in high performance sport would be useful for development of comprehensive models of holistic athlete development.

Concluding remarks

The two studies in this research project shed light on the surprisingly limited amount of literature on the efficacy of talent identification in sport, and aimed to contribute to the dearth of knowledge in the field. From the findings presented, it can be concluded that there remains a considerable amount that we have yet to learn in the realm of TID in elite-level sport.

Bibliography

(* Studies included in the systematic review)

- Abbott, A., Button, C., Pepping, G. J., & Collins, D. (2005). Unnatural selection: Talent identification and development in sport. *Nonlinear Dynamics, Psychology, and Life Sciences*, 9(1), 61-88.
- Abbott, A., Collins, D. (2002). A theoretical and empirical analysis of a 'state of the art' talent identification model. *High Abilities Studies*, *13(2)* 157-178.
- Abbott, A., Collins, D. (2004). Eliminating the dichotomy between theory and practice in talent identification and development: Considering the role of sport psychology.
 Journal of Sport Sciences. 22(5) 395-408.
- Abbott, A., Collins, D., Sowerby, K., & Martindale, R. (2007). Developing the potential of young people in sport. *Edinburgh: Sportscotland*.
- Abernethy, B., Farrow, D., & Berry, J. (2003). Constraints and Issues in the Development of a General Theory. *Expert performance in sports: Advances in research on sport expertise*, 349-370.
- Anshel, M., & Lidor, R. (2012). Talent detection programs in sport: The questionable use of psychological measures. *Journal of Sport Behaviour 3*(25), 239-266.
- Baker, J., Bagats, S., Büsch, D., Strauss, B., & Schorer, J. (2012). Training Differences and Selection in a Talent Identification System. *Talent Development & Excellence*, 4(1), 23.

- Baker, J., Cobley, S., & Schorer, J. (2013). Talent identification and development in sport: International perspectives. New York: Routledge.
- Baker, J., Cobley, S. & Fraser-Thomas, J. (2009). What do we know about early sport specialization? Not much! *High Ability Studies, 20,* 77-89.
- Baker, J., Côté, J., & Abernethy, B. (2003). Sport-specific practice and the development of expert-decision making in team ball sports. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 15(1), 12-25.
- Baker, J., Côté, J., & Deakin, J. (2005). Expertise in ultra-endurance triathletes: Early sport involvement, training structure, and the theory of deliberate practice. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 17(1), 64-78.
- Baker, J., & Horton, S. (2004). A review of primary and secondary influences on sport expertise. *High Ability Studies*, 15 (2), 211-228.
- Baker, J., Horton, S., Pearce, W., & Deakin, J. M. (2006). A longitudinal examination of performance decline in champion golfers. *High Ability Studies*, 16(2), 179-185.
- Baker, J., & Logan, A. J. (2007). Developmental contexts and sporting success: Birth date and birthplace effects in national hockey league draftees 2000–2005. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 41(8), 515-517.
- Baker, J., Schorer, J., Cobley, S., Schimmer, G., & Wattie, N. (2009). Circumstantial development and athletic excellence: The role of date of birth and birthplace. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 9(6), 329-339.

- Baker, J., Wattie, N., & Schorer, J. (2015). Defining expertise: A taxonomy for researchers in skill acquisition and expertise. In J. Baker and D. Farrow (Eds.).The Routledge Handbook of Sport Expertise. London: Routledge.
- Baker, J., & Young, B. (2014). 20 Years later: Deliberate practice and the development of expertise in sport. *International Review of Exercise Psychology*, 7 (1), 135-157.
- Barreiros, A., Côté, J., & Fonseca, A. M. (2014). From early to adult sport success:
 Analysing athletes' progression in national squads. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 14(sup1), S178-S182.
- *Barreiros, A., Côté, J., & Fonseca, A. M. (2014). From early to adult sport success: Analysing athletes' progression in national squads. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 14(sup1), S178-S182.
- Baxter-Jones, A.D., & Helms, P.J. (1996). Effects of training at young age: A review of the training of young (TOYA) study. *Pediatrics Exercise Science*, 8, 310-327.
- Berry, J., Abernethy, B., & Côté, J. (2008). The contribution of structured activity and deliberate play to the development of expert perceptual and decision-making skill. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 30 (6), 685-708.
- Beunen, G. P., Malina, R. M., Lefevre, J., Claessens, A. L., Renson, R., & Simons, J. (1997). Prediction of adult stature and noninvasive assessment of biological maturation. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 29(2), 225-230.
- Bloom, B. S., & Sosniak, L. A. (1985). Developing talent in young people. Ballantine Books.

- Bloyce, D., & Smith, A. (2009). *Sport policy and development: An introduction*. Routledge.
- *Bottoni, A., Gianfelici, A., Tamburri, R., & Faina, M. (2011). Talent selection criteria for Olympic distance triathlon. *Journal of Human Sport and Exercise*, 6(2), 294-304
- Breitbach, S., Tug, S., & Simon, P. (2014). Conventional and genetic talent identification in sports: Will recent developments trace talent? *Sports Medicine Journal*, 44(11), 1489-1503.
- Brown, J. (2002). Sports Talent. How to identify and develop outstanding athletes. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- *Brouwers, J., De Bosscher, V., & Sotiriadou, P. (2012). An examination of the importance of performance in youth and junior competition as an indicator of later success in tennis. *Sport Management Review*, *15(4)*, 461-475.
- Bruce, L., Farrow, D., & Raynor, A. (2013). Performance milestones in the development of expertise: Are they critical? *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 25(3), 281-297.
- Bruner, M. W., Macdonald, D. J., Pickett, W., & Côté, J. (2011). Examination of birthplace and birthdate in world junior ice hockey players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 29(12), 1337-1344.
- Brustad, R. J., Babkes, M. L., & Smith, A. L. (2001). Youth in sport: Psychological considerations. In R. N. Singer, H. A. Hausenblas, & C. M. Janelle (Eds.)

- Chettle, D. K., & Neal, R. J. (2001). Strength and conditioning for golf. *Optimising Performance in Golf*, 207-223.
- Clark, T. P., Tofler, I. R., & Lardon, M. T. (2005). The sport psychiatrist and golf. *Clinics in Sports Medicine*, *24*(4), 959-971.
- Cobley, S., Wattie, N. Baker, J. & McKenna, J. (2009). A meta-analytical review of relative age effects in sport: The emerging picture. *Sports Medicine*, *39*(3), 235-256.
- Collings, D.G., & Mellahi, K. (2009). Strategic talent-management: A review and research agenda. *Human Resource management review.* 19(4), 304-313.
- Côté, J. (1999). The influence of the family in the development of talent in sport. *The Sport Psychologist*, *13*(4), 395-417.
- Côté, J., Baker, J., & Abernethy, B. (2007). Practice and play in the development of sport expertise. In G. Tenenbaum, & R.C. Eklund (eds), *Handbook of Sport Psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 184-202). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Côté, J., & Hay, J. (2002). Children"s involvement in sport: A developmental perspective. In J. Silva & D. Stevens (Eds.), Psychological foundations of sport (pp. 484–502). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Coté, J., Horton, S., MacDonald, D., & Wilkes, S. (2009). The benefits of sampling sports during childhood. *Physical & Health Education Journal*, 74(4), 6

- Côté, J., Lidor, R., & Hackfort, D. (2009). Seven postulates about youth sport activities that lead to continued participation and elite performance. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 9 7-17.
- Côté, J., MacDonald, D., Baker, J., & Abernethy, B. (2006). When where is more important than when: Birthplace and birthdate effects on the achievement of sporting expertise. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 24*(10), 1065-1073.
- Coutinho, P., Mesquita, I., Fonseca, A. M., & De Martin-Silva, L. (2014). Patterns of sport participation in Portuguese volleyball players according to expertise level and gender. *International journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 9(4), 579-592.
- Chettle, D. K., & Neal, R. J. (2001). Strength and conditioning for golf. *Optimizing performance in golf*, 207-223.
- Cumming, J., & Hall, C. (2002). Deliberate imagery practice: The development of imagery skills in competitive athletes in competitive athletes. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 20(2), 137-145.
- Davids, K., Araújo, D., Vilar, L., Renshaw, I., & Pinder, R. (2013). An ecological dynamics approach to skill acquisition: Implications for development of talent in sport. *Talent Development & Excellence*, 5(1), 21-34.
- Davids, K., & Baker, J. (2007). Genes, environment, and sport performance: Why the nature-nurture dualism is no longer relevant. *Sports Medicine Journal*, 37(11) 961-980.

- De Bosscher, V., De Knop, P., Van Bottenburg, M., & Shibli, S. (2006). A conceptual framework for analysing sports policy factors leading to international sporting success. *European Sport Management Quarterly*, 6(2), 185-215.
- Deaner R.O., Lowen, A, Cobley, S. (2013). Born at the wrong time: Selection bias in the NHL draft. *Plos One*, 8(2).
- *di Cagno, A., Battaglia, C., Fiorilli, G., Pizza, M., Giombini, A., Fagnani, F., Borrione,
 P., Calcagno, G., & Pigozzi, F. (2014). Motor learning as young gymnast's talent indicator. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, *13*(4), 767-773.
- Dicks, M., Button, C., Davids, K. (2010). Examination of gaze behaviors under in situ and video simulation task constraints reveals differences in information pickup for perception and action. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics, 72 (3)*, 706-720
- Drinkwater, B. L. (1984). Women and exercise: Physiological aspects. *Exercise and sport Sciences Reviews*, *12*(1), 21-52.
- Durand-Bush, N., & Salmela, J.H. (2001). The development of talent in sport. In: Singer,
 R.M., Hausenblas, H.A., Janelle, C. M. Handbook of Sport Psychology 2nd
 Edition. New York: Wiley, 269-289.
- Durand-Bush, N., & Salmela, J. H. (2002). The development and maintenance of expert athletic performance: Perceptions of world and Olympic champions. *Journal of applied sport psychology*, *14*(3), 154-171.
- *Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Visscher, C., Lemmink, K. A., & Mulder, T. (2007). Multidimensional performance characteristics and standard of performance in

talented youth field hockey players: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *25*(4), 481-489.

- Epstein, D. (2013). *The sports gene: Inside the science of extraordinary athletic performance*. New York: Penguin.
- Ericsson, K. A. (2007). Deliberate practice and the modifiability of body and mind: Toward a science of the structure and acquisition of expert and elite performance. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 38, 4-34.
- Ericsson, K. A. (2013). Training history, deliberate practice and elite sports performance:An analysis in response to Tucker and Collins review—what makes champions?British Journal of Sports Medicine, 47(9), 533-535.
- Ericsson, K. A., Krampe, R. T., & Tesch-Römer, C. (1993). The role of deliberate practice in the acquisition of expert performance. *Psychological review*, 100(3), 363.
- Esters, I. G., Ittenbach, R. F., & Han, K. (1997). Today's IQ Tests: Are they really better than their historical predecessors? *School Psychology Review*, *26*(2), 211-24.
- *Falk, R., Lidor, R., Lander, Y., & Lang, B. (2004). Talent identification and early development of elite water-polo players: A 2-year follow-up study. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 22, 347-355.
- Fearing, D., Acimovic, J., & Graves, S. C. (2011). How to catch a Tiger: Understanding putting performance on the PGA Tour. *Journal of Quantitative Analysis in Sports*, 7(1), 1268-1274.

- *Figueiredo, A. J., Gonçalves, C. E., Coelho e Silva, M. J., & Malina, R. M. (2009). Characteristics of youth soccer players who drop out, persist or move up. *Journal* of Sports Sciences, 27(9), 883-891.
- Fischer, L., Rienhoff, R., Tirp, J., Baker, J., Strauss, B., & Schorer, J. (2015). Retention of quiet eye in older skilled basketball players. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, 47(5), 407-414.
- Ford, P., Ward, P., Hodges, N., & Williams, M. (2009). The role of deliberate practice and play in career progression in sport: The early engagement hypothesis. *High Abilities Studies*, 20(1),65-75.
- Forsman, H., Blomqvist, M., Davids, K., Konttinen, N., & Liukkonen, J. (2016). The role of sport-specific play and practice during childhood in the development of adolescent Finnish team sport athletes. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 11(1), 69-77.
- Fraser-Thomas, J., Côté, J., & Deakin, J. (2008). Examining adolescent sport dropout and prolonged engagement from a developmental perspective. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 20(3), 318-333.
- Fransen, J., Pion, J., Vandendriessche, J., Vandorpe, B., Vaeyens, R., Lenoir, M., & Philippaerts, R. M. (2012). Differences in physical fitness and gross motor coordination in boys aged 6–12 years specializing in one versus sampling more than one sport. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 30(4), 379-386.

- Fredricks, J. A., & Eccles, J. S. (2005). Family socialization, gender, and sport motivation and involvement. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, *27*, 3e31
- Frey, M., & Ravissa, K. (2003). Collegiate athlete mental skill and use and perceptions of success: An exploration of the practice and competition settings. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 15(2), 115-128.
- *Gil, S., Ruiz, F., Irazusta, A., Gil, J., & Irazusta, J. (2007). Selection of young soccer players in terms of anthropometric and physiological factors. *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 47(1), 25.
- *Gil, S.M., Zabala-Lili, J., Bidaurrazaga-Letona, I., Aduna, B., Lekue, J.A., Santos-Concejero, J., & Granados, C. (2014). Talent identification and selection process of outfield players and goalkeepers in a professional soccer club. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 32*(20), 1931-1939.
- Garhammer J. (1991). A comparison of maximal power outputs between elite male and female weightlifters in competition. *International Journal of Sport Biomechanics*, 7, 3–11.
- Gagné, F. (2000). Understanding the complete choreography of talent development through DMGT-based analysis. In K.A. Heller (Ed.), International handbook of giftedness and talent (2nd ed., pp. 67-79). Oxford, UK: Elsevier Science Ltd.
- Gladwell, M. (2008). Outliers: The story of success. Hachette UK.
- Ghristopoulos, G. A. (2003). *The Olympic games in ancient Greece*. Athens, Greece. Ekdotike Athenon S.A.

Golf Canada Website. (2016). Retreived from: http://golfcanada.ca/

- *Gonaus, C. & Müller, E. (2012). Using physiological data to predict future career progression in 14- to 17-year-old Austrian soccer academy players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 30(15), 1673-1682.
- Gould, D. (2010). Early sport specialization: A psychological perspective. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, 81*(8), 33-37.
- Gould, D., Dieffenbach, K., & Moffett, A. (2002). Psychological characteristics and their development in Olympic champions. *Journal of applied sport psychology*, 14(3), 172-204.
- Gould, D., Lauer, L., Rolo., C., Jannes, C., & Pennisi, N. (2010). The role of parents in tennis success: Focus group interview with junior coaches. *The sport Psychologist*, 22(1), 81-37.
- Gould, D., & Maynard, I. (2009). Psychological preparation for the Olympic Games. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 27(13), 1393-1408.
- Gould, D., Tuffey, S., Udrey, E., & Loehr, J. (1996). Burnout in competitive junior tennis players: II. Qualitative analysis. *The Sport Psychologist*, 10(4), 341-366.
- Green, M., & Oakley, B. (2001). Elite sport development systems and playing to win:
 Nniformity and diversity in international approaches. *Leisure Studies*, 20(4), 247-267.
- Gregg, M., & Hall, C. (2006). Measurement of motivational imagery abilities in sport. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 24(9), 961-971.

- Gregg, M. J., Jenny, O., & Hall, C. R. (2016). Examining the relationship between athletes' achievement goal orientation and ability to employ imagery. *Psychology* of Sport and Exercise, 24, 140-146.
- Hancock, D. J., Adler, A. L., & Côté, J. (2013). A proposed theoretical model to explain relative age effects in sport. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *13*(6), 630-637.
- Hancock, D. J., Starkes, J. L., & Ste-Marie, D. M. (2015). The relative age effect in female gymnastics: A flip-flop phenomenon. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 46(6), 714-725.
- Hansen, L., Bangsbo, J., Twisk, J., & Klausen, K. (1999). Development of muscle strength in relation to training level and testosterone in young male soccer players. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 87(3), 1141-1147.
- Harbili, E. (2012). A gender-based kinematic and kinetic analysis of the snatch lift in elite weightlifters in 69-kg category. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 11(1), 162-169.
- Haroutounian, J. (2000). Perspectives of musical talent: A study of identification criteria and procedures. *High Ability Studies*, *11*(2), 137-160.
- Hayman, R. J., Borkoles, E., Taylor, J. A., Hemmings, B., & Polman, R. C. (2014). From pre-elite to elite: The pathway travelled by adolescent golfers. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 9(4), 959-974.
- Hayman, R., Polman, R., Taylor, J., Hemmings, B., & Borkoles, E. (2011). Development of elite adolescent golfers. *Talent Development & Excellence*, *3*(2), 249-261.

- Hayslip, B., Petire, T.A., MacIntire, M.M., & Jones, G.M. (2010). The influence of skill level, anxiety and psychological skills use on amateur golfers' performances. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 22(2), 123-133.
- Hegge, A. M., Myhre, K., Welde, B., Holmberg, H. C., & Sandbakk, O. (2015). Are gender differences in upper-body power generated by elite cross-country skiers augmented by increasing the intensity of exercise? *PloS one*, *10*(5), e0127509.
- Helsen, W. F., Baker, J., Michiels, S., Schorer, J., Van Winckel, J., & Williams, A. M.(2012). The relative age effect in European professional soccer: Did ten years of research make any difference? *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *30*(15), 1665-1671.
- Helsen, W.F., Hodges, N.J., Van Winkle, J., & Starkes, J.L. (2000). The roles of talent, physical precocity and practice in the development of soccer expertise. *Journal of Sport Sciences*, 18(9), 727-736.
- Helsen, W.F., Starkes, J. L., & Hodges, N. J. (1998). Team sports and the theory of deliberate practice. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 20, 12-24.
- Herman- Giddens, M.E., Wang, L., & Koch, G. (2001). Secondary sexual characteristics in boys: Estimates from the national health and nutrition examination survey III. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, 155(9), 1022-1028.
- Hill, B., & Sotiriadou, P. (2016). Coach decision-making and the relative age effect on talent selection in football. *European Sport Management Quarterly*, 16(3), 292-315.

- Hoare, D. and Warr, C.R. (2000). Talent identification and women's soccer: An Australian experience. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 18, 751–758.
- Holt, N., Tamminen, K.A., Black, D.E., Sehn, Z.L., & Wall, M.P. (2008). Parental involvement in competitive youth sport settings. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 18(9), 663-685.
- Horton, S., Baker, J., & Schorer, J. (2008). Expertise and aging: Maintaining skills through the lifespan. *European Review of Aging and Physical Activity*, 5(2), 89.
- Howe, M., Davidson, J., Sloboda, J. (1998). Innate talents: Reality or myth? *Behavioural and Brain Sciences*, *21*(3), *399-442*.
- *Huijgen, B. C., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Ali, A., & Visscher, C. (2013). Soccer skill development in talented players. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 34(08), 720-726.
- Ibar, O., & Bar-Or, O. (1986). Anaerobic characteristics in male children and adolescents. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 18(3), 264-269.
- Ishigami, H. (2016). Relative age and birthplace effect in Japanese professional sports: A quantitative evaluation using a Bayesian hierarchical Poisson model. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *34*(2), 143-154.
- Johnson, M.B., Tenenbaum, G., Edmonds, W.A., & Castillo, Y. (2008). A comparison of the developmental experiences of elite and sub elite swimmers: Similar developmental histories can lead to differences in performance level. *Sport, Education and Society*, 13(4) 453-475.

- Karlsen, J., Smith, G., & Nilsson, J. (2008). The stroke has only a minor influence on direction consistency in golf putting among elite players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 26(3), 243-250.
- Kawashima, K., Kato, K., & Miyazaki, M. (2003). Body size and somatotype characteristics of male golfers in Japan. *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 43(3), 334.
- Keegan, R. J., Harwood, C. G., Spray, C. M., & Lavallee, D. (2014). A qualitative investigation of the motivational climate in elite sport. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 15(1), 97-107.
- Keogh, J. W., Marnewick, M. C., Maulder, P. S., Nortje, J. P., Hume, P. A., & Bradshaw,
 E. J. (2009). Are anthropometric, flexibility, muscular strength, and endurance variables related to clubhead velocity in low-and high-handicap golfers? *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 23(6), 1841-1850.
- Knisel, E., Opitz, S., Wossmann, M., & Keteihuf, K. (2009). Sport motivation and physical activity of students in three European schools. *International Journal of Physical Education*, 46(2), 40-53.
- Laborde, S., Dosseville, F., Allen, M.S. (2015). Emotional intelligence in sport and exercise: A systematic review. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 10, 1-13.

- Larkin, P., O'Connor, D., & Williams, A. M. (2016). Does grit influence sport-specific engagement and perceptual-cognitive expertise in elite youth soccer? *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 28(2), 129-138.
- Lewis, R. E., & Heckman, R.J., (2006). Talent-management: A critical review. *Human Resource Management Review*, 16(2), 139-154.
- Li, F. (1999). The exercise motivation scale: Its multifaceted structure and construct validity. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, *11*(1), 97-115.
- *Lidor, R., Falk, B., Arnon, M., Cohen, Y., Segal, G., & Lander, Y. (2005). Measurement of talent in team handball: The questionable use of motor and physical tests. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 19(2), 318-325.
- Lidor, R., Côté, J., & Hackfort, D. (2009). To test or not to test? The use of physical skill tests in talent detection and in early phases of sport development. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 7, 131-146.
- Loland, S. (2015). Against Genetic Tests for Athletic Talent: The Primacy of the Phenotype. *Sports Medicine*, *45*(9), 1229-1233.
- Louzada, F., Maiorano, A. C., & Ara, A. (2016). iSports: A web-oriented expert system for talent identification in soccer. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *44*, 400-412.
- MacDonald, D. J., King, J., Côté, J., & Abernethy, B. (2009). Birthplace effects on the development of female athletic talent. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 12(1), 234-237.

- Maciejewska-Karlowska, A., Hanson, E. D., Sawczuk, M., Cieszczyk, P., & Eynon, N.
 (2014). Genomic haplotype within the Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated
 Receptor Delta (PPARD) gene is associated with elite athletic
 status. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 24(3), 148-155.
- MacNamara, Á., Button, A., & Collins, D. (2010a). The role of psychological characteristics in facilitating the pathway to elite performance. Part 1: Identifying mental skills and behaviors. The Sport Psychologist, 24, 52–73.
- MacNamara, A., Button, A., & Collins, D. (2010b). The role of psychological characteristics in facilitating the pathway to elite performance. Part 2: Examining environmental and stage related differences in skills and behaviors. *The Sport Psychologist, 24*, 74–96.
- MacNamara, Á., & Collins, D. (2011). Comment on "Talent identification and promotion programmes of Olympic athletes". *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *29*(12), 1353-1356.
- MacNamara, A., & Collins, D. (2015). Profiling, exploiting, and countering psychological characteristics in talent identification and development. *Sport Psychology*, 29, 73-81.
- Macnamara, B., Hamrick, D., & Oswald, F. (2014). Deliberate practice and performance in music, games, sports, education, and professions: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Sciences*, 25(8), 1608-1618.

- Macquet, A. C., & Stanton, N. A. (2014). Do the coach and athlete have the same "picture" of the situation? Distributed situation awareness in an elite sport context. *Applied ergonomics*, *45*(3), 724-733.
- Malina, R. M. (2010). Early sport specialization: Roots, effectiveness, risks. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*, 9(6), 364-371.
- Malina, R. M., Coelho E Silva, M. J., Figueiredo, A. J., Carling, C., & Beunen, G. P. (2012). Interrelationships among invasive and non-invasive indicators of biological maturation in adolescent male soccer players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *30*(15), 1705-1717.
- Martell, S. G., & Vickers, J. N. (2004). Gaze characteristics of elite and near-elite athletes in ice hockey defensive tactics. *Human Movement Science*, *22*(6), 689-712.
- Martindale, R., Collins, D., & Daubney, J. (2005). Talent development: A Guide for practice and research within sport. *Quest*, *57*(4), 353-375.
- Mata, J. D., Oliver, J. M., Jagim, A. R., & Jones, M. T. (2016). Sex Differences in Strength and Power Support the Use of a Mixed-Model Approach to Resistance Training Programing. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, 38(2), 2-7.
- Matthys, S. P., Vaeyens, R., Fransen, J., Deprez, D., Pion, J., Vandendriessche, J., ... & Philippaerts, R. (2013). A longitudinal study of multidimensional performance characteristics related to physical capacities in youth handball. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *31*(3), 325-334.

- Maud, P. J., & Shultz, B. B. (1986). Gender comparisons in anaerobic power and anaerobic capacity tests. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 20(2), 51-54.
- McCaffrey, N., & Orlick, T. (1989). Mental factors related to excellence among top professional golfers. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, *20*, 256-278.
- Meylan, C., Cronin, J., Oliver, J., & Hughes, M. (2010). Reviews: Talent identification in soccer: The role of maturity status on physical, physiological and technical characteristics. *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*, 5(4), 571-592.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D.G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 151(4), 264-269.
- Moore, L. J., Vine, S. J., Cooke, A., Ring, C., & Wilson, M. R. (2012). Quiet eye training expedites motor learning and aids performance under heightened anxiety: The roles of response programming and external attention. *Psychophysiology*, 49(7), 1005-1015.
- Morris, T. (2000). Psychological characteristics and talent identification in soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *18*(9), 715-726.
- Muller, S., Abernethy, B., Eid, M., McBean, R., & Rose, M. (2010). Expertise and the spatio-temporal characteristics of anticipatory information pick-up from complex movement patterns. *Perceptions*, 39(6), 745-760.

- Murphy, S.M. (1994). Imagery interventions in sport. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 26, 486- 494.
- Nicholls, A. R., & Polman, R. C. (2007). Stressors, coping, and coping effectiveness among players from the England under-18 rugby union team. *Journal of Sport Behavior*, 30(2), 199-218.
- Nideffer, R.M., Sagal, M.S., Lowry, M., & Bond, J. (2001). Identifying and developing world-class performers. In: *The Practice of Sport Psychology*. G. Tenenbaum, ed. Morgantown, WV: Fitness Information Technology.129-144.
- Nijs, S., Gallardo-Gallardo, E., Dries, N., & Sels, L. (2014). A multidisciplinary review into the definition, operationalization and measurement of talent. *Journal of World Business*, 49(2), 180-191.
- Pankhurst, A., Collins, D., & Macnamara, Á. (2013). Talent development: Linking the stakeholders to the process. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *31*(4), 370-380.
- Pearson, D.T., Naughton, G.A., Torode, M. (2006). Predicatability of physiological testing and the role of maturation in talent identification for adolescent team sports. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 9(4), 277-287.
- Pelletier, L. G., Fortier, M. S., Vallerand, R. J., & Briere, N. M. (2001). Associations among perceived autonomy support, forms of self-regulation, and persistence: A prospective study. *Motivation and Emotion*, 25(4), 279-306.
- Pelletier, L. G., Fortier, M. S., Vallerand, R. J., Tuson, K. M., Briere, N. M., & Blais, M.R. (1995). Toward a new measure of intrinsic motivation, extrinsic motivation,
and amotivation in sports: The Sport Motivation Scale (SMS). *Journal of sport* and Exercise Psychology, 17, 35-35.

- Phillips, E., Davids, K. W., Renshaw, I., & Portus, M. (2010). The development of fast bowling experts in Australian cricket. *Talent Development and Excellence*, 2(2), 137-148.
- Phillips, E., Davids, K., Renshaw, I., & Portus, M. (2010). Expert performance in sport and the dynamics of talent development. *Sports Medicine*, *40*(4), 271-283.
- Pinder, R. A., Davids, K. W., Renshaw, I., & Araújo, D. (2011). Representative learning design and functionality of research and practice in sport. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 33(1), 146-155.
- Pinder, R. A., Renshaw, I., & Davids, K. (2013). The role of representative design in talent development: A comment on "Talent identification and promotion programmes of Olympic athletes". *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 31(8), 803-806.
- *Pion, J., Lenoir, M., Vandorpe, B., & Segers, V. (2015). Talent in female gymnastics: A survival analysis based upon performance characteristics. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 94(11), 935-940.
- Puente-Diaz, R., & Anshel, M.H. (2005). Sources of acute stress, cognitive appraisal and coping strategies amongst high skilled Mexican and U.S. competitive tennis players. *Journal of Sport Psychology*, 145, 429-446.

- *Pyne, D. B., Gardner, A. S., Sheehan, K., & Hopkins, W. G. (2005). Fitness testing and career progression in AFL football. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 8(3), 321-332.
- Rahnama, N. (2010). Talent identification in soccer. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 44(Suppl 1), i65-i65.
- Régnier, G., Salmela, J.H., and Russell, S.J. (1993). Talent detection and development in sport. In A Handbook of Research on Sports Psychology (edited by R. Singer, M. Murphey, and L.K. Tennant), pp. 290-313. New York: Macmillan.
- Reilly, T., Williams, A. M., Nevill, A., & Franks, A. (2000). A multidisciplinary approach to talent identification in soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 18(9), 695-702.
- Roemmich, J. N., & Rogol, A. D. (1995). Physiology of growth and development. Its relationship to performance in the young athlete. *Clinics in Sports Medicine*, 14(3), 483-502.
- Robertson, S.J., Burnett, A.F., & Cochrane, J. (2014). Tests examining skill outcomes in sport: A systematic review of measurement properties and feasibility. *Sports Medicine*, 44(4), 501-518.
- Robertson, S., Burnett, A. F., & Gupta, R. (2014). Two tests of approach-iron golf skill and their ability to predict tournament performance. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *32*(14), 1341-1349.

- Roberts, R., Callow, N., Hardy, L., Markland, D., & Bringer, J. (2008). Movement imagery ability: development and assessment of a revised version of the vividness of movement imagery questionnaire. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 30(2), 200.
- Roberts, G., & Treasure, D. (2001). *Advances in motivation in sport and exercise*. Human Kinetics.
- Robertson, S., Gupta, S., Kremer, P., & Burnett, A. F. (2015). Development and measurement properties of a putting skill test for high-level golf. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 15(2), 125-133.
- Robinson, K., Watter, N., Schorer, J., & Baker. (in press). What predicts talent in sport? A Systematic review of 25 years of research.
- Ryska, T.A., (1998). Cognitive-behavioral strategies and precompetitive anxiety among recreational athletes. Psychological record, 48, 697-709.
- Ryu, D., Abernethy, B., Mann, D. L., Poolton, J. M., & Gorman, A. D. (2013). The role of central and peripheral vision in expert decision making. *Perception*, 42(6), 591-607.
- Salmon, J., Hall, C., & Haslam, I. (1994). The use of imagery by soccer players. *Journal* of Applied Sport Psychologist, 6(1), 116-133.
- Schorer, J., Wattie, N., & Baker, J. R. (2013). A new dimension to relative age effects: Constant year effects in German youth handball. *Plos one*, 8(4), e60336.

- Schutte, N. S., Malouff, J. M., Hall, L. E., Haggerty, D. J., Cooper, J. T., Golden, C. J., & Dornheim, L. (1998). Development and validation of a measure of emotional intelligence. *Personality and individual differences*, 25(2), 167-177.
- Smith, R.E. and Christensen, D.S. (1995). Psychological skills as predictors of performance and survival in professional baseball. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 17, 399–415.
- Singer, R.N. (2000). Performance and human factors: Considerations about cognition and attention for self-paced and externally-paced events. *Ergonomics*, *43*, 1661-1680.
- Sparling, P. B. (1980). A meta-analysis of studies comparing maximal oxygen uptake in men and women. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, *51*(3), 542-552.
- Sprigings, E.J., & Neal, R.J. (2000). An insight into the importance of write torque in driving the golfball: A simulation study. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 16, 356-366.
- Staerck, A. (2003T. The anthropometric and physical requirements of women's hammer throwing: The implications for talent identification. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 21(4), 305–306.
- Swann, C., Keegan, R., Crust, L., & Piggott, D. (2016). Psychological states underlying excellent performance in professional golfers: "Letting it happen" vs. "making it happen". *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 23, 101-113.
- Swann, C., Moran, A., & Piggott, D. (2015). Defining elite athletes: Issues in the study of expert performance in sport psychology. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise16*,3-14.

- Thomas, G. A., Kraemer, W. J., Spiering, B. A., Volek, J. S., Anderson, J. M., & Maresh,
 C. M. (2007). Maximal power at different percentages of one repetition
 maximum: Influence of resistance and gender. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, *21*(2), 336-342.
- Thomas, P.R., & Over, R. (1994). Psychological and psychomotor skills associated with performance in golf. *The Sport Psychologist*, 8, 73-86.
- *Till, K., Cobley, S., O'Hara, J., Chapman, C., & Cooke, C. (2013). A longitudinal evaluation of anthropometric and fitness characteristics in junior rugby league players considering playing position and selection level. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 16(5), 438-443.
- *Till, K., Cobley, S., Morley, D., O'hara, J., Chapman, C., & Cooke, C. (in press). The influence of age, playing position, anthropometry and fitness on career attainment outcomes in rugby league. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 34(13), 1240-1245.
- *Till, K., Cobley, S., O-Hara, J., Morley, D., Chapman, C. & Cooke, C. (2015). Retrospective analysis of anthropometric and fitness characteristics associated with long-term career progression in rugby league. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 18*, 310-314.
- Tranckle, P., & Cushion, C. J. (2006). Rethinking giftedness and talent in sport. *Quest*, 58(2) 265-282.

- Tucker, R., & Collins, M. (2012). What makes champions? A review of the relative contribution of genes and training to sporting success. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 46(8) 555-561.
- Unnithan, V., White, J., Georgiou, A., Iga, J., & Drust, B. (2012). Talent identification in youth soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *30*(15), 1719-1726.
- Vaeyens, R., Güllich, A., Warr, C. R., & Philippaerts, R. (2009). Talent identification and promotion programmes of Olympic athletes. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 27(13), 1367-1380.
- Vaeyens, R., Lenoir, M., Williams, M., & Philippaerts, R. (2008). Talent Identification and Development Programmes in Sport Current Models and Future Directions, *Sports Medicine Journal*, 35(9) 703-714.
- *Vandorpe, B., Vandendriessche, J.B., Vaeyens, R., Pion, J., Lefevre, J., Philippaerts,
 R.M. & Lenoir, M. (2012). The value of a non-sport-specific motor test battery in predicting performance in young female gymnasts. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 30*, 497-505.
- *Van Yperen, N.W. (2009). Why some make it and others do not: Identifying psychological factors that predict career success in professional adult soccer. *The Sport Psychologist, 23*(3), 317-329.
- Vernacchia, R. A., McGuire, R. T., Reardon, J. P., & Templin, D. P. (2000). Psychosocial characteristics of Olympic track and field athletes. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 31(1), 5-23.

- *Vestberg, T., Gustafson, R., Maurex, L., Ingvar, M., & Petrovic, P. (2012). Executive functions predict the success of top-soccer players. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e34731.
- Vickers, J. N., & Williams, A. M. (2007). Performing under pressure: The effects of physiological arousal, cognitive anxiety, and gaze control in biathlon. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, 39(5), 381-394.
- Wagstaff, C. R., Fletcher, D., & Hanton, S. (2012). Exploring emotion abilities and regulation strategies in sport organizations. *Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology*, 1(4), 268.
- Wall, M., & Côté, J. (2007). Developmental activities that lead to drop out and investment in sport. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 12(1), 77-87.
- Wattie, N. & Baker, J. (in press). Why conceptualizations of talent matter: Implications for skill acquisition and TID. In J. Baker, S. Cobley, J. Schorer, & N. Wattie (Eds.) *The Routledge Handbook of Talent Identification and Development*. London: Routledge.
- Wattie, N., Schorer, J., & Baker, J. (2015). The relative age effect in sport: A developmental systems model. *Sports Medicine*, 45, 83-94.
- Wattie, N., Baker, J., Cobley, S. & Montelpare, W.J. (2007). A historical examination of relative age effects in Canadian hockey players. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 38, 178-186.
- Wattie, N., Cobley, S., & Baker, J. (2008). Toward a unified understanding of relative age effects in sport. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 26,* 1403-1409

- Wattie, N., Shorer, J., Baker, J. (2015). The relative age effect in sport: A developmental systems model. *Sports Medicine*, *45*(1), 83-94.
- Ward, P., Hodges, N. J., Starkes, J. L., & Williams, M. A. (2007). The road to excellence:
 Deliberate practice and the development of expertise. *High Ability Studies*, *18*(2), 119-153.
- Wegner, D.M., Ansfield, M., & Pilloff, D. (1998). The putt and the pendulum: Ironic effects if the mental control of action. *Psychological Science*, *9*(3),196-199
- Weissensteiner, J. R., Abernethy, B., Farrow, D., & Gross, J. (2012). Distinguishing psychological characteristics of expert cricket batsmen. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 15(1), 74-79.
- Williams, A. M. (2000). Perceptual skill in soccer: Implications for talent identification and development. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 18(9), 737-750.
- Williams, A. M., & Ford, P.R. (2008). Expertise and expert performance in sport. International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 1(1), 4-18.
- Wiersma, L. (2000). Risks and benefits of youth sport specialization: perspectives and recommendations. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, *12* (1), 13-22

Author	Sample Characteristics						Results
		Males			Fema	les	
	Ν	Age	Skill	Ν	Age	Skill	_
Barreiros et al., 2014	170	U16	Pre-Junior	27	U15	Pre-Junior	Only one third of the athletes who were selected to be top junior players
2014	93	17-18	Junior	15	16-17	Junior	were also selected to be top junior players
	58	19+	Senior	6	18+	Senior	in using early identification as a
	27	U16	Pre-Junior	64	U14	Pre-Junior	predictor for future success.
	21	17-18	Junior	37	15-16	Junior	_
	15	19+	Senior	21	17+	Senior	_
	60	U16	Pre-Junior	15	U16	Pre-Junior	_
	34	17-18	Junior	7	17-19	Junior	_
	18	19+	Senior	3	20+	Senior	_
	32	U16	Pre-Junior				_
	12	17-19	Junior				
	9	20+	Senior				
Bottoni et al., 2011	66	14-18	Top World		N/A	4	The findings indicate that using retrospective analysis of running and

Table 1. Final selection of articles included in the review

	15	14-18	Top Italian				swimming performance outcomes are not appropriate measures to use for the prediction of future triathlon success		
Brouwers et al., 2012	1897	10-14	Youth	1624	10-14	Youth	Findings demonstrated that player performances' at young ages were not		
	281	14	Professional	323	14	Professional	correlated with later success in tennis.		
	68	Open		60	Open		Additionally, this study did not find an age which all players should start to		
	202	13-18	Junior	175	U18	Junior	perform in order to be successful at the professional level		
	68	14	Professional	60	14	Professional			
di Cagno et al., 2014	20	11.5 ± 0.5	Elite Cadets				Coordination and precision capabilities can be used as long-term predictors of		
2011	21	13.3 ± 0.5	Junior Cadets		N/A	Α	success in gymnastics.		
	59	10.5 ± 0.5	Sub-elite Cadets						
Elferink-Gemser et al., 2007					15.7 ±		Findings indicated that both female and male elite field hockey players scored better on technical and tactical		
	15	16.0 ± 1.0	Elite	15	1.0	Elite	level athletes scored higher on interval		
					16.5 ±		endurance capacity and motivation compared to their sub-elite counterparts. Contrastingly, the males in the sub-elite category scored higher in motivation compared to their elite		
	17	16.4 ± 1.3	Sub-Elite	18	1.1	Sub-Elite	counterparts.		
Falk et al., 2004	11	13.3 ± 0.7	Selected		N/A		Selected water polo players were		

	13	13.7 ± 0.5	Non-Selected		superior on a variety of swimming and motor ability tasks, as well as in game intelligence.
Figueiredo, et al., 2009	63	11-14	Drop Out		Elite soccer players were found to be older, both chronologically and
	90	11-14	Club	N/A	skeletally, larger, and they out
					physiological measures and motor skill tests. The degree of goal orientation
	33	11-14	Elite		did not differ between groups.
Gil et al., 2007	29	U14	Selected		There were notable differences found
	19	U14	Non-Selected		were asked to play in the U15 team
	36	U15	Selected	N/A	compared to the U14 players who were not selected. This selected group of
	17	U15	Non-Selected		athletes was found to be taller and heavier than their non-selected
	29	U16	Selected		counterparts.
	12	U16	Non-Selected		
	32	U17	Selected		
	20	U17	Non-Selected		
Gil et al., 2014	64	9-10	Pre-Selection	N/A	The discriminant analysis showed that the selected soccer athletes were older,
	21	9-10	Final Selection		index rating. The selected individuals also performed better on the velocity

	34	9-10	Controls		and agility tests compared to the control and non-selected groups.			
Gonaus & Müller, 2012	205	14	Drafted		Soccer players who were drafted			
2012	1160	14	Non-Drafted		sport-specific speed and power in the			
	252	15	Drafted		physiological measures compared to			
	1089	15	Non-Drafted	N/A	non-drafted players.			
	228	16	Drafted					
	995 16 Non-Drafted							
-	136	17	Drafted					
	668	17	Non-Drafted					
Huijgen et al., 2013	53	U12	12 Selected		Findings indicated that the Loughboorough Soccer Passing Test			
	5	U12	De-Selected		(LSPT) was able to distinguish between players who were selected compared to those who were de-			
	46	U13	Selected					
	6	U13	De-Selected		selected.			
	44	U14	Selected	N/A				
	6	U14	De-Selected					
	37	U15	Selected					
	13	U15	De-Selected					
	26	U16	Selected					

	3	U16	De-Selected				
	31	U17	Selected				
	6	U17	De-Selected				
	21	U18	Selected				
	7	U18	De-Selected				
	11	U19	Selected				
	4	U19	De-Selected				
Lidor et al., 2005	29	12-13	Phase 1 Selected	20	12-13	Phase 1 Selected	The physiological and
			Phase 1 Non-			Phase 1 Non-	antihopometrical tests were not
	118	12-13	Selected	54	12-13	Selected	selected and non-selected handball
	24	12-13	Phase 2 Selected	20	12-13	Phase 2 Selected	players. The only test that showed a difference between groups was the
			Phase 2 Non-			Phase 2 Non-	Slalom Dribbling test.
	109	12-13	Selected	51	12-13	Selected	
	18	12-13	Phase 3 Selected	N/A	12-13	Phase 3 Selected	
			Phase 3 Non-			Phase 3 Non-	
	24	12-13	Selected	N/A	12-13	Selected	
Pion et al., 2005		1				Survivors	Only 18% of the gymnastics athletes
				6	6-9	(Continued)	who passed the baseline test consisting of motor skills and physiological
	N/A						measures continued performing at the
				85	6-9	Discontinued	later.

Pyne et al., 2005	105	N/A	Drafted		Findings showed that the 5m, 10m, 20m sprint, agility test, and the multi- stage shuttle run discriminated drafted athletes from non-drafted athletes. Of
	78	N/A	Non-Drafted	N/A	the drafted athletes, those who had better running vertical jump ability and faster agility scores were more likely
	166	N/A	Debuted		to debut in an Australian Football League game. Anthropometric measures were not capable of
	117	N/A	Non-Debuted		non-drafted players or debuted players versus non-debuted players.
Till et al., 2013	34	13.6 ±.2	Regional		There were significant main effects for
	19	13.6 ±.2	National		selection level, but no significant differences were found for any
	23	13.6 ±.2	National- Regional	N/A	between selection levels for rugby league players
	5	13.6 ± .2	Regional - National		
Till et al., 2015	249	U15	Amateur		Findings illustrated that there were no
	261	U15	Academy	N/A	professional and academy rugby
	70	U15	Professional		difference found between amateur players and professional players.
Till et al., in press	95	U13	Player Performance	N/A	Professional U14 and U15 rugby league players outperformed amateur

			Pathway		players on the sum of four skinfolds,
	50	U13	Amateur		speed, change of direction, speed and
					estimated VO2Max. Additionally,
	45	U13	Academy		status were significantly more likely to
	13	U13	Professional		be later maturing with lower body
					mass and reduced upper body power
			Player		compared with amateur and academy
	105	TT1 4	Performance		players.
	195	014	Pathway		
	92	U14	Amateur		
	102	1114	Assister		
	103	014	Academy		
	18	U14	Professional		
			Player		
			Performance		
	183	U15	Pathway		
	107	U15	Amateur		
	183	U15	Academy		
	105	010	<i>i</i> ieudeini <i>y</i>		
	39	U15	Professional		
Van Yperen, et al.,					There were no differences in levels of
2009					recorded exhaustion between the
				NI/A	successful and the unsuccessful
		16.58			athletes. The, successful athletes
		10.30			reported nigner engagement in
	18	SD 1.4	Successful		The successful athletes were also more

		16.58					likely to seek social support during stressful circumstances and rated their coaches as having a higher performance level. In terms of demographic and other social variables, successful athletes had more siblings, were more often of non-
	47	SD 1.4	Unsuccessful				likely to have divorced parents.
Vestberg et al., 2012	14	25.3	W. 1 D	15	25.3		For soccer athletes who were in the high division group, they out-
	14	SD 4.2	High Division	15	SD 4.2	High Division	counterparts in general executive
	17	22.8 SD 4 1	Low Division	11	22.8 SD 4 1	Low Division	functioning tasks that are used to demonstrate creativity, response inhibition and cognitive flexibility skills
	1/	50 4.1	Low Division	11	50 4.1	Low Division	381113.

		Ν	%
Time Period of Publication			
	Jan 1990 – Dec 1994	0	0
	Jan 1995 – Dec 1999	0	0
	Jan 2000 – Dec 2004	1	5
	Jan 2005 – Dec 2009	6	30
	Jan 2010 – Dec 2015	11	55
	Jan 2015 – July 2015	2	10
Age			
	U10	3	15
	U20	15	75
	20+	1	5
	Not Specified	1	5
Sex			
	Female	2	10
	Male	13	65
	Female and Male	5	25
<u>Sport</u>			
	Australian Football	1	5
	Field Hockey	1	5
	Handball	1	5
	Gymnastics	3	15
	Rugby	3	15
	Soccer	7	35
	Tennis	1	5
	Triathlon	1	5

Table 2. Frequency distribution of final studies included in the review

	Water Polo	1	5
	Mixed Sports	1	5
Outcome Measures			
	Cognitive/Psychological Capabilities	2	10
	Mixed Measurements	4	20
	Physical Profile	11	55

Previous Performance

Terminology for 'Elite' Group

Drafted	2	10
Elite Cadets	1	5
Elite	3	15
Final Selection	1	5
High Division	1	5
National	1	5
Phase 3 Selected	1	5
Professional	3	15
Selected	3	15
Senior	1	5
Successful	1	5
Survivors	1	5
Top World	1	5

3

15

Category of Testing	Variable Analyzed	Athletes	Significance
Variables	(>20 unique entries)	Represented	
Trackman			
Tackinan		20	
	Ball Speed	30	
Physical Testing			
	Body Mass	21	
	Height	20	
	Balance R Foot Up	20	
	Balance L Foot Up	20	
	Core Front	20	
	Core Right	20	
	Core Left	20	No significant
	Beep Test	20	identified for any
	2 Foot Sit and Reach	20	variables
	L Foot Sit and Reach	20	
	R Foot Sit and Reach	20	
	Squat Jump	33	
	Squat Jump Right	21	
	Squat Jump Left	21	
	Med Ball Throw	21	
	Pull Up	21	
	Push Up	21	
	Grip Strength Left	21	
	Grip Strength Right	21	

Table 3. Variables examined in the final analysis. Variables that did not have more than 20 unique entries for participants were excluded from the final analysis due to low statistical power.

Handicap and Ranking

	Tournament Handicap	22
	World Ranking	31
Uncommon Golf and Short Game		
	Overall Handicap	21
Tournament Report		
	Round Score 1 *	39
	Round Score 2 *	39

Category of Testing Variables	Variables Examined	Variables Excluded	Variables Analyzed
Trackman	40	39	1
Trackman Combine	10	10	0
Flight Scope	16	16	0
Physical Testing	40	21	19
Physical Testing Jason Glass	43	43	0
Handicap and World	7	5	2
Ranking			
SAM Puttlab	20	20	0
Uncommon Golf and Short	15	14	1
Game			
Beep Test	3	3	0
Tournament Ranking	12	10	2

Table 4. Overview of variables tracked by Golf Canada and number of variables used for analysis.

Category of Variables	Description	Example of Variable
Trackman	A golf simulator program that measures swing elements using radar technology and translates it into automatic feedback	• Ball Speed • Club Speed
Trackman Combine	In addition to Trackman, providing a cumulative score for all testing variables from Trackman data.	•Cumulative Score
Flightscope *	Same principle as Trackman	Ball SpeedClub Speed
Physical Testing	A battery of tests that are used to assess the anthropometric and physical profile of golfers	 Height Weight Squat Jump Grip Test
Physical Testing- Jason Glass **	A subjective measure of physical strength and movement patterns performed by Jason Glass, Golf Team Canada's Strength and Conditioning Coach.	• Functional Movement Assessment
Handicap & Ranking	A cumulative ranking number given to athletes based on their handicap (a number calculated based on the degree of difficulty of the course and the player's associated score after a tournament) and world ranking, based tournament performance from international competition.	 Handicap World Ranking
SAM Puttlab*	An analysis and training system, which utilizes ultrasound measurements to record and provide feedback on putting movements.	 Clubface at impact Putter path direction
Uncommon Golf & Short Game	This testing battery requires the athlete to perform a series of challenging shots. If the golfer is within a certain range of the hole, he/she will be given a corresponding score.	Pitch ShotBunker Shot
Beep Test	A test used to measure the aerobic fitness of an athlete. The test requires an athlete to run lengths of a 20m distance between a series of sounds (beeps)	• Beep Test
Tournament Round Performance	A round score is recoded (typically 4) for each tournament the athlete attends.	• Round Score

Table 5. Detailed descriptions of the testing categories employed by CG

*Not included due to lack of data **Not included due to subjectivity of testing measures

Focus	Measurement Tool	Original Source	Variables Examined	Supported in the literature for application to elite sport
Goal Orientation	Task and Ego Orientation in Sport Questionnaire	Chi & Duda, 1995; Duda, 1998	Task Mindset Goal Mindset	Figuierdo, et al., 2009
Psychologic al	Psychological Skills Inventory for Sports (PSIS)	Mahony, Gabriel & Perkins 1987	Motivation Confidence Anxiety Mental preparation Team emphasis Concentration	Companjen & Bakker, 2003; Elferink, et al., 2007; Li, 1999; Pelletier, Fortier, Vallerand, Tuson, Briere, & Blais, 1995; Roberts & Treasure, 2001
Training	The developmental History of Athletes Questionnaire (DHAQ)	Hopwood, MacMahon, Baker, & Farrow, 2010	Deliberate practice	Baker, Côté, & Deakin, 2005; Gould, 2010; Macnamara, Hamrick, Oswald, 2014; Malina, 2010; Wisersma, 2000
History			Deliberate play	Baker, 2003; Baker, Côté, & Abernethy, 2003; Baker et al., 2005; Berry, Abernethy, & Côté 2008; Côté, Horton, MacDonald, & Wikes, 2009; Forsman,

 Table 6. Suggested tests and measurement protocol for future TID program

		Blomqvist, Davids et al., 2016; Fraser- Thomas et al., 2008; Johnson, Tenenbaum, Edmonds, & Castillo, 2008
	Birth Date	Baker, Schorer, Cobley, Schimmer, & Wattie, 2009; Bruner, Macdonald, & Pikett, 2011; Deaner, Lowen, & Cobley, 2013; Handcock, Alder, Côté, 2013; Handcock, Starkes, & Ste-Marie, 2015; Ishagami, 2016; Schorer, Wattie, & Baker, 2013; Wattie, Baker, Cobley, & Montelpare, 2007; Wattie, Cobley, & Baker, 2008; Wattie, Shorer, & Baker, 2015
	Birth Place	Baker & Logan, 2007; Côté, MacDonald, Baker & Abernethy, 2006; Hayman et al., 2014, MacDonald, King, Côté et al., 2009

The Role of the Family	DHAQ	Hopwood, MacMahon, Baker, & Farrow, 2011	Relationship between athlete and mother and father/ guardian, Education of the mother/father/gu ardian Mother/father/ guardian's participation in sport	Côté et al., 1999; Durand-Bush & Salmela, 2002; Fredricks, & Eccles, 2005; Gould, Diefffenbach, & Moffett, 2002; Gould, Lauer, Rolo, Hannes, & Pennisi, 2010; Hayman et al., 2011; Holt, Tamminen, Black, Sehn, & Wall, 2008;Keegan, Spray, Harwood, & Lavellee, 2010; Vernacchia, McGyure, Reardon, & Templin, 2000
Performanc e Milestones	DHAQ	Hopwood, MacMahon, Baker, & Farrow, 2012	Highest level of competition	Bruce, Farrow, & Raynor, 2013; Elferink- Gemser, Visscher, Lemmink et al., 2007; Hayman et al., 2011; Vaeyens, Gullich, Warr, & Philippaerts, 2009
The role of the coach	DHAQ	Hopwood, MacMahon, Baker, & Farrow, 2014	Hours spent with a coach or specialized instructor	Hill & Sotiriadou, 2016; Macquet, & Stanton, 2014
Quiet Eye/ Gaze Behaviour	Applied Science Laboratories (ASL) Mobile	Moore, Vine, Cook, Ring & Wilson, 2012	N/A	Dicks, Button, & Davids, 2010; Fischer, Reinhoff, Tirp et al., 2015; Martell & Vickers,

	Eye Tracker			2004; Vickers & Williams, 2007; Ryu, Abernethy, Mann, Poolton, & Gorman, 2013
Reading the Game	In Progress	In Progress	N/A	Lidor, Falk, Arnon et al., 2005; Nideffer, Sagal, Lowry et al., 2001; Singer, 2000
Decision Making	In Progress	In Progress	N/A	Baker, Côté & Abernethy, 2003; Ryu, Abernethy, Mann et al., 2013
Game Intelligence	In Progress	In Progress	N/A	Falk, Lidor, Lander et al., 2004
Imagery	The Motivational Imagery Ability Measure for Sport (MIAMS) Vividness of Movement Imagery Questionnaire (VIMQ-2)	Roberts et al., 2008	N/A	Cummings & Hall, 2002; Frey & Ravissa, 2003; Greg & Hall, 2006, Greg Jenny, & Hall, 2016, Salmon, Hall, & Haslam, 1994
Emotional Intelligence	Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire (EIQ)	Schuttle et al., 1998	N/A	Laborde, Dosseville, & Allen, 2015; Wangstaff, Fletcher, & Hanton, 2012
Coping Strategies	In Progress	In Progress	N/A	Nicholls & Polman, 2007; Puente-Diaz &

				Anshel, 2005
Grit	In progress	In Progress	N/A	Larkin, O'Connor, & Williams, 2016;
Self- Regulation	In Progress	In Progress	N/A	Pelletier, Fortier, Vallerand, & Briere, 2001
Goal Orientation	Perceptions of Success Questionnaire (POSQ)	Roberts, Treasure & Balague, 1998	N/A	Greg, Jenny & Hall, 2016

Figure 1. PRISMA flow chart showing number of records collected and number of eligible records after screening process



Appendix A. Additional list of variables from Table 1

Author	<u>Sport</u>	Origin of	Length of Study
		<u>Sample</u>	
Barreiros et al.,	Soccer	Portugal	3+ years
2014	Volleyball		
	Swimming		
	judo		
Bottoni et al., 2011	Triathlon	Italy	4 + years
Brouwers et al., 2012	Tennis	Unknown	16+ years
di Cagno et al., 2014	Gymnastics	Italy	3+ years
Elferink-Gemser et al., 2007	Field Hockey	Netherlands	3+ years
Falk et al., 2004	Water Polo	Israel	2+ years
Figueiredo, et al., 2009	Soccer	Portugal	2+ years
Gil et al., 2007	Soccer	Spain	1 Season*
Gil et al., 2014	Soccer	Unknown	1+ years
Gonaus & Müller, 2012	Soccer	Austria	9+ years
Huijgen et al., 2013	Soccer	Netherlands	3+ years
Lidor et al., 2005	Handball	Israel	3+ years
Pion et al., 2005	Gymnastics	Belgium	5+ years
Pyne et al., 2005	Australian Football League	Australia	7+ years
Till et al., 2013	Rugby League	UK	2+ years

	Players		
Till et al., 2015	Rugby League	UK	2+ years
Till et al., in press	Rugby League	UK	7+ years
Vandrope et al., 2012	Gymnastics	Belgium	2+ years
Van Yperen, et al., 2009	Soccer	Netherlands	15 years
Vestberg et al., 2012	Soccer	Sweden	2+ years

* Study did not specify the length of a season so it was assumed that it was the course of a year.

Category of Test	Variable Examined
Trackman	Time and date
	Wind
	Temperature
	Altitude
	Humidity
	Ground Type
	Pressure
	Shot Number
	TMD Number
	Club
	Ball
	Club Speed
	Angle of Attack
	Club Path
	Vertical Swing Plane
	Horizontal Swing Plane
	Dynamic Loft
	Face Angle
	Ball Speed
	Smash Factor
	Vertical Angle
	Horizontal Angle
	Spin Rate
	Spine Rate Type
	Spin Axis

Appendix B. List of variables included in the Golf Canada dataset

	Max Distance
	Max Height
	Max Side
	Last Data Length
	Last Data Slide
	Last Data Height
	Last Data Time
	Carry Length
	Carry Slide
	Carry Vertical Angle
	Carry Ball Speed
	Carry Flight Time
	Total Flat Length
	Total Flat Slide
	Used In Stat
Trackman Combine	Time and Date
	Target
	Points
	Distance
	Percentile Rank
	Best
	Average
	90 th Percentile
	75 th Percentile
	50 th Percentile
Flight Scope	Time and Date
	Carry Distance

	Total Distance
	Lateral Distance
	Club Speed
	Ball Speed
	Smash Efficiency
	DCORE Efficiency
	Backspin
	Sidespin
	Launch Vertical
	Launch Horizontal
	Descent
	Height
	Flight
	Classification
Physical Testing	Time and Date
	Mass
	Height
	Sitting Height
	Arm Length
	Balance Right Heel Up
	Balance Left Heel Up
	Balance Right Eye Closed
	Balance Left Eye Closed
	Core Front
	Core Right
	Core Left
	Beep Test

2 Foot Sit and Reach
Right Foot Sit and Reach
Left Foot Sit and Reach
Squat Jump
Squat Jump Right
Squat Jump Left
Countermovement Jump
Countermovement Jump Right
Countermovement Jump Left
Med Ball Throw
Sit Up
Push Up
Grip Strength Right
Grip Strength Left
Shuttle Run
VO2 Max
Subscap
Tricep
Chest
MidAx
Ab
MTHI
Bicep
Mcalf
Supraillium
НСТ
НВ

Physical Testing – Jason Glass	Time and Date
	Overall-Start
	Overall- Finish
	Overall- Difference
	Overall – My Expectations
	Overall Reality
	Function Start
	Function Finnish
	Function Difference
	Function My Expectation
	Function Reality
	Strength/Power Start
	Strength/Power Finish
	Strength/Power Difference
	Strength/Power My Expectations
	Strength/ Power Reality
	Vertical Jump Start
	Vertical Jump Finish
	Vertical Jump Difference
	Vertical Jump My Expectations
	Vertical Jump Reality
	Chest Pass Start
	Chest Pass Finish
	Chest Pass Difference
	Chest Pass My Expectations
	Chest Pass Reality
	Sit Up and Throw Start

	Sit Up and Throw Finish
	Sit Up and Throw Difference
	Sit Up and Throw My Expectations
	Sit Up and Throw Reality
	Rational Throw Start
	Rational Throw Finish
	Rational Throw Difference
	Rational Throw My Expectations
	Rational Throw Reality
	Push Up Stability Start
	Push Up Stability Finish
	Push Up Stability Difference
	Push Up Stability My Expectations
	Push Up Stability Reality
	Improvement
	Recommendations
Handicap and Ranking	Time and Date
	Tournament Handicap
	World Ranking
	GCOOM Jr Rank
	GCOOM Snr Rank
	PAOOM Jr Rank
	PAOOM Snr Rank
SAM Puttlab	Time and Date
	Project
	Face at Aim
	Face at Aim Score
	Face at Aim Consistency
------------------------------	--------------------------------
	Face at Impact
	Face at Impact Score
	Face at Impact Consistency
	Club Head Rotation Start
	Club Head Rotation Impact
	Club Head Rotation End
	Club Head Rotation Score
	Club Head Rotation Consistency
	Rotation at Impact
	Putter Path Direction
	Impact Spot
	Impact Spot Score
	Impact Spot Consistency
	Rise Angle at Impact
	Shaft Angle at Impact
Uncommon Golf and Short Game	Time and Date
	Bunker Shot
	Bunker Handicap
	Wedge Shot
	Wedge Handicap
	Chip Shot
	Chip Handicap
	Pitch Shot
	Pitch Handicap
	Lag Putting
	Lag Putting Handicap

	Putting Skills
	Putting Skills Handicap
	Total Points
	Overall Handicap
Beep Test	Time and Date
	Level
	Score
Tournament Reports	Time and Date
	Tournament
	Location
	Course
	Par
	Rating
	Finish Result
	Score Round 1
	Score Round 2
	Score Round 3
	Score Round 4
	Total Score / Result