

Real-World Economics Review Blog

Posts are by authors of papers published in the RWER. Anyone may comment.

- [Home](#)
- [RWER](#)
- [The Economics Profession](#)

- [The Economy](#)

- [The Economy and the Planet](#)

- [WEA Books](#)
-

Type text to search here...



[Home](#) > [Uncategorized](#) > Capitalism's deniers


Capitalism's deniers

January 7, 2019 [Editor](#) [Leave a comment](#) [Go to comments](#)

from **Shimshon Bichler** and **Jonathan Nitzan**

A new, capitalism-denying book is on the shelves, and it makes a stunning discovery: 'Capitalism without competition is not capitalism'!

Distortions: Capitalism Denied



Capitalist crisis, like climate change, tends to breed ‘capitalism deniers’. The problem, argue the deniers, lies not in capitalism but in its ‘distortions’. In its pure form, they maintain, capitalism is the best of all possible worlds. But to the deniers’ chagrin, contemporary capitalism is no longer pristine. Unlike its original, once-upon-a-time version, its current one is subject to distorting ‘imperfections’, ‘shocks’ and ‘exogenous’ events. And it is these aberrations – rather than capitalism itself – that should be blamed for the system’s misfortunes.

The Death of Competition

According to Tepper and Hearn’s [*The Myth of Capitalism* \(2019\)](#), growing economic malaise and the coming economic crisis are the result not of too much capitalism, but of too little.

The main culprit, they argue, is the ‘death of competition’, which they view as a mortal threat to their beloved social order. According to their book’s inside flap,

Capitalism is the greatest economic system in history. It has lifted people from poverty and created widespread wealth for billions of people. Unfortunately, the so-called capitalism that exists in today’s United States is the antithesis of a competitive marketplace. Monopolies and oligopolies dominate the economy, with a few winners and millions of losers. The *Myth of Capitalism* explains how we got to this state and clearly points the way back to open markets that work for everyone. Capitalism without competition is not capitalism, but in industry after industry, competition is dying.

‘Without competition’, they warn, ‘everyone suffers’:

Giant corporations squeeze workers’ wages. Companies grow fat with record profits, sending trillions to the wealthiest. Unjust inequality rises. Dominant monopolies choke startups and manipulate markets to their advantage. Voters feel that markets are rigged, and populist politicians triumph.

But it doesn’t have to be this way:

A truly competitive system prevents unjust inequality, averts price gouging, fosters economic growth, and encourages startups.

In other words, all we need to do is reboot the system back to its pristine state of perfect competition and bliss will be upon us all. The solution seems so obvious that even the cautious *Economist* of London joined the competitive bandwagon, cheerleading the forthcoming capitalist revival under the fail-proof banner of ‘[The Next Capitalist Revolution](#)’ ([Anonymous 2018a](#), [2018b](#)).

Pure Capitalism?

But then, why concentrate only on dwindling competition? What about irrational agents and disequilibrium, large governments and public institutions, ideologies and religion, war and violence, paper money and fictitious credit, incomplete information and an unknowable future, to name a few of the system's many 'distortions'? Aren't these distortions equally destructive of 'true' capitalism? And since these distortions have been blamed for plaguing capitalism from its very once-upon-a-time beginning, maybe there was never such a thing as 'pure capitalism' to start with?

Indeed, what if purely competitive capitalism is simply a smokescreen for hiding the inherent realities of power? After all, it is not for nothing that John D. Rockefeller insisted that his best investment ever was the \$45 million he donated to rebuild the Baptist University of Chicago – an investment whose principal return was an elegant neoclassical dogma that ignored corporate giants like his Standard Oil of New Jersey and made dominant owners like himself perfectly invisible. Could it be that capitalism, from its very inception and by historical necessity, developed not as a competitive mode of production and consumption, but as a complex *mode of power*?

Spreading Fake News

A few years ago, we had a [tongue-in-cheek exchange](#) ([Bichler and Nitzan 2014](#)) on this subject with Mr. X, an enlightened-investor-cum-capitalism-denier who blamed us for spreading fake news about the system's true nature. According to Mr. X, it was easy to see that *the world we lived in wasn't capitalist at all, but statist*.

His view, which we summarized in our exchange, is typical of many fellow deniers and therefore worthy of reiterating at some length:

Real capitalism has no distorting entities. It has no government, no central bank, no judicial system, no courts, no police and no jails. It has no army, no ideology, no public education or public transportation. It has no paper money, no enforced units of measure and probably no common language. It also has no corporate coalitions, labour unions and NGOs. And, as educators, it is our duty to present this true vision of capitalism to our students. Otherwise, they might end up confusing the world around them for reality.

The original state of nature. Now, once upon a time there existed a real, undistorted capitalist system as outlined above. In this true system, money was weighed in gold and the price of a commodity reflected its true value. Regrettably, though, true capitalism no longer exists. Somewhere along the way, and for totally exogenous reasons, it gave way to a distorted statist system. In this new system, money is monopolized by the government and private banks. Together, they create private credit out of thin air and then force the rest of us to use this credit as if it were 'real money'.

PETER SELLERS SHIRLEY MACLAINE
BEING THERE



Unlike true capitalism, distorted statism is manifestly unfair.

Statism favours large corporations, which in turn influence governments and the banks to give them cheap credit – while at the same time screwing the ‘unconnected’ little guy. The situation is particularly bad during crises, when the banks don’t create enough credit for everyone. Now, to repeat, this setup has absolutely nothing to do with true capitalism. In fact, and here you might want to hold onto your seat, the executives and owners of firms that rely on the government – i.e., the S&P 500 that Mr. X tries so hard to outperform – are *not capitalists at all!*

So who are the real capitalists? If you haven’t guessed it by now, real capitalists are those who never accumulate. To be a real capitalist, you have to either lose money or break even with enough income to survive. You see, capitalism is just like nature: it thrives on growth as well as decomposition (a Marshallian metaphor that Chauncey Gardiner, the protagonist of Jerzy Kosinski’s 1971 book *Being There*, would merrily concur with). Moreover, many real capitalists are perfectly happy with a steady state (fixed profit?). The only reason they might seek growth (more profit?) is to offset the inflation created by the false system of private credit money. Remove the curse of false money (along with the other distortions), and capitalism would immediately converge to a stable metabolic equilibrium.

From: Shimshon Bichler and Jonathan Nitzan, [‘The Enlightened Capitalist’](#), *Philosophers for Change*, May 6, (2014).

Holy Wars

Although it may sound funny, this really isn’t a laughing matter.

Capitalism’s deniers, like other religious fundamentalists, can be very dangerous. In its extreme form, fundamentalism is highly combative. It protests and condemns the corrupt apparatus of the ruling church, blames it for serving and collaborating with the political regime, curses it with extinction and sets up counter-organizations to wreck havoc, violently if necessary, in order to re-establish the true faith.

This is the path followed by the Wahabis, who together with the House of Saud imposed their cruel regime on Saudi Arabia; by Middle East Shiites, who have been fighting Sunnis (and each other); by fundamentalist Sunnis like Al-Qaeda and ISIS who have been combatting Shiites (and each other); and by government-sanctioned Jewish ‘settlers’ of the Rabbinate Church, who for the past half century have been waging a holy war against the rest of the world.

And the list doesn’t stop here. Other examples include fundamentalists like Mao Zedong, who unleashed the Cultural Revolution in China; Pol Pot, who eliminated millions in the Killing Fields of Cambodia; and the

English Puritans, whose descendants founded American capitalism, drenched in the sweat and blood of Native Americans and African slaves.

So, when born-again free marketers begin to attack their own church (in this case, the big capitalists and their leading corporations) for serving and collaborating with the political regime (namely, the ‘policy makers’ and ‘regulators’ of the ‘state’), when they demand that their country be made ‘great again’ by restoring ‘free competition’, returning to the ‘gold standard’ and dismantling ‘regulation’, and when they begin setting up ‘tea parties’ to overthrow the anti-capitalist ‘deep state’, there is good reason to start worrying about peace on earth.

References

Anonymous. 2018a. An Age of Giants. *The Economist*, November 17, pp. 4.

Anonymous. 2018b. [The Next Capitalist Revolution](#). *The Economist*, November 17, pp. 13.

Bichler, Shimshon, and Jonathan Nitzan. 2014. [The Enlightened Capitalist](#). *Philosophers for Change*, May 6.

Tepper, Jonathan, and Denise Hearn. 2019. [The Myth of Capitalism. Monopolies and the Death of Competition](#).

Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.

Share this:

- [Email](#)
- [Twitter](#)
- [Facebook](#)
- [Print](#)
-

[Comments \(1\)](#) [Leave a comment](#)

1. John Hermann

January 7, 2019 at 1:14 pm

[Reply](#)

Competition is poorly understood by many economists. Here is an extract from a paper written more than 25 years ago by postKeynesian Australian economist Dr Evan Jones:

” Two dominant versions [of competition] exist. Version one depends on large numbers of small firms inhibiting the accession of any to a position of market dominance. This is the stuff of the textbooks. Version two depends on all firms of whatever size and product fighting it out to the death (Social Darwinism). Its second version has much currency in right-wing think tanks.

“The first version requires strong regulatory action for its success. The second insists that regulatory action is what inhibits the system from working. Public representations to the electorate of the advantages of competition mix these versions unashamedly. Both can’t be right. The details matter as to what a Trade Practices Commission might do or whether we have a Trade Practices Commission at all. “

1. No trackbacks yet.

Leave a Reply

Enter your comment here...

This site uses Akismet to reduce spam. [Learn how your comment data is processed.](#)

[20th anniversary for the euro — no reason for celebration](#)
[RSS feed](#)

Email subscription to this blog

Join 16,992 other followers

RWER 26,369 subscribers

- [Current and back issues](#)
- [Subscribe](#)

Regular Contributors

- [Asad Zaman](#)
- [Maria Alejandra Madi](#)
- [Mark Weisbrot](#)
- [Merijn Knibbe](#)
- [Dean Baker](#)
- [Lars Syll](#)
- [Norbert Häring](#)
- [Editor](#)
- [David Ruccio](#)
- [Peter Radford](#)

follow this blog on Twitter

- [RealWorldEcon](#)

Top Posts- last 48 hours

- [20th anniversary for the euro — no reason for celebration](#)
- [Capitalism's deniers](#)
- [How to re-establish trust in economics as a science](#)
- [New year, old arguments](#)
- [Romer's trouble with macro](#)
- [MasterCard, Bill Gates and their "war on cash"](#)
- [Modern Money Theory \(MMT\) vs. Structural Keynesianism](#)

- [Summary of the Great Transformation by Polanyi](#)
- [Teaching of economics — captured by a small and dangerous sect](#)
- [The Indian Economy: 70 years after Independence](#)

Real World Economics Review

The RWER is a free open-access journal, but with access to the current issue restricted to its 25,952 subscribers (07/12/16). Subscriptions are free. Over one million full-text copies of RWER papers are downloaded per year.

Comments on recent RWER issues


- [Comments on RWER issue no 78](#)
- [Comments on RWER issue no 79](#)
- [Comments on RWER issue no 80](#)
- [Comments on RWER issue no 81](#)
- [Comments on RWER issue no 82](#)

————— **WEA Paperbacks** ————— available at low prices —————
 ————— on most Amazons —————


—— **Ugarteche, Puyana and Madi** ——




Gerson Lima / Maria Alejandra Madi




Edward Fullbrook and Jamie Morgan




————— Michael Hudson —————




Maria Alejandra Madi / Jack Reardon




————— Edward Fullbrook —————




————— **Steve Keen** —————



————— **Richard Smith** —————




————— **Gustavo Marques** —————




— Victor Beker and Beniamino Moro —




———— Lars Pålsson Syll —————



———— Stuart Birks —————



Edward Fullbrook and Jamie Morgan



Search for:

WEA Periodicals

- [Economic Thought](#)
- [Real-World Economics Review](#)
- [WEA Commentaries](#)
- [World Economic Review: Contemporary Policy Issues](#)

----- World Economics Association ----- founded 2011 – today 13,800 members

- [join](#)
- [WEA Pedagogy Bog](#)
- [WEA Young Economists Network](#)

Recent Comments

- John Hermann on [Capitalism's deniers](#)
- rddulin on [How to re-establish trust in economics as a science](#)
- Frank Salter on [Romer's trouble with macro](#)
- Frank Salter on [Romer's trouble with macro](#)
- Craig on [20th anniversary for the euro — no reason for celebration](#)
- Pavlos Papageorgiou on [20th anniversary for the euro — no reason for celebration](#)
- JJEHR (@JJEHR) on [20th anniversary for the euro — no reason for celebration](#)
- larrymotuz on [Teaching of economics — captured by a small and dangerous sect](#)
- John Vertegaal on [New year, old arguments](#)
- John Vertegaal on [Romer's trouble with macro](#)

- James Beckman on [Teaching of economics — captured by a small and dangerous sect](#)
- Econoclast on [20th anniversary for the euro — no reason for celebration](#)
- Econoclast on [20th anniversary for the euro — no reason for celebration](#)
- Frank Salter on [How to re-establish trust in economics as a science](#)
- James Beckman on [20th anniversary for the euro — no reason for celebration](#)

Comments on issue 74 - repaired

- [post and read comments on issue 74](#)

Comments on RWER issues

- [Comments on issue 66](#)
- [Comments on issue 67](#)
- [Comments on issue 68](#)
- [Comments on issue 69](#)
- [Comments on issue 70](#)
- [Comments on issue 71](#)
- [Comments on RWER issue no 78](#)
- [Comments on RWER issue no 79](#)
- [Comments on RWER issue no 80](#)

WEA Online Conferences


- [Economics in Society: The Ethical Dimension](#)
- [Rethinking Financial Markets](#)
- [Sustainability – Missing Points in the Development Dialogue](#)
- [The economics curriculum: towards a radical reformation](#)
- [The political economy of economic metrics](#)

— Forthcoming WEA Paperbacks —


———— Armando Ochangco —————




Shimshon Bichler / Jonathan Nitzan




————— **Mauro Gallegati** —————





————— **Herman Daly** —————



————— **Asad Zaman** —————





Guidelines for Comments

- This blog is renowned for its high level of comment discussion. These guidelines exist to further that reputation.
- Engage with the arguments of the post and of your fellow discussants.
- Try not to flood discussion threads with only your comments.
- Do not post slight variations of the same comment under multiple posts.
- Show your fellow discussants the same courtesy you would if you were sitting around a table with them.

Most downloaded RWER papers

- [Trade and inequality: The role of economists \(Dean Baker\)](#)
- [Global finance in crisis \(Jacques Sapir\)](#)
- [The housing bubble and the financial crisis \(Dean Baker\)](#)
- [The state of China's economy 2009 \(James Angresano\)](#)
- [Green capitalism: the god that failed \(Richard Smith\)](#)
- [What Is Neoclassical Economics? \(Christian Arnsperger and Yanis Varoufakis\)](#)
- [New thinking on poverty \(Paul Shaffer\)](#)
- [Debunking the theory of the firm—a chronology \(Steve Keen and Russell Standish\)](#)
- [Why some countries are poor and some rich: a non-Eurocentric view \(Deniz Kellecioglu\)](#)

Family Links

- [C. P. Chandrasekhar's Blog](#)

- [David Ruccio's Blog](#)
- [Dean Baker – Beat the Press](#)
- [Jayati Ghosh's Blog](#)
- [Lars Syll's Blog](#)
- [Mark Weisbrot](#)
- [Norbert Haering' Blog](#)
- [real-world economics review](#)
- [Steve Keen's Debtwatch](#)
- [The Progressive Economics Forum](#)
- [The Radford Free Press](#)
- [Thomas Palley](#)
- [WEA Pedagogy Bog](#)
- [WEA Young Economists Network](#)

Contact

pae_news@btinternet.com

follow this blog on Twitter

- [RealWorldEcon](#)

RWER Board of Editors

Nicola Acocella (Italy, University of Rome) Robert Costanza (USA, Portland State University) Wolfgang Drechsler (Estonia, Tallinn University of Technology) Kevin Gallagher (USA, Boston University) Jo Marie Griesgraber (USA, New Rules for Global Finance Coalition) Bernard Guerrien (France, Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne) Michael Hudson (USA, University of Missouri at Kansas City) Frederic S. Lee (USA, University of Missouri at Kansas City) Anne Mayhew (USA, University of Tennessee) Gustavo Marqués (Argentina, Universidad de Buenos Aires) Julie A. Nelson (USA, University of Massachusetts, Boston) Paul Ormerod (UK, Volterra Consulting) Richard Parker (USA, Harvard University) Ann Pettifor (UK, Policy Research in Macroeconomics) Alicia Puyana (Mexico, Latin American School of Social Sciences) Jacques Sapir (France, École des hautes études en sciences sociales) Peter Söderbaum (Sweden, School of Sustainable Development of Society and Technology) Peter Radford (USA, The Radford Free Press) David Ruccio (USA, Notre Dame University) Immanuel Wallerstein (USA, Yale University)

Revere Award in Economics

- [Keen, Roubini and Baker](#)

Dynamite Prize for Economics

- [Greenspan, Friedman and Summers](#)

Blog Authors

- [anmayhew](#)
- [Asad Zaman](#)
- [Lewis L. Smith](#)
- [bruceedmonds](#)
- [Kevin P. Gallagher](#)
- [deanbaker1](#)

- [Steve Keen](#)
- [Deniz Kellecioglu](#)
- [David F. Ruccio](#)
- [donaldgillies](#)
- [Peter Dorman](#)
- [Editor](#)
- [frankackerman](#)
- [frankrotering](#)
- [Edward Fullbrook](#)
- [iettogg](#)
- [jamieamorgan](#)
- [Jim Stanford](#)
- [julieanelson](#)
- [Lars Syll](#)
- [Maria Alejandra Madi](#)
- [Mark Weisbrot](#)
- [merijntknibbe](#)
- [njhaering](#)
- [paul davidson](#)
- [Juan Pablo Pardo-Guerra](#)
- [Peter Earl](#)
- [pesod](#)
- [peterradford](#)
- [paul ormerod](#)
- [Peter Earl](#)
- [staveren](#)

Regular Contributors

- [Asad Zaman](#)
- [David Ruccio](#)
- [Dean Baker](#)
- [Editor](#)
- [Lars Syll](#)
- [Maria Alejandra Madi](#)
- [Mark Weisbrot](#)
- [Merijn Knibbe](#)
- [Norbert Häring](#)
- [Peter Radford](#)

[Top](#)

[Blog at WordPress.com.](#)

Add your thoughts
here... (optional)

Post to 

[Cancel](#)

Reblog Post